F

Francis G Baughman vs Thomas Hefty Page 30 8
Cyrus Bowman vs Thomas Hefty pet Brand " 34
Best & McClellan vs William Dirrin Page 46 & 47
Joseph Boyer et al vs Thos Hefty & Allemand 27
Best & McClellan vs Thomas Hefty Page 58
Chauncy Abbott vs Alonzo M Parnes Page 68
John Bickel vs Joseph Ellenaff 8 55
Jefferson Beard Cyrus Kelly 223 Page 224 Pages 119 122
R. Wes McBride vs Uria S Daugherty 128 129
William S Brand vs Brady Hedall 132 133
John C Brand vs Healy Heal Scaf Bene 50 51
Jefferson Beard vs Calvin Vaugan Pages 153 154
John C Brand vs George W Brand page 180
Boyer and Campbell vs George Grete 222
A C Gruhlk vs David Huselman 292

A B C D E F G H I K L M N O R S T U V Y Z

Citizens Bank vs Jno Hedges & Joseph Cleveland Page 27 & 28
Chadwick & Co vs William Devrim Page 35 & 36
Crane Duncan & Co vs William Bennett " 41
O J Clark vs Lewis M Grate Page 42
O J Clark vs Wm H Erwin " 43
Oris Danks vs Lewis M Grate " 48
Oris Danks vs William Clark " 49
Oris Danks vs Thomas Hefty " 52
O J Clark vs Thomas Hefty " 60
O J Clark vs Thomas Hefty 61
O J Clark vs John Granding " 62
O J Clark vs Henry Herney 63
Henry Deboy versus Henry Leighman vs Andrew Coffman 65
Orlando Clark versus John Black Page 73
Citizens Bank vs John R Walker PP 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81
Cline Seiberling & Co vs William S Brand & Thomas Hefty Page 83
Orland Clark vs Joseph Frederic Page 82
Clark O Brown vs William Devrim Page 75
O J Clark vs Christian Breckbill " 87
Chadwick & Co vs William Devrim Page 28
Oris Danks vs Samuel Farney 152
Frank J Day Craig Day vs Abraham McCoy 170
Frank Chadwick and Henry Linder vs Wilham Devrim 184, 185
Frank Chadwick Henry Linder vs Wilham Devrim 186, 187
O J Clark vs Wilham Brand 188, 189
Frank Day Crey Day vs Brady Heal 202
et byron Darby vs Daniel Crum 204, 205
Urban Crane James Dankenbyder vs Joyce Hekton 233
James D Cambell vs David Musselman 291
Deubener Henry vs Musselman Daniel & David 325 & 326

C D E F G H I K L M N O R S T U V W Y Z

frank Day vs gorge Wolf page 102
Ennaley " Peck " Calvin norwyn " 101
gorge Merles vs Williams Brend " 82

E
F
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

6

[illegible] 119 [illegible]

B. [illegible]

S. R. [illegible] vs Mary Schantyer 119

Hanah Holden vs Isaac Grant 199

Nannie Blackly [illegible] vs. [illegible] Hoffman

8

Jeffords Julia L vs. Brandabery 312 313 316

Jacob Kahn vs Peter H. Granline Pla
Jacob Kahn vs Samuel Smith " 45
George Kugler vs Eli Cisselman & Sarah Daugherty Page 25[illegible]
George Kugler vs Eli Cisselman & Sarah Daugherty [illegible]
~~[illegible] vs Brad Heal Sarah Daugherty~~
Atlanta Kassler vs Brad Heal Sarah Daugherty
Timothy Knisley David Knisley vs Galen Morgan [illegible]
Isaac Ochs vs William J. Brand 2[illegible]
Isaac Ochs vs George W. Brand 2[illegible]
J. W. Barner vs Brady Heal George W. Brand [illegible]
John S. Myers vs Brady Heal 296
James T. Campbell vs Daniel Haselman 299
Israel Kenney vs Edgar Fuller 3[illegible]

I
K
L
M
N
O

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Webster John & William [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] John [illegible] 138 139
John Matson vs George Brand 197 198
the Singer Manufacturing Company vs George W Brand 277 278
Sear Denison vs William S Brand George Brand William [illegible] 179 180
Sear Denison vs George Brand William S Brand & [illegible] Sep 79
George Matson vs Williard Fox 296

L
M
N
O

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Frederic [illegible] vs Frederic [illegible]

John Fisher vs John Rodine

Catharine Harrington vs Charles Furnish 18[illegible]

N
O

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Rose James E vs Cyrus Brunderberry Page 25
State of Indiana vs Alfred L Barnes
James George vs Peter H Franklin Page
Stroh vs Alfred
E R Shumaker Common Schools 16
Henry Rham vs Charles Sherwood 168 169
D D Smid vs George W Breund 173 174
S S Smith vs William S Brown 178
J H Stouch vs Nannie Markley 190 191
Amanda Stafer vs Charles Thorson 198
Solomon Fisher vs Richard Baxter 210
Simon Stran vs Lee Jewell 236
S S Shumaker vs William Brand William Brand and James Clark 276 278
Finch & Roby vs David Huselman 294

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

18

Semi-annual list of
Mures, I

T
U
V
W
Y
Z

V
W
Y
Z

Y
Z

2 24

3.30 S[illegible] June 12th 1877 and delivered to Frederic Shelter Constable Returnable [illegible]

32.05 Also subpoena issued for C A O McClellan [illegible]

[illegible] 18th 1877

Received my fees as public in above entitled

[illegible] 1877 Summons & Subpoenas returned and [illegible] for trial the Parties the Plaintiff appearing defendant in person [illegible] as a witness [illegible] by me costs and accruing costs and that he have execution therefore [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Plaintiffs now [illegible] [illegible]
for their cause of action filed the following

State of Indiana
[illegible] Tounshett 1877
Joseph Boyer
Jacob Kahn
[illegible]

Joseph Boyer Jacob Kahn Artemus [illegible]

1st of January 1877 by their note of [illegible]

Copy of note

Ninety days after date for value received we or either of us promise to pay to the order of Citizens Bank Eighty

and nonpayment of this note and all defenses on the ground of any extension of time of its payment that may be given to the holder or holders to them or either of them

Signed; Thomas Hefty

Received one fee as justice in suit May 14th 1878 H W Hemming Justice

Left 3rd 1877 This day defendant Thomas Hefty appears without process of law and confesses judgment in the above action and waives all

does not confess judgment therefore to defraud his creditors

It is [illegible] 1877 [illegible] costs [illegible] execution [illegible]

Rendered this 3rd day of september 1877

H W Hemming Justice

Received my fees in full [illegible] Peter Kiplinger

Received my fees in full in above entitled cause Aug 31 1878 Frederic Geller Constable

[illegible] one hundred and eighty days from the rendition thereof.

Witness my hand this 3rd day of september 1877

John Bickle

Attest H W Hemming Justice

I John Bickel replevin Bail for stay of execution [illegible] subject to execution witness my hand this 3rd day of september 1877 John Bickle

Sworn and subscribed to before me a Justice of the peace this [illegible] day of September 1877

H W Hemming Justice

Execution issued 31st March 1878

Execution returned May 14th 1878 indorsed by constable as follows paid in full and signed by Peter Kiplinger Constable

[illegible]

[illegible] | $20.00 | May 20th 1877

[illegible]

[illegible] 1877

[illegible]

Constable costs 65 | That Plaintiff recover of defendant the sum of twenty four dollars and sixty five cents together

[illegible]

[illegible] Justice

[illegible]

H. H. Henning Justice

October 2d 1877 Received of H. H. Henning Justice twenty

Justice fees
Docket 50
confession 25
judgment 25
[illegible]

[illegible] received [illegible]
any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement
laws. Without interest if paid when due if not paid
when due ten per cent interest &c.

Received my fees in full

Received of Homer Henning J.P. Fifty four
dollars and ninety five cents collected by him
on claim of John Bigler vs Thomas Hefty.
The claim was sued in the name of Francis C.
Baughman on said Hennings docket.
March 27th 1878

McBride & Moran, Attys

Received of Hiram Brand $56.20 in full of judgment and costs in above entitled cause
F. M. Henning justice

I Hiram Brand acknowledge myself replevin bail
for the stay of execution on above judgment for one
hundred and fifty days from the rendition thereof.
Witness my hand this 27th day [illegible]

I Hiram Brand replevin bail for the stay of execution
on above judgment do swear that I am worth in my
own right and title fifteen hundred dollars ($1500.00)
[illegible] day of September, 1877
Hiram Brand.

Sworn and subscribed before me this [illegible] day of [illegible]

and for his cause of action filed the following note

Six months after date I promise to pay to the order of

Received

Oct. 1878

Contested

H. H. Henning justice

October 2ch 1877 Received of H. H. Henning justice twenty four dollars and sixty five cents ($24.65) in full of above judgment

John R. Parker

Confession July 25

Received in full in above entitled cause March 20th 1878 H. M. Fleming Justice

September 27th 1877 this day defenda
cause appeared and confessed judgment to plaintiff
takes oath that he does not owe this judgment
therefore to defraud his creditors
Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff
recover of defenda fifty two dollars
and to (B 52 45) with interest at 10 per
his costs and

state of the state of
this 27th day of September 1877

March 20th 1878
Received of Hiram Brand $56.20 in full of judgment and costs in above entitled cause
H. M. Fleming Justice

I H
acknowledge myself referen
for
hundred and fifty days from
my hand
Hiram B

on above
in my own right and title fifteen hundred
witness my hand this 5th day of
Hiram B

State of Indiana } Complaint on note &c

Alonzo M Barnes & Frederic C Waterman an

Trial 25

Smithfield Indiana April 15th 1876

12 5

16 for value Received Signed A D Barnes
A M Barnes
F C Waterman

Execution 25

State of Indiana } Vio Justice Court before H. M.
Township

Received $12.25 as
for the within in
before cause
H M Henning justice

Constable fees
Service 50

vs
Alvin D Barnes
Alonzo M Barnes &
Frederic C Waterman

cause
Constable

which sum remains due and unpaid wherefore
and other proper relief McBride Morlan & Leas
Attys for plaintiff

Summons issued September 25th 1877 and made returnable
October 2nd 1877 at one o'clock in the afternoon
October 2nd 1877 Summons returned
follows Came to hand the 25th day of September 1877
Barnes and Frederic C Waterman served September
25th 1877 service 50 copy 25 mileage 50 return 10

appeared not but made default
Therefore it is considered and adjudged by me
that Pltff

it sixteen dollars and fifteen cents with interest from this date together with his costs and accruing costs and that he have execution therefore
Rendered this 2nd day of October 1877 H. M. Henning Justice

[illegible]
ight dollars to apply on judgment in above
case H. M. Henning Justice
[illegible]

March 23rd 1878 Execution returned indorsed satisfied in full
Frederic Geller Constable

Received [illegible]
fees in
[illegible] above
cause
H. M. H[illegible]

Received of H. M. Henning Esq
sum of Five and 40/100 dollars
to apply on judgment of
Silas Chandler against
~~[illegible]~~ A. D. Barnes et al
[illegible]
Oct. 17, 1877. [illegible]

25

Six months after
Hamman sixteen dollars
12 5
25

State of Indiana } ss. notice
DeKalb County } Hemming justice.

Received $12.25 as
part of my fees in
above cause
H. H. Hemming justice

Simeon Hamman
vs
Alvin D Barnes
Alonzo M Barnes &
Frederic Waterman

service 50

Simeon Hamman
plaintiff complains of Alvin D Barnes Alonzo
M B

no costs in above
case
Frederic Waterman

which sum remains due and unpaid wherefore
plaintiff
and other proper relief McBride Morlan & Leas
Attys for plaintiff.

Summons issued September 25th 1877 and made returnable
October 2nd 1877 at one o'clock in the afternoon
October 2nd 1877 Summons returned
follows came to hand the 25th day of September 1877
Served this writ by copy Alvin D Barnes by
25th 1877 service 50 copy 25 mileage 50 return 10
signed Frederic Waterman
At the day and hour set for trial
appeared not but was defaulted
that Plaintiff recover of the defendants

of Sixteen dollars and fifteen cents with interest from his date together with his costs and accruing costs and that he have execution therefore
Rendered this 2 nd day of October 1877 S. M. H

March 23rd 1878 Execution returned indorsed satisfied in full
Frederic Geller Constable

Received of M M Hanning justice payment in full of above judgment
April 6th 1878 Simeon Hannum

Received my fees in full in above entitled cause
Feb 24th 1877
H M Fleming
Justice
Endorsed

hundred and thirty
crest from date
Cumulation
state of Indiana
Waterloo Ind October 2nd 1877
note twenty five dollars

Summons issued this 6th day of October 1877 and made returnable October 12th 1877 at one o'clock in the afternoon and delivered

Trial 25

October 12th 1877 Summons returned
and the 6 day of October 1877
October 8th 1877 Service
return fee Dollars
trial defendants appear not

Constables fees $1.10

Therefore it is considered and adjudged by me that plaintiff recover of defendants the sum of seventy ~~six~~ dollars and ~~twenty one~~ thirteen cents ($70.13) with

Feb 24/79 Received of J M Brumbaugh Administrator of the estate of Jacob Brumbaugh $66.23 in full of the above judgment & also gave J. M Brumbaugh a receipt for $3.53 of his own account

Rendered this 12th day of October 1877

witness my hand this 15th day October, 77

Jessee

Jessee
before me this 15th day of October 1877
H M Fleming Justice

Cyprus Bowman

Helty

3rd March 11th 1872

Received my fees in full in above entitled cause
Feb 24th 1877
H M Henning
Justice
Andover

favor Reilly [illegible] value receiver with interest from date

[illegible] Brand

Waterloo 3rd October [illegible] 1874

[illegible] 21 1876 Received on the within note twenty [illegible]

[illegible]
Summons 25

October

Waterloo Sept 8 1877

Received of Thomas Helty twenty dollars
Cyprus Bowman

[illegible] 25
150

At the day and [illegible]
but make default
that they have had [illegible]
Therefore it is considered and adjudged by me that plaintiff
recover of defendants the sum of seventy ~~eight~~ six dollars
[illegible] cents ($76.15) with costs

[illegible] piece
April 7th 187[illegible]

Feb 24 1879
Received of J M Brumbacker administrator of estate of Joel B[illegible] $66.23 in full of the above [illegible] against Alonzo J M Brumbacker in receipt of H M [illegible]
H M Henning
Justice

Received of [illegible] thirty 23/100 dollars in full of [illegible] against Thom[illegible] favor
[illegible]
5
Cyprus Bowman

Sworn to before me this 15th day of October 1872
H M Henning Justice

[illegible]

Dekalb County [illegible]

O. Hadwick & Co
Vs
William Dirrim

O. Hadwick & Co complains of William and says that he is indebted to them sum of seventy one dollars and for their cause of [illegible]

[illegible] William Dirrim in a/c with [illegible]

77 March 16	Do 2 pr Walker Boots		6.	
"	1 pr Shoes		1.5	1.
" 26	1 bottle Sarsaparil	75		
" "	sugar	50		
" 28	18 lb Lard		1.75	
[illegible]				
23	18 yds Prints 8		1.44	
	5 yd muslin 8 2 2 pr cotton 8		.41	
	1 pr Women 15 1 pr Shoes 175		1.90	
	Sugar 25 3 yds Wall Paper 18 54		.79	
	1 " "			1.11
Aug 17	To six yds denim 20			
	1 sugar 50 2 1 paper 54			
	6 yd denim 120 5 yd muslin 40		1.60	
	1 coffee 50 2 pr sugar 25		.75	5.88
	4 coat 500 4 pr 100 Bycoon			
	5 Plaid Croaton W Order		10.5	
	Bill		5.	
Aug 3	10 5 lbs Honing 25 8 sugar 100		1.25	
	" " "		1	
	8 yd Prints 8 64 2 yd Gingham 8 16		.80	
	1 pr Pins 5 2 pr coffee 56		.61	
18	10 ½ dz fruit jars 75 8 lbs [illegible]			
	9 yd Prints 72 2 pr coll 8 16			
20	10 lbs Pork 100			
26	2 pr socks 30 cinnamon 5			
31	Do 1 kit fish 75 4 lbs [illegible]			1.
Aug 2	Vanderslice [illegible]			
	2 yds crash 30 2 yds crash 40		.70	
" 4	sugar 50 2 lbs coffee 56			
9	To Ribbon 40 3 yds muslin 20		.60	
	[illegible]			
	sugar (white) 14 clothes line 20		38	
"	2 lbs rice 20 4 sugar 50 Dishes		1.70	
"	1 Hat 150 3½ mosquito net 35		1.85	
"	[illegible]			
17	[illegible]			

Received payment in full in above cause
Frederick Spiller
Constable

Gloves 1 pr Hose 15	20	
1 Kitt fish	75	2.02
Due Chadwick & Co		$7.26

Summons issued this 8th day of October 1877 and made returnable October 15th at 8 o'clock in the forenoon and delivered to Frederick [illegible]

October 11th 1877 this day Plaintiffs witness in this case appeared and defendant [illegible] costs taxed at $1.50

[illegible] judgment entered as follows [illegible] and the 8 day of October 1877 [illegible] issued [illegible]

John [illegible]
vs
William Dirrim

Complaint on note $81.75
Before H M Henning Justice
[illegible] warrant

Justices fees
Summons 25
Trial 25
Judgment 25
Docket 25
Replevin Bail 25

October 28th 1877 at request of Plaintiff summons issued returnable November 3rd 1877 at 9 o'clock in the [illegible] and was delivered to Frederic Geiller constable
And on the same day Plaintiff filed his complaint in the words and figures following
$ 75.00 Summit Park Pa June 6th 1875
Seven months after date I promise to pay [illegible]

June 3rd 1878
Received my fees in full in above entitled cause
H M Henning Jr

June the 3rd 1878
Received of Peter Keplinger constable [illegible] summons [illegible] on a judgment against [illegible] in favor of [illegible] John McCabe [illegible] five dollars

June 3rd 1878
Received my fees in full in above cause
Peter Keplinger
[illegible]

and that he have execution therefore without [illegible]
Rendered this 3rd day of Nov 1878 H M Henning justice
[illegible] for the stay of execution in this cause for [illegible] and fifty days from the rendition [illegible]

Received my fees in full in above entitled cause
May 31 1878
Frederic Geller
Constable

[illegible] H M Henning Justice
Sworn to before me this this 15th day of November 1878

Execution issued this 7th day of May 1878 and delivered to Peter Keplinger constable
June [illegible] 1878 Execution returned satisfied in full and paid to plaintiff receipt [illegible] H M Henning

1 Rill fish 75 2.02

[illegible]

[illegible] this day [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] endorsed as follows [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] day [illegible] 1877 [illegible] day [illegible] [illegible] mileage so return to [illegible] Yfeller constable [illegible] [illegible] justice

[illegible]

October 28th 1877 at request of Plaintiff [illegible]
[illegible] November [illegible] 1877 at [illegible] o'clock in the [illegible]
and was delivered to Frederic Geiller constable
And on the same day Plaintiff filed his complaint
in the words and figures following
$ 75.00 Summit [illegible]

Justices fees
[illegible] 25
[illegible]rial 25
[illegible]gment 25
[illegible]tet 25
[illegible] van Baier 25

June 3rd 1878
Received my fees
in full in above
entitled cause
[illegible] M Fleming J P
[illegible]stice [illegible]
.75

[illegible]

Summons returned indorsed served by reading to defendant Oct 30 1877 [illegible]

[illegible]

for trial defendant appeared not but made default
And it appearing from the return of summons
that the same had been served on the 30th of
November 1877
[illegible] complaint it is adjudged by [illegible] that
the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum
eighty one dollars ($81) and fourty five cents [illegible]
with interest from this date at ten [illegible]
[illegible] with his costs

June 3rd 1878
Received my
fees in full
in above cause
Peter Fleminger
Constable

[illegible]

Received my fees
in full in above
entitled cause
Aug 31 1878
Frederic Geller
Constable

And I swear that I am worth in fee simple
unincumbered real estate of the value fifteen hundred
dollars Witness my hand this 15th day Nov 1878
[illegible] Baier
Attested by M M Fleming Justice
[illegible]

Execution issued this 7th day of May 1878 and delivered to
Peter Geiflinger constable
June [illegible] 1878 Execution returned [illegible] satisfied in full
and plaintiff's receipt [illegible]

[illegible] Summons

vs } Complaint on note for five
Geo H Cooper } dollars and [illegible]
Plaintiff for this cause of action
[illegible] the following [illegible]
[illegible] 1877

Justice fees
complaint 25
[illegible] 25
Docket 25

[illegible] cents Value received without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws of the State of [illegible]

[illegible] my fees in full [illegible]

signed Geo H Cooper

H M Henning

[illegible] appeared without process of law and confesses [illegible] plaintiff demand in [illegible] and made oath that he cannot receive judgment therefor to defraud his creditors

Therefore it is adjudged by me that the plaintiff recover of defendant the sum of five [illegible] and costs and that he have execution [illegible] Appraisement laws of the state of Indiana

Rendered this 16th day of Nov 1877

I Joseph Craig acknowledge myself [illegible]

[illegible] this 17th day [illegible]

Joseph Craig

Attest

sworn and subscribed [illegible] authority this 17th day of Nov 1877

H M Henning justice

Jan 7th 1878 Received of Geo H Cooper six and 87/100 dollars in full of above judgment and costs

H M Henning justice

Received of [illegible] judgment Jan 18th 1878 Thos H Tossel [illegible]

State of Tennessee, [illegible] County

[illegible] of H M Henning
[illegible] Justice of the Peace in and for said County

[illegible] Jesse [illegible]
[illegible] on affidavit charging that on or about the 1
of September 1877 at the county of Dekalb ~~county~~ and st
of [illegible] of [illegible]
Alfred D Barnes feloniously st
carried away from the [illegible]
another sheep of the value of five do
[illegible] Hoden [illegible]

[illegible] and sworn to [illegible]
of November 1877 H M Henning Justice [illegible]

Whereupon I issued a warrant for the arrest of said
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
in court [illegible]

State of [illegible] } Calhoun county SS.
State of [illegible] }

November 15th 1877 This day came Martha Ann [illegible] [illegible] of Grand Larceny and [illegible] issued a [illegible] to Frederic Geller Constable of said [illegible]

November 16th 1877 warrant returned with the body [illegible] Nov 18th 1877 writ came to hand this Nov 18th 1877 service as returned to
Signed Frederic Geller
Constable

[illegible] issued for the [illegible] named witnesses
[illegible] Calhoun County [illegible]
Levi [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
H Mann Mrs Wall Silas Hutchins
Motion filed for [illegible]

to within named witnesses served this 18th day of Nov 1877 Frederic Geller constable
Witnesses sworn and examined and thereupon [illegible] which [illegible] and thereupon [illegible] issued a [illegible] for the [illegible]
in sum to Frederic Geller constable
Recognized [illegible] witnesses in behalf of State of Michigan Ann [illegible] to appear [illegible]
Everett Frank Bennett Levi Bennett Levi Myers
Malinda Myers Mrs [illegible] Silas Hutchins
H M Henning [illegible]

State of Indiana Dekalb County ss

Crane Duncan & Co

vs } Complaint on note for fourteen
William Bennett } dollars and ten cents

Plaintiffs for their cause of action file the following note to wit

justice fee
Summons 25
Trial 25
Judgment 25
Docket 25
$1.50

$14.87 Waterloo Indiana Jan 1st 1876

June 1st after date I promise to pay to order of Crane Duncan & Co Eleven and 87/100 dollars [illegible] without [illegible] valuation or appraisement laws with ten per cent interest from date until paid [illegible] note be collected by suit the judgment shall [illegible] the same

William Bennet

Received my fee in full in above entitled cause

Crane Duncan & Co.)
by
William Bennett

Received of H M Henning justice [illegible] dollars and [illegible] 1877 [illegible] ten cts ($14.10) [illegible] all of judgment in above entitled [illegible]

Crane Duncan & Co

[illegible] as follows [illegible] the within [illegible] named defendant

Constable fee
service 25
mileage 80
return 10
25

Received my fee in full in above entitled cause Jan 8th 1878
[illegible]
Constable

December 12th 1877 signed Frederic Ffeller constable

At the day and hour set for trial defendant appeared not but makes default and plaintiffs having made proof of their claim it is adjudged by me that plaintiffs recover of defendant the sum of fourteen dollars and ten cents ($14.10) with interest at ten percent from this date and costs and accruing costs and that they have execution therefore without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws Dec 15th 1877 H M Henning justice

Received of William Bennett fifteen dollars and seventy five cents ($15.75) in full of judgment and costs in above entitled cause December 17th 1877 H M Henning Justice

State of [illegible])
Larceny

November [illegible]

November 18th 1877 [illegible]

[illegible] Nov 1877 Served by reading
to wit in named witnesses Denver this 18th day of
Nov 1877 Frederic Foeller constable

[illegible]

Recognized [illegible] in behalf of
[illegible]
Malinda Myers [illegible]

State of Indiana Dekalb County ss

Crane Duncan & Co
vs
William Bennett

Complaint on note for fourteen dollars and ten cents
Plaintiffs for their cause of action file the following note to wit

Justices fees	
Summons	25
Trial	25
Judgment	25
Docket	25
	$1.00

$11.87 Waterloo Indiana Jan 1st 1876

One 1st after date I promise to pay to order of Crane Duncan & Co Eleven and 87/100 dollars value received without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws with ten per cent interest from date until paid if this note be collected by suit the judgment shall include the attorney fee for collecting the same
Signed William Bennett

Received my fees in full in above entitled cause
H. M. Henning justice

Summons issued this 10th day of December 1877 and delivered to Frederic Ifeller constable
Return day of summons December 15th 1877 at 8 o'clock A.M.

Dec 15th 1877 Summons returned indorsed as follows
Writ came to hand Dec 11th 1877 Served the within named writ by reading to the within named defendant
December 12th 1877 Signed Frederic Ifeller Const

Constable fees	
Service	25
Mileage	30
Return	10
	65

At the day and hour set for trial defendant appeared and failed to make default and plaintiffs having made proof of their claim It is adjudged by me that plaintiffs recover of the said defendant the sum of fourteen dollars and ten cents ($14.10) with interest at ten per cent from this date and costs and accruing costs and that they have execution therefor without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws Dec 15th 1877 H M Henning justice

Received my fees in above entitled cause for 1878
Frederic Ifeller

Received of William Bennett fifteen dollars and seventy five cents ($15.75) in full of judgment and costs in above entitled cause
December 17th 1877 H M Henning justice

Fees for
summons [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] paid [illegible]

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss
Jacob Kahn
vs
Peter H Fronning

Complaint to recover on note

Jacob Kahn [illegible] of Peter H Fronning and says [illegible] on the [illegible] day of [illegible] by his [illegible] and [illegible] plaintiff [illegible] fifty [illegible] of which [illegible] is due and the [illegible] which is past due and ten per cent attorneys fees wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for the sum of twenty dollars and other proper relief

[illegible] [illegible]

McBride Morlan & Lear
Attys for Plaintiff

Summons issued Dec 31st 1877 and delivered to Frederic Spellce constable summons returned Jan 4th 1878

Jan 5th 1878 this day [illegible] and confess judgment therefore it is adjudged to be that plaintiff recover of defendant the sum twelve dollars and twenty cents with interest at ten per cent from this date and costs and accruing costs and that he have execution therefor without stay for an execution on affidavit [illegible] for 8th [illegible] 78 [illegible]

Received my fees in above cause March 2nd 1878 H H Fronning paid

March 8th 78
Received my fees in above entitled cause
Frederic Spellce
Constable

I John Grambling hereby acknowledge myself replevin Bail for the stay of execution on the above judgment for sixty day from [illegible] this [illegible] ~~witness my hand this [illegible] day of [illegible]~~ [illegible] in unincumbered real estate of the value of ten hundred dollars witness my hand this 5th day of January A D 1878 John Grambling

Test H M Henning Justice

March 2nd 1878 Received of defendant in above cause eight dollars to apply on above judgment
H. H. Henning Justice

April 3rd 1878 Received of Peter H Fronning seven dollars and two cents in full of above judgment and costs
[illegible] Fronning Justice

State of Indiana Dekalb County ss

Jacob Kahn

vs

Summit Smith

Complaint to recover on note

Plaintiff for his cause of action [illegible] the following note and complaint to wit

Jacob Kahn complains [illegible] that defendant by his [illegible] on the [illegible] of March 1874 of the day promised to pay [illegible] right and two dollars together with [illegible] cent and the attorneys fees for collecting (which Plaintiff avers is worth ten dollars) and that [illegible] interest said note to Plaintiff [illegible] copy of said note is filed herewith and [illegible] a part hereof which note is past due and remains unpaid together with interest and [illegible] for the sum of Twenty dollars and [illegible] proper relief

McBride Morlan & Leas
Attys for Plaintiff

[illegible] of note

Waterloo March 16 1874

[illegible] day after we or either of us [illegible] to the order of P Morell Eight Hundred dollars with [illegible] interest for value received without any relief whatever from valuation [illegible] Should this Note have [illegible] to pay [illegible]

[illegible] rsed as [illegible] of [illegible] 1878 [illegible] legal notice

[illegible] judged by me that plaintiff [illegible] of defendant the sum of [illegible] dollars (82.35) with interest [illegible] costs and accruing costs and that he have execution [illegible] any relief from [illegible]

Notice [illegible]	
Summons	[illegible]
Schedule	3 [illegible]
Fees	2 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
Execution	[illegible]
	$1.35

March 9th 78
Received of Jacob Kahn $3.35 in full of cost in above entitled cause
H M Henning
Constable for

Execution returned and schedule rec'd
H M Henning
Justice

March 9th 78
Received copy

Docket fee [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Judgment 25
Main Bail 25

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss.
Jacob Kahn
vs } Complaint in Assumpsit
Peter H. Grambling }

Jacob Kahn [illegible] of Peter H. Grambling and says [illegible] by his [illegible] fifty [illegible] which is past due and the [illegible] percent [illegible] which is past due and the [illegible] percent attorney fees therefore plaintiff demands judgment for the sum of twenty dollars and other proper relief.

McBride Morlan & Lear
Atty's for Plaintiff

[illegible] fee [illegible]

Summons issued Dec 31st 1877 and delivered to Frederic Spiller constable. Summons returned Jan 7th 1878

Jan 5th 1878 This day defendant came and confessed judgment. Therefore it is adjudged and that plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum ten dollars and twenty cents with interest at ten per cent from this date and all the accruing costs and that execution issue for the same without

Received my fees in above cause March 2nd 1878 H. H. Hemming Justice

March 8th 78
Received my fees in above entitled cause
Frederic Spiller
Constable

Jacob Kahn
vs } Judgment before
Peter Grambling } H. H. Hemming
[illegible] Justice of the Peace
[illegible] DeKalb Co
Indiana 187[illegible]

Received [illegible] H. H. Hemming [illegible] in above entitled Judgment [illegible] March 2 1878

McBride Morlan [illegible]
Attys for [illegible]

March 2nd 1878 Received of defendant in above suit eight dollars to apply on above judgment
H. H. Hemming Justice

April 3rd 1878 Received of Peter H. Grambling forty dollars and the [illegible] in full of above judgment [illegible]
H. H. Hemming Justice

Justice fees
summons 5
Docket 3
Trial 2
[illegible]
Execution 2
$1.35

March 9th 78
Received of Jacob Kahn $3.35 in full of costs in above entitled cause
[illegible]

March 9th 78
Received my fees in above

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss

Jacob Kahn
vs
Samuel Smith

Comes the Plaintiff and for his cause of action filed the following note and complaint to wit

Jacob Kahn complains of [illegible] and says that defendant by his note on the 7th day of March 1874 at one day promised [illegible] Plaintiff [illegible] eight and [illegible] cents together with [illegible] and the attorney fees according to [illegible] Plaintiff [illegible] said Morell indorsed said note to Plaintiff a copy of which note is filed herewith and [illegible] said note [illegible] together with interest and attorneys fees wherefore Plaintiff demands judgment for the sum of [illegible] and for relief

McBride & McBride
Attys for Plaintiff

Copy of note

Waterloo March 7th 1874

[illegible] P Morell [illegible] interest for [illegible] without any relief whatever from [illegible] if received [illegible] should [illegible] necessary to pay

that Plaintiff recover of defendant the sum

Docket fee
Summons 25
Docket 25
judgment 25
replevin Bail 25

State of Indiana DeKalb County SS

Jacob Kahn
vs
Peter H. Grawling } Complaint in [illegible]

Jacob Kahn complains of Peter H. Grawling and says that defendant on the [illegible] day of [illegible] by his [illegible] promissory note [illegible] plaintiff [illegible] fifty [illegible] dollars [illegible] which is [illegible] and [illegible] which is past due and ten per cent attorney fees therefore plaintiff demands judgment for the sum of twenty dollars and other proper relief

McBride Morlan & Lear
Attys for Plaintiff

Complaint fee 25

Summons issued Dec 21st 1877 and delivered to Frederic Speller constable summons returned Jan 7th 1878

Jan 5th 1878 this day comes defendant [illegible] and confesses judgment. Therefore it is adjudged by me that Plaintiff recover of defendant the sum ten dollars and twenty cents with interest at ten per cent from this date and costs and accruing costs and that he have execution therefore without [illegible]

Received my fees in above cause March 2nd 1878 H H Fleming justice

Received [illegible] Kennedy the sum of four and 2/100 dollars being amount to apply on a judgment on the justice docket wherein Jacob Kahn is plaintiff and Peter H. Grawling is defendant. April 4, 1878.

McBride Morlan & Lear
Attys for [illegible]

Received [illegible] Eight dollars to apply on above judgment
H H Fleming justice

April 3rd 1878 Received of Peter H Grawling [illegible] dollars and two cents in full of [illegible] judgment and costs [illegible]

Justice fees	
Summons	5
Docket	35
Writ	25
	25
Execution	25
	$1.35

March 9th 75
Received of Jacob Kahn $3.35 in full of costs in above entitled cause
H M Henning
Constable
63

State of Indiana Dekalb County ss

Jacob Kahn
vs
Samuel Smith

Trial before a jury or not. Plaintiff for his cause of action states the following note and complaint to wit

Jacob Kahn complains of Samuel Smith and says that defendant by his note on the 7th day of March 1874 of one day promised to pay Phillip Morell Eight and 6/100 dollars together with [illegible] the attorney fees [illegible] Plaintiff [illegible] is worth ten dollars) and the said Morell indorsed said note to Plaintiff a copy of which note is filed herewith and made a part hereof. Which note is past due and [illegible] unpaid [illegible] with interest [illegible] attorneys fees wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for the sum of Twenty dollars and [illegible] proper relief

McBride Morlan & Leas
Atty for plaintiff

Copy of note

[illegible] March 7th 1874

One day after we or either of us promise to pay to the order of P Morell Eight 6/100 dollars with ten per cent interest for value received without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. Should this Note have to be collected by legal process we agree to pay the attorney fee for [illegible]

[illegible] Smith
[illegible] Jan 7th
[illegible] delivered

March 9th 75
Received my fees in above entitled cause
[illegible] Clerk

[illegible] indorsed as
[illegible] by copy on
[illegible] 2nd 1875
[illegible] Constable

[illegible] legal notice
[illegible] Therefore And Plaintiff
[illegible] of defendant the sum
[illegible] of the [illegible] at ten percent from [illegible] date together with costs and accruing costs and that he have execution [illegible] with [illegible] any [illegible] from [illegible] 1875 [illegible]

J. J. Dickinson
Constable
Came to hand
at 2 o'clock P M the
20th day of Jan. 1875
Served the within
writ by demanding
[illegible] of def-
[illegible]

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss

Jacob Rahn

vs

Peter H Gruming

Complaint in Assumpsit

Jacob Rahn complains of Peter H Gruming and says that on the 10th day of January A D 1876 by his note of that date and to his plaintiff he promised to pay the sum of fifty dollars with interest at ten per cent which is past due and ten per cent attorney fees. Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for the sum of twenty dollars and other proper relief.

McBride Morlan & Lear
Atty's for Plaintiff

Summons issued Dec 31st 1877 and delivered to Frederic Foster constable. Summons returned Jan 7th 1878

Jan 7th 1878 this day defendant come and confesses judgment. Therefore it is considered and adjudged that plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum twenty dollars and twenty cents with interest at ten per cent from this date and his accruing costs and that he have execution therefor forthwith

Received my fees in above cause March 2nd 1878 H H Fleming Justice

Received of H H Fleming the sum of one and 33/100 dollars being a balance of a judgment in full and satisfaction of a docket in his office in a case wherein Jacob Rahn is plaintiff and Peter H Gruming is defendant. April 6 1878

McBride Morlan & Lear
Plaintiff's Attys

Eight dollars to apply on above judgment
H H Fleming Justice

April 3rd 1878 Received of Peter H Gruming four dollars and two cents in full of above judgment and costs
H H Fleming Justice

Fees per
summons 5
Docket 3
Fil 2
[illegible] 2
Execution 25
$1.35

March 9th 78
Received of Jacob
Kahn $3.35 in
full of costs in
the within entitled cause
Fred Fleming
Co for
65

[illegible]
and schedule
[illegible]
Fred Fleming
[illegible]

March 9th 78
Received my
fees in above
entitled cause
Frederic Geller
Constable

Execution issued
Jan 18th 1878
Ret'd 20th 1878
Execution returned
indorsed as follows
came to hand at 7
O'clock P.M. the 18th
Jan
[illegible]
[illegible]

At 2 o'clock P.M. the
2nd day of Jany 78
served the within
writ by demanding
a property of the
[illegible]

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss

Jacob Kahn }
vs } Complain is recover or not
Samuel Smith } Plaintiff for his cause of action [illegible]
the following note and complaint to wit

Jacob Kahn complains [illegible] Samuel Smith and says that defendant by his [illegible] on the [illegible] day of March 1877 for value received promised [illegible] P Morrell Eight and 60/100 dollars together with ten per [illegible] the attorney [illegible] Plaintiff avers is worth ten dollars) and that said Morrell indorsed said note to Plaintiff [illegible] a copy of which note is filed herewith and [illegible] that said note is [illegible] the said interest and [illegible] for the sum of Twenty dollars and [illegible] proper relief

McBride Morlan & Leas
Attys for Plaintiff

Copy of note

Waterloo March 7th 1877

One day after we or either of us promise to pay to the order of P Morrell Eight 60/100 dollars with ten per cent interest for value received without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws Should [illegible] the attorney [illegible]

Signed Samuel Smith

Summons issued Dec 11th 1877 returnable Jan 11 1878 at 1 O'clock in the afternoon and delivered to Frederic Geller Constable

January 11th 1878 [illegible] returned indorsed as follows came to hand Jan 1st 1878 served by copy the within named defendant served Jan 2nd 1878

[illegible]

[illegible] it is adjudged by [illegible] ninety eight [illegible] with interest at ten per cent from this date together with costs and accruing [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
1.50	[illegible]
	[illegible] action [illegible]
Received my fee in full April 10th 1878 [illegible]	[illegible] Best & Charles A McClellan [illegible] William Dirgin [illegible] herewith, promise [illegible] pay [illegible] order [illegible]
	[illegible] with [illegible] and remains unpaid [illegible] aver that [illegible]
[illegible] fees 75	Wherefore they demand judgment for seventy five dollars Best & McClellan
	$50.00 Waterloo Ind Feb 26th 1878
Received my costs in full in above cause April 10th 1878 Frederic [illegible] Constable	[illegible] first [illegible] I promise to pay to the order of James A. Wilson fifty dollars value received without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws of the State of Indiana with Interest at ten per cent per annum from date until [illegible] shall [illegible] reasonable [illegible] for plaintiff Attorney
	Due October 1st 1878 William Dirgin
	Summons issued this [illegible] day of [illegible] to Frederic [illegible] constable
	February 6th 1878 summons returned indorsed as follows
	I served the within writ by reading to within named defendant February [illegible] 1878 services 25 mileage 40 return 10 Total .75
	Frederic [illegible]
	At the time set for trial defendant [illegible]
	notice of the [illegible]
	[illegible] favor
	[illegible]
	[illegible] the sum of $[illegible] with interest at ten per cent from this date

$36.65 Recd of Dimon Hemming
J. P. Henry $4.65, for detain
in jail of Deponent, over &
as a witness William Irwin
April 13th AD 1878
West C. McClellan

Fee
Sheriff

Received payment in full April 10th 1878
Will Henning

Received payment in full in above cause April 10th 1878
Frederic Geller
Constable

[illegible] William Irvine [illegible] on the 20 day of February 1876 by [illegible] which [illegible] one of which [illegible] from the motion or [illegible] with [illegible] cate entitled [illegible] judgment [illegible] for [illegible] Attorney
Dec October 1st 1876 William Irvine
Summons issued this [illegible] day of January 1878 and delivered to Frederic Geller [illegible]
[illegible] February [illegible]
return [illegible]

[illegible] 36 [illegible] rule

[illegible]
execution therefore without any relief from valuation
or appraisement laws of the State of Indiana
rendered this 6th day of February 1878

H M Fleming Justice

[illegible] day of February [illegible]
April 10th 1878 Execution returned indorsed
satisfied in full and signed by [illegible]
Constable

[illegible]

[illegible]

Orris Danks complains of

[illegible]

[illegible] 25

[illegible] 25

[illegible] 3.375

Orris Danks [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Orris Danks

[illegible]

2nd day of [illegible]

Were [illegible] and twenty five

[illegible]

[illegible]

Came to hand

[illegible] 2.50

[illegible] the within

[illegible] 25 Mileage 40 Return 10 Total 75 cents

February 6th 1878 This day defendant appeared

and satisfied above account and costs in full

[illegible]

[illegible] cause

Frederic Spelles

Constable

Feb 25th 1878

Chris Banks

vs

William H. Clarke

Justice fees

Summons 25
Docket 25
Affidavit 25
Judgment 25
trial 25
$1.25

Received my fees in full
H M Henning
Justice

June 3rd 1878
Received my costs accrued in above entitled cause
H M Henning
Justice

Constables fees
To

Received my fees in full in above entitled cause
May 21 1878
Frederic Espitle
Constable

February 8th 1878 Summons returned indorsed as follows came to hand the 11th day of February 1878 Served the within writ by leaving full & fair copy of within named defendant
Served February 11th 1878 copy & mileage & return 10 Total 75 cents
Signed Frederic Espitle Constable

February 18th 1878 1 O'clock P.M. This cause dismissed at defendants costs therefor it is adjudged by me that Plaintiff recover of defendant his costs and accruing costs H M Henning Justice

Execution issued April 8th 1878 H M Henning J.P.
June 18th 1878 Execution returned Satisfied in full
H M Henning Justice

[illegible]

Vs

[illegible] Orris Lewis [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Orris Lewis [illegible]

[illegible] said Louis

[illegible] Orris Lewis

[illegible] day of February 1878

H M Henning Justice

[illegible]

Summons returned endorsed as follows

Came to hand the 2nd day of February 1878

Served the within named writ by reading to the within named defendant Februa[ry]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Received my fees in full in above entitled cause

H M Henning Justice

[illegible] in full in above entitled cause

Frederic [illegible]

Constable

Feb 25th 1878

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss
Orris Banks

William H. Clark

Justices fees
Summons 25
Docket 15
Affidavit 25
Judgment 25
Trial 25
$1.25

William H. Clark [illegible]

Orris Banks [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

400

[illegible] day of [illegible] [illegible]

Constable's fee
Received my fee in full in above entitled cause
Aug 21 1878
Frederick Geller
Constable

February 8th 1878 Summons returned indorsed as follows Came to hand the 11th day of February 1878 Served the within writ by reading all of it to the within named defendant
Served February 11th 1878 copy 25 mileage 50 return 10 Total 75 cents
Signed Frederick Geller Const

February 16 1878 Default P.M. The above suit is dismissed at defendants costs. Judgment is adjudged by me that Plaintiff recover of defendant his costs and accruing costs H M Henning Justice

Execution issued April 11th 1878 H M Henning Justice
June 8th 1878 Execution returned Satisfied in full
H M Henning Justice

State of Indiana Dekalb County SS

25	Isaac Williams
25	Vs [illegible] H.H. [illegible]
100	[illegible]
Swearing wit. 150	
Docket 25	
[illegible] 25	[illegible] action [illegible]
[illegible] 35	
$2.65	Statement of Acct

To [illegible]

May 15 1874 To one coffin $20.00
Interest at six percent 5.80

[illegible] 65 cts | Summons issued 27th day of March 18[illegible] [illegible] April 2nd 1878 at one oclock in the afternoon and delivered to Frederic Heller Constable [illegible] 18[illegible] Summons [illegible] whereon [illegible] 28th day of March 1878 [illegible] defendant [illegible] 65

Sgned Frederic Heller Constable

April [illegible] at peared and [illegible] filed an affidavit for continuance Whereupon Plaintiff [illegible] the absent witness accordingly the motion was [illegible] witnesses examined [illegible] sworn [illegible] hearing testimony and argument of counsel

~~[illegible]~~

~~[illegible]~~ thereupon it ~~[illegible]~~ is adjudged ~~by me~~ that Plaintiff [illegible] of defendant the sum of twenty seven dollars [illegible] five cents ($27.25) with interest at six [illegible] from the date hereof together with [illegible] cost [illegible] execution therefore

[illegible] day of April 1878
H H [illegible] Justice

Appeal Bond filed [illegible] April 6th 1878

[illegible]

[illegible] 25

assigned 5
Fees 25

[illegible] following Words and Figures

June 3rd 1878
Received my fees
in full in above
entitled cause
H. H. Haynes J.P.

1876 Nov 20th To one pair Boots $4.50

In foregoing account were only sold and delivered
Saml Tapley C. W. Lanke

[illegible]

H. M. H[illegible] Justice

Received my fees
in full in above
entitled cause
Aug 31 1878
Frederick Fletcher
Constable

Summons issued March 18th 1878 made returnable
April 2nd 1878 at 8 oclock in the forenoon and
[illegible]

Came to hand March the 28th 1878
Frederick Fletcher Constable

June 3rd 1878
He issued my
fees as constable
in above entitled
cause
I do respectfully
Constable

[illegible]

H. M. H[illegible] Justice

[illegible] 18th April 1878 [illegible]

execution returned Schedule filed by defendant
and costs taxed by plaintiff June 3rd 78 H. M. Haynes
Justice

Fairhee fees
Summons 25
Docket 25
Execution 25

State of Indiana Lake County ss

Frederick W Oberlin
vs
Frederic Huhn &
Adam C Hanman

Complaint before
Wm Henning justice.

Frederick W Oberlin Complains of Frederic Huhn & Adam C Hanman and for his cause of action bids the defendants pay [illegible]

865 April 17th 1878

Five months after date we promise to pay to the order of [illegible] Eight hundred & sixty five Dollars Value received without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws with 10 per cent interest from date if not paid when due Signed Frederic Huhn
Adam C Hanman

Received my fees in full in above cause June 1st 1878
Wm Henning
Justice

Received of Wm Henning justice fees in full in above cause Aug 5th 1878 Frederic Effeller Constable

Summons issued April 17th 1878 that defendants April 18th 1878 at 1 o'clock in the forenoon [illegible] Frederic Effeller Constable

1878 Served the 18th day of April 1878 by reading within named Adam C Hanman & Huhn not found in my county Service 75 cents
Frederic Effeller Constable

Received $1.25 as costs in the above entitled cause June 3rd 1878
Wm Higgins
Constable

[illegible] appeared [illegible] legal notice of the pending thereof and Plaintiff having given proof of his claim & find in his favor therefore it is adjudged by me that Plaintiff [illegible] eight hundred & three dollars ($803.00) with interest from this date at 10 % and his costs and accruing costs and that we have execution therefor without any [illegible]
April 17th 1878 Wm Henning Justice

Execution issued April 22 1878

June 1st 1878 this day Plaintiff tax all costs in above entitled cause and ordered the execution [illegible] for the present

June 3rd 78 execution returned with Schedule of property found

[illegible]

[illegible] John Dickel [illegible]
of Joseph Cornwell and says that [illegible]
[illegible] of January 1877 on his [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] which note is here [illegible]
hereof that of the said note the interest was paid
until July 1st 1877 that defendant failed to pay
said note at maturity and that one Thomas Hefly,
[illegible]
[illegible] Thomas Freeman [illegible]
John Lear who comprise the firm of and are
partners doing business under the firm name and
style of Citizens Bank brought suit [illegible] on
said note and against said Hefly and specifying
that on the third day of September 1877 Homer M
Henning a Justice of the Peace rendered a judgment
against said Thomas Hefly by confession that
plaintiff therein became defendant [illegible]
That said judgment was rendered on the th[illegible]
[illegible] of September 1877 for the sum of Ninety eight
dollars and eighty cents ($98.80) the same being [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] as in process
That a copy of said judgment is filed herewith
and made a part hereof
That on the thirtieth day of March 1878 an
[illegible]
of Ninety eight and [illegible] dollars & the costs which
had accrued thereon. That on the Nineteenth

proper and equitable relief

McBride Morlan & Lear
Attys for plaintiff

September Sept 3rd 1877 was a regular

on this judgment on error in error and makes

recover of a minute the sum Nineteen hundred

appeal

Rendered this 3rd day of September 1877

Vito

Eighty six & 50/100 dollars

payable at Citizens Bank

[illegible]

Constables fees	June 10th 1878 8 O'clock in the forenoon S[illegible]
[illegible] 25	Returned by constable endorsed [illegible]
[illegible] 50	5th day of June 1878 June 6th 1878 served by [illegible]
[illegible] 10	to Joseph Bierwood service & [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
	P. Kip
Received of John Bickel Plaintiff in	At the time set for trial [illegible]
of my fees as constable in	of Summons that [illegible]
connected cause	satisfactory proof of the claim [illegible]
Peter Kiplinger Constable	[illegible] defendant the sum of [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] of the [illegible] of God
Rendered this 10th day [illegible]

H. M. Henning Justice

Come now said plaintiff by McGhee Moran Fries his attorneys and said defendant by Prof McClellan his attorneys at 1 o'clock p.m. on said 10th day of June 1878 and by agreement the judgment heretofore rendered in the above entitled cause is set aside and said plaintiff thereupon dismisses said cause at his cost and asks leave to withdraw the papers filed in said cause by him, which is granted and leave given to withdraw said papers from the files - and it is thereupon adjudged by the court that said defendant recover of said plaintiff his costs herein taxed at [illegible] dollars

H. M. Henning Justice

maturity (the interest until maturity at that

valuation or Appraisement laws. The drawers

Summons issued July 28th

of the pending here of

adjudged by me that

costs and interest at ten per

Orlando J. Clark }
vs

[illegible]

[illegible] Plaintiff in above entitled cause
Summons 25 complains of [illegible]
[illegible] for [illegible]
Costs 2 50 | 3 38 [illegible]

One day after date I promise to pay
O J Clark or bearer Three Dollars
Witness [illegible] [illegible]
per annum signed Thomas Hefty

[illegible]

August 9th 1878 at 9 O'clock in the forenoon and
Summons [illegible] delivered to Peter Kiplinger Constable of Smith-
Received of [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible]

August 8th 1878 At the day and hour set for
[illegible] trial [illegible]
[illegible] Justice | endorsed as follows Came to hand 8th day
of August 1878 served by [illegible] [illegible]
55 cents Peter Kiplinger Constable.
[illegible] defendant appearing not in court
[illegible] default therefore it is adjudged
[illegible] by me that Plaintiffs recover of defendant
[illegible] Dec 30 1878 the sum of Three dollars and eighty cents
[illegible] ($3 80) together with [illegible]
costs &c and that he have execution therefore
Rendered [illegible] 1878 [illegible]

Area to Clerk

The plaintiff in above entitled cause complains of defendant and for cause of action [illegible]

Summons issued to Peter Kiplinger constable 23d day 1878 and made returnable August 9th at 9 o'clock in the forenoon

August 9th 1878 Summons returned indorsed as follows came to hand 5th August 1878 ~~indorsed as follows~~ Service 55 cents

On the day set for trial and at the hour set for [illegible] defendant [illegible]

Therefore it is adjudged by me that Plaintiff [illegible] recover of defendant the sum of fourty four Dollars [illegible] and that the law [illegible] relief from valuation or appraisement laws [illegible]

Rendered this 9th day of August 1878

H. W. Henning Justice

[illegible]

[illegible] in favor of O E Clark Tribe [illegible] Dollars
[illegible] 1878 valuation or abatisainment Laws [illegible]
H W Henning [illegible] ten per cent interest from date until paid
Justice And attorneys fees [illegible]

Summons issued this 6th August 1878 and delivered
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] came to hand 9th day of August 1878
Received my [illegible] Service 35 cents and signed Peter Lillinger Const
[illegible]
[illegible]
Peter Lillinger [illegible] costs which money was turned over to me by
Constable said constable receipt [illegible] and
the same is hereby receipted for.
Aug 9th 1878 H W Henning Justice

No. Watertown Aug 11 1878

Received of H M Heng

Five 57/100 100 Dollars,

on Cottidy of John Grandy

S J J C O Clark

Summons to Peter

August 9th 1878 Summons returned endorsed as follows came to hand 9th day of August 1878 service 25 cents and summoned Peter Kiplinger Constable defendant in above entitled cause this day paid to Peter Kiplinger Constable seven dollars in money on good of not [illegible] costs which money was turned over to me by said constable except 25 cents his costs and the same is hereby receipted for

Aug 9th 1878 H M Hennings Justice

Received money as constable above entitled

Peter Kiplinger Constable

No.

Waterloo Iowa Sept 7 1870

Received of H. M. Henry Co

Six 50/100 Dollars,

in full for Hay Forney Cattle

O. J. Clark

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss
Orlando T. Bell

John Gramling and files his complaint in the following words and figures to wit

Justice fees
Constable 25

No 515 Waterloo Nov 8 1877

Received
Fee
on c

And allo

To summon
the Peter
August

August 8th 1878 Summons returned endorsed as
well as came to hand 9th day of August 1878
service signed Peter Riplinger Const

Defendant in above entitled cause this day
paid to Peter Riplinger Constable seven
dollars full of note and accrued
costs which money was turned over to me by
said constable except 25 cents his costs and
the same is hereby receipted for
Aug 20 1878 A M Bennings Jus

Constable
in above entitled
cause Aug 9th 1878
Constable

Justices fees	Complaint on note
summons 25	Alonzo A. Barnes
docket 50	... Abbott plaintiff ...
Received ...	fourteen Dollars
... Justice	Paid on note $1.50
	~~October 12th 1878~~
	Summons issued this 8th day of October 1878 and made returnable October 12th 1878 at 8 oclock in the fore noon and delivered to Peter Kiplinger
serving execution	
1.30	October 12th 1878 At the time set for trial
	Peter Kiplinger Constable
	Service 65 cents
	defendant appears not but makes default and as it appears by return of summons that he has had ... having made prooff of his claim Therefore It is adjudged by me that Plaintiff recover of defendant the sum of twelve & 50/100 dollars with ten per cent interest from this date together with his costs and accruing costs and that have ...
	Rendered this 12th day of October 1878
	H M Henning Justice
	Execution issued this 13th day of Nov 1878
	Dec 3rd 1878 execution returned ... as follows November 30th 1878 ... Paid, eight ...

December 12th 1878 Received of A. ... Justice $5.26 in full ... Abbott

Henry Delong
vs.
Henry Boghtman &
Andrew Boghtman

OFFICE OF
D. Y. HUSSELMAN,
ATTORNEY AT LAW.
COLLECTIONS A SPECIALTY.

In Reply to Yours of

Waterloo, Ind. Jan 18th 1877

Received of H M Henning J P Thirty five and 90/100 Dollars in full of the above judgment except costs

D Y Husselman
Atty for Pltff

Summons 50	Plaintiff further says that the defendant
Judgment 25	paid the sum of Six Hundred Dollars on the
Docket 50	and that the said [illegible]
[illegible] 25	
Jan 20th 1878 Received my fees in full in above entitled cause H M Henning J P	Attorneys fee [illegible] said note is [illegible] Dollars [illegible] plaintiff [illegible] professional [illegible] Wherefore he demands judgment for Fourty Dollars and all other proper relief. D Y Husselman Pltff Atty
[illegible]	[illegible]
Jan 20th 78 Received of Jeff [illegible]	Eight Hundred and 50 Dollars Value [illegible]
Fees & expenses	[illegible] Summons issued [illegible] 1878 [illegible] 7th Nov 1878 at 10 o'clock in the forenoon and [illegible] Peter Kiplinger Constable. Returned [illegible] 7th 1878 [illegible] Fees [illegible] 4th day of Nov 1878 Peter Kiplinger Constable

50

Received my fees in above case
H W Henning
Justice

Summons issued this 8th day of October 1878
in the forenoon and delivered to Peter Kiplinger

Peter Kiplinger
Constable

Service 65 cents

Defendant appears not but makes default and as it
appears by return of summons that he has had
having made proof of his claim. Therefore
it is adjudged by me that Plaintiff recover
of the defendant the sum of [illegible] dollars
with his costs and accruing costs and that the
[illegible] day of October 1878
H W Henning Justice

Execution issued this 18th day of Nov 1878

Nov 30 1878

Transcript 12th 1878
Received of H W Henning
in full
A. W. C. H

[illegible]

[illegible] promises to pay [illegible] Kayman or bearer
the sum of Thirty five and 75/100 [illegible]
[illegible] transferred the note for a valuable
[illegible] the plaintiff [illegible]
Plaintiff [illegible] Abst [illegible]
recovery [illegible] on the 23rd day August 1
paid the sum of Six 75/100 dollars on said note
and that the residue thereof [illegible] with interest

[illegible]

[illegible] attorneys [illegible] said
[illegible] [illegible]
of prosecution [illegible] Wherefore he [illegible]

[illegible]

J. PITTS ATT

[illegible]

[illegible]

Jan 20th 79 Received of Thirty two and 50/100 Dollars value
[illegible] in full received without any relief from valuation
in above entitled or appraisement laws with ten per cent interest
cause from date until paid and attorneys fees
Kenry Voglesinger
Andrew Voglesinger

[illegible] Six and 100 Dollars
[illegible] 1878 made

[illegible] Papers

[illegible] day and hour set for trial [illegible]
[illegible] Appeared and wanted to be sued [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] W. Henning Justice

[illegible]

Ten Dollars to apply on note filed in above
W. W. Henning Justice

[illegible]

A.M.

November 28th 1878 10 o'clock A.M. Defendants returned
[illegible] Now 1878 November 25th 1878 served this writ
[illegible]

At the day and hour set for trial appeared
[illegible]
had legal notice of the pending hereof and
plaintiff having made proof of his demand is
[illegible] in his favor and rendered for plaintiff

Therefore it is adjudged by me that the Plaintiff
recover of defendants the sum of Twenty five
dollars and sixty five cents ($25.65) which includes
[illegible] attorneys fees with interest at ten
per cent from this date together with his costs
and accruing costs and that he have execution
[illegible] without any relief from valuation or
appraisement laws of the state of Indiana
Rendered this 28th day November A.D. 1878

W. W. Henning Justice

December 6th 1878 Received of Henry Dunton
Defendant Twelve dollars to apply on above
judgment
W. W. Henning Justice

Execution issued this 21st day of December 1878
[illegible] Peter Kiplinger constable

State of Indiana Lake Co.

John Fisher } Complaint on account sworn
vs } Neal Hanning Justice of the Peace
John Maine } of Semi Hills Township 1 [illegible]

[illegible]

The Plaintiff filed the following complaint as a cause of action in the above entitled cause

John Fisher Complainant of John Maine and says that the defendant is indebted to him in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars [illegible]

Notice [illegible]			
Summons	25		
5 Subpoenas	1.25		
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
5.75	1877	John Maine Dr. To [illegible]	
March 1st 1877			
received my fees		use of wagon	15 00
in full satisfaction			
in annexed cause		To use of corn sheller two days	1.50
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]		[illegible]	1
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
entitled cause		8 days work in [illegible]	
March 13th 1877		1 day Threshing	1 00
[illegible]			
Costs taxed		To Louse trice [illegible]	2 00
[illegible]		C. H. Whitlock Attorney for plaintiff	
[illegible]	5.00		
[illegible]		Summons issued [illegible]	
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
18.25			
about three Dollars Back			
[illegible]		Silas Nadine	
& Jurors 35/100		[illegible]	
Dollars due		[illegible]	
Constable on the		[illegible]	
above		[illegible] the Defendant this 14th day of November 1877	

[illegible]
appeared and the defendant
Pargraphs as follows

Before Homer Henning J P Smithfield Town
ship Sekalb County Indiana
John Wisher
vs
[illegible]

1st — The Defendant for his answer
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
defendant a bill of Particulars [illegible]
herewith and defendant asks that no account of
said claim equal to any sum that may be found
[illegible] that he may have judgment for the amount
[illegible]

Bill of Particulars

1877	To going to mill at Orangeville	2.00
	" " " "	[illegible]
	" " " "	1.00
	" " " "	.00
	" " " "	[illegible]
	Going [illegible]	[illegible]
	February [illegible] weeks	[illegible]
	Cash [illegible] Delaware mill	5.00
	" Franks [illegible]	2.5[illegible]
	" " " "	[illegible]
	" " " "	[illegible]
	" " " " flour	[illegible]
	" " " "	[illegible]
	" " " "	[illegible]
1878		
	" " 3 [illegible] grain to market	3.0[illegible]

"	[illegible]	[illegible]
"	Sawing 11 saw logs at mill [illegible]	$11.00
"	Hauling corn [illegible]	3.00
"	[illegible] 5 [illegible] per barrel	1.00
"	[illegible]	"
"	Plowing one acre of ground	2.00
"	Hauling [illegible] wood [illegible]	8.00
"	[illegible]	[illegible]
"	Cash in repairing [illegible]	2.50
"	2 days making hay	[illegible]
"	4 days Hauling stone	4.00
"	[illegible]	[illegible]
"	[illegible]	[illegible]
"	[illegible]	[illegible]
"	1 trip with [illegible] to [illegible]	1.00
"	Hauling wood [illegible]	1.00
"	7 trips to [illegible] at Pelf's request	5.00
"	Sharpening 31 sheep	1.55
"	1 [illegible]	[illegible]
"	1 [illegible]	[illegible]
"	1 [illegible]	[illegible]
"	4 [illegible]	[illegible]
"	[illegible]	[illegible]
"	1 trip to Shatfers	.50
"	1 day butchering	.75
"	Hauling & loading wood to [illegible]	1.00
"	1 " [illegible]	.50
"	Digging ditch 1/2 day	.50
"	Doing house work from Dec 11th [illegible]	
	13th to [illegible] 1878. 8 weeks	6.00
To	Cash at Duncans mill	5.00
"	" "	2.50
"	" [illegible]	1.40
"	1 [illegible]	[illegible]
"	[illegible]	[illegible]
To	15 lbs sugar $1.10	
"	10 lb Pork 1.38	
"	2 " coffee .60	
"	Soda .10	
	1 " [illegible] .15	
"	[illegible]	[illegible]
"	1 " [illegible] .18	
"	Vinegar .15	
"	[illegible] .15	[illegible]
"	1 [illegible] 1.00	
"	1 " [illegible]	[illegible]

On Water.

	13	~~1.75~~	1.65
	"	"	1.50
		"	.50
		"	1.50
			1.50
	11	"	1.50
		"	1.40
	1 —	"	.50
	1 —	"	1.50
	12 —	"	1.50
[illegible] Kenan	10 —	"	.50
[illegible]	12 —	"	1.60
Lalia Brooks	9		1.45

[illegible] Plaintiff [illegible] defendant [illegible] evidence [illegible] argument of counsel [illegible]

Therefore it is adjudged [illegible] that Plaintiff [illegible] ($5.75) costs and accruing costs and that

Rendered this 21st day of November A D 18[illegible]

J. M. Hemmi
Justice of the Peace

Execution issued this 28th day of November 1878 and delivered to Peter Kiplinger Constable

March 1st 1879 Received of John Fisher Plaintiff per C. M. Philips his Attorney fourteen dollars to apply on costs in the within [illegible] [illegible] writ returned this 7th day of [illegible] Kiplinger Constable

Execution issued this 10th day of July A D 1880 and delivered to Royal Hale constable

Late of Indian Depredations

No. _____ 187

Received of Horace Henry

Six 75/100 Dollars,

on Collection of John Strack

$ 6.75 O.T.C. & N.M.

Cor. Bill pro. Page 11

Name of witness				
	13		1.75	1.65
David Holmes	10	"	"	1.50
John Leightbourner	10	"	"	1.50
William Summerset	10	"	"	1.50
" Leightbourner Jr	10	"	"	1.50
[illegible]	10	"	"	1.50
George Scattergood	8	"	"	1.40
A. L. Freeman	10	"	"	1.50
[illegible] Scaife	10	"	"	1.50
[illegible] Speer	10	"	"	1.50
[illegible] Coleman	10	"	"	1.50

Mar[illegible]
Abo[illegible]
[illegible]

(15.75)

[illegible] November A D 1878

W M Hamm
Justice of the Peace

[illegible] 18[illegible]
[illegible]

March 1st 1879 Received of John Fisher Plaintiff [illegible] C. M. Phillips his Attorney fourteen dollars to apply on costs in above entitled [illegible] W M Hamm Justice [illegible] follows [illegible] by the plaintiff's Attorney to return this writ returned this 7th day of March 1879 Peter [illegible]
Schedule of defendant returned with the execution
Execution issued this 10th day of July A D 1880 and delivered to Royal Hale constable

[illegible]

Orlando H Clark

[illegible]

John Attrack

[illegible] John Attrack and his cause of action

30 $6.70 Waterloo Iowa Oct 23 1878

One day after date I promise to pay to the order of O T Clark Six 70/100 Dollars with reasonable Attorney's fees if suit is instituted on this note. Value received without any relief whatever from valuation or Appraisement laws with interest at the rate of 10 per cent per annum [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Signed John Attrack

Returnable at ten o'clock of the 23rd day of November 1878 and [illegible]

Nov 23 1878

November 20th Summons received to be served same to hand 19th day of November 1878

Served this writ by reading it to the defendant this 20th day of November 1878 at ten o'clock A.M. Services November 22nd this day defendant appeared and paid note and one half the costs the Plaintiff agreeing [illegible] the costs

[illegible]

Samuel Locht
vs
Peter H Grambling

51 60/100

Received of H M Fleming justice of the Peace fifty one dollars and ~~fourty~~ sixty five cents in full of judgment in above entitled cause

D M Rooney

cause

Received of Peter H Grambling 52 75/100 Dollars in full of judgment and costs in above entitled cause

H M Fleming
Justice

(50 85/100) with interest from this date at six per cent per annum with costs and accruing costs and that execution issue therefore without any stay whatever

Rendered this 3rd day of December AD 1878

Richard Grambling H by leave of court for the stay of execution on the above

Fifteen Hundred

Richard Grambling

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss

O Brown Clark } Complaint on note
vs } Plaintiff in above entitled
William Dirrin } cause [illegible]

[illegible] Waterloo Inda Sept 28 1878
[illegible] 1878 [illegible] promise to pay
to the order of O B Clark
Seven & 80/100 Dollars
with [illegible] attorney fees if the
suit be instituted on this note
Value received without any relief whatever
from valuation or appraisement laws
with interest at the rate of 10
per cent per annum from
until paid The drawers and
indorsers of [illegible]
presentment for payment protest
and notice of protest and non payment
of this note.
No interest if paid when due
No 10 Due — Wm Dirrin

Justice fee
Summons .25
Trial .25
Judgment .25
Docket .50

Received my fees in full in above entitled cause March 8/ 1879
[illegible]

Constables fees
.75

Received my fees as constable in above entitled causes March 8th 1879
[illegible]

[illegible] day [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] February
[illegible] he indorsed
[illegible]
[illegible] to defendant
[illegible] 1879 service 75
[illegible]
[illegible] not for
[illegible]
it appears
that he
has had legal notice of the pending
hereof And Plaintiff having
given satisfactory proof of his
claim
Therefore it is considered and adjudged by the
court that plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eight
Dollars and Ten cents together with his costs and accruing
costs and for his relief from valuation or appraisement laws [illegible]

O Brown Clark }
vs }
William Dirrin }

Received of W H Henning
J.P. on judgment in above
entitled cause the sum of
Eight dollars and ten cents
March 8th 1879
O. Brown Clark

Received my fees as constable in above entitled cause March 8th 1879
[illegible]
W [illegible] Kennedy
Special Constable

Execution issued
[illegible]

Plaintiff received
[illegible]

Received my fees in full [illegible] entitled [illegible]

[illegible]

Samuel Hocht
vs
Peter H Gramling

51 60/100

Received of H W Henning justice of the Peace fifty one dollars and ~~fourty~~ sixty five cents in full of judgment in above entitled cause

L W Hortney

May 3rd 1879
Received of Peter H Gramling [illegible] dollars in full of judgment and costs in above entitled cause
H W Henning
Justice

[illegible] sum of fifty dollars and (50.00) with interest from this date [illegible] costs and that the same [illegible] Defendant [illegible]

Rendered this 3rd day of December A D 18[illegible]
H W H [illegible]

[illegible] Richard Gramling [illegible] by [illegible] for the stay of execution [illegible]

[illegible] in [illegible] read [illegible]
Fifteen hundred [illegible] cents
Witness my [illegible] this 5th day of [illegible] 1879.
Richard Gramling
Test H W Henning [illegible]

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss

O B Brown Clark } Complaint on note
vs } Plaintiff in above entitled
William Dirrim } cause files the following note

$7.80 Waterloo Inda Sept 28 1878
Dec 1st 1878 after date I promise to pay
to the order of O B Clark
Seven & 80/100 Dollars
with fees and Attorney fee if this
suit be instituted on this note
value received without any relief claimed
from valuation or appraisement laws
with interest at the rate of 10
per cent per annum from
until paid The drawers and
indorsers of severally waive
presentment for payment protest
and notice of protest and non payment
of this note.
No interest if paid when due
Due - Wm Dirrim

justices fees
Summons 25
Trial 25
Judgment 25
Docket 50

Received my fees
March 18/ 1879
4 Mileage 48

Constables fees
75

Received my fees
as constable in above
entitled cause
80 10

Summons issued this 10th day of
Feb 1879 and delivered to William
Kennedy a special Constable
Summons made returnable February
15th 1879 at 8 o'clock in the forenoon
Summons returned by constable as follows
Came to hand February
15th 1879 Served by reading to defendant
the within summons this 15th day of February 1879
William Kennedy
February 15th 1879 At the time set for
trial defendant appeared and
makes default And as it appears
from the return of summons that he
was served by notice of the pending
hereof And Plaintiff having
given satisfactory proof of his
claim
Therefore it is considered and adjudged by the
court that plaintiff recover of defendant the sum of Eight
dollars ($8.00) and four cent together with his costs and accrued
costs and that he have execution without any relief from val-
uation or appraisement laws of the State of Indiana

Execution issued
March 18
Returned
48

State of [illegible]

[illegible] Jacob Ram
[illegible]

[illegible] March 8th 1878

Plaintiffs in above entitled cause file [illegible]
[illegible] Work [illegible]

Fees
Trial 1.00
Preparing writ .10
[illegible]
[illegible] 1.10

[illegible] justices court before Roman
Pickard County [illegible] presiding justice of the peace in
[illegible]

[illegible]
Joseph Rogers
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Walker

[illegible]
[illegible] as under the firm name
[illegible] B [illegible]
C Walker

[illegible] be answerable [illegible] any of many
[illegible] 1.10 [illegible] or obligations with
[illegible] note is filed therewith

[illegible] [illegible] Waterman cashier
[illegible] 1.535 [illegible] hundred & thirty five ($1.35) dollars payable
[illegible] Waterman Bank

March 10th 78
Received my fees
as constable
[illegible] filed
[illegible]
[illegible] Hollingsworth
Constable

[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] That said

[illegible] without any valid
[illegible] or [illegible] and
the makers and endorsers [illegible]
were presented for payment
protest and notice of non-payment
and the [illegible] are [illegible] to
[illegible] by the [illegible] of
of Thos. Marrison and [illegible]

Plaintiff's Attorney John M. Welch
No. [illegible] Cause No. 78
December 9th 1878 At the time set for trial
[illegible]
Defendant came to hand 2nd day of Dec. 18
Served this writ by reading this 9th day of Dec 18
[illegible]

Parties joined the Plaintiff by his Atty
and the Defendant in person
with his Atty. [illegible] Atty McCullough and the
defendant filed his answer and cross
complaint in the following words to wit

State of Indiana DeKalb county
Joseph Boyer
Miles Waterman
Plaintiffs
vs
John R Wilson
Defendant

Before Hiram Hanna
a justice of the Peace in
and for Smithfield Tp
said county

Sept 7th 1880
Filed my fees
[illegible] in
[illegible] cause
B. F. McCullough Atty

Defendant for his
answer to complaint of Plaintiffs
[illegible] the [illegible] the promissory
note as stated and set forth in
the complaint and that it is true
and that we put [illegible] this
and he against the general issue
allowed him by statute and all

matters of defense except those specially alledged in his answers in said ~~suit~~ cause

But defendant alledges that said note was made executed and delivered to the plaintiffs ~~would~~ in consideration that the plaintiffs would not return a certain peice of land which the defendant had [illegible] at [illegible] on the day of [illegible] 1878 [illegible] then [illegible] by Defendant to plaintiffs the [illegible] of the defendant and for no other consideration whatever

Defendant avers that said note was excuted on the evening of the 4th day of May 1878 and defendant says that Plaintiffs for the purpose of inducing defendant to execute said note to them falsly and fraudulently represented to defendant that they held a judgment for a large amount to wit $1100 against one J. Stough et al which was a lein on said premises so purchased by defendant and that they had a right to and would redeem the same from said sale unless the defendant would execute said note in suit and defendant avers that relying upon said representation as being true and believing therefrom that Plaintiffs had a lawful right to redeem said premises as aforesaid he executed said note But defendant avers that the truth is is that the Plaintiffs had no judgment against any person that was a lein on said premises and had no right to redeem the [illegible] wherefore he says said note was executed without any consideration whatever and he demands judgment for [illegible]

2nd Defendant

For a further defense to complaint of Plaintiffs by way of a cross complaint says that on the 11th day of May 1877 [illegible] Plaintiffs the note in said complaint mentioned a copy of which is filed also filed herewith and made a part hereof which note is due and remains unpaid [illegible] that said note was made executed and delivered to the plaintiffs in consideration that the plaintiffs would not [illegible] which [illegible] on the 12th day of May 1877 which was then owned by Benjamin H. Endorffer the son in law of the defendant

And for no other [illegible] whatever defendant alleges that said plaintiffs on the day said note was executed came to him and for the purpose of inducing him to execute [illegible] stated to him that they owned or held a judgment for a large [illegible] to wit $1100 against one J S Stough [illegible] which was a lien [illegible] premises so purchased by him of said plaintiff and falsely and fraudulently represented [illegible] to defendant that unless he would execute said note said plaintiffs would [illegible] said premises from said sale and defendant says that [illegible] said representations to be true and for the purpose of inducing said Plaintiffs not to redeem said premises he executed said note to them and that it was executed for no other consideration whatever

and defendant avers that said Plaintiffs had no judgment against said Stough that [illegible]

[illegible] on said [illegible]
purchased ~~by him~~ as aforesaid by him
and that they had no right either
in law or in equity to redeem
the same wherefore they pray
judgment for costs and that
said note be declared null
and void and for such other
relief as he may be entitled
to

Best & McClellan
Defendants Atty

Copy of Note

$1,500 Waterloo Ind May 4th 1815
Six months after date I promise
to pay to the order of M
Waterman Cashier One hundred
& thirty [illegible] with ten per
cent Attorneys fees Value received
without any relief whatever from
valuation or appraisement laws
the makers and endorsers waiving
the presentment for payment protest
notice of protest and notice of non
payment of this note and all defenses
on the grounds of any extension
of the time of its payment that
may be given by the holders to
the makers or either of them or any
and payable at the Bank
Waterloo Indiana with ten per cent
interest payable annaly
[illegible] John R Baker

Whereupon Plaintiffs filed a written motion
to have the the forgoing answer and complaint
stricken from the files which motion
was overruled by the court
after which John R Baker defendant and
Benjamin F McEntarffer was sworn
and after hearing the testimony and
argument of counsel it is considered
by the court to find that the note sued
on in this cause was executed without
[illegible]

Therefore it is adjudged by me that defendant recover of the Plaintiffs his costs and accruing cost and that he have execution therefore

Rendered this 20th day of Dec 1879

[illegible]

Justice of the Peace

Names of witnesses [illegible]

B [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] $1.50

February 1st 1879 Received of M Waterman Cashier of Citizens Bank fifty [illegible] in full of costs in above entitled cause for which sum I gave a receipt to same

[illegible]

[illegible]

Plaintiff in above entitled cause files for his cause of action the following account

[illegible] 1, [illegible]

[illegible] Clark

[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
	1	Hand saw	1.75
	4	Milk brooks	.40
	10	Pounds tacks	.5
	2	lbs nails	.10
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	1	Papers tacks	.10
July 3	1	Rake	.20
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
"		Nails	.07

Summons issued to constable and on the return thereof I find that the defendant lives out of my jurisdiction therefore the case is dismissed without prejudice H W Henning Justice

Filed Jiffinger Constable

Received of O S Clark costs in full above entitled cause March 15th 1870

Justices costs	James R. Seberling	Complaint on note before
Summons 25	John T. Seberling	Peace in and for Smithfield
Constable		Township DeKalb County Indiana
Judgment 25	Thomas Hefty	Plaintiff in above entitled
Docket 50		cause files for their cause
Replevin Bail 25		of action the following note
Execution 25	$25.50	Auburn Ind January 23rd 1877

Treasurer's Office De Kalb County

DANIEL GONSER TREAS.

Auburn Ind Jan 7 1876

Aline Seberling } before A M
vs } Henning justice
William F. Brandt } of the Peace
Thomas Hefl } Smithfield Tp

Rendered Justice Henning
Twenty Nine Dollars and 40/100 in
full of said Judgment Except costs,
Confirmed
Attest Henning J.P.

Witnesses
1 [illegible] 150
Mary J. Bennett 150
Carl Rodin 165
William Sommerville 150
[illegible] 140
John Lightner [illegible] 50
Mathias Brooks 1 95

[illegible]

Plaintiff in above entitled cause files for his cause of action the following account

Joseph Frederick
To O. T. Clark
Dr

Travel _____ 18[illegible]

Peter Kiffinger
Constable

[illegible]

Justices costs
Summons 25
Docket 50
replevin Bail 25
Execution 25 $2.25 50

Spencer Stillwell
John T. Imberling
vs
William A. Bryant
Defdt

H. M. Henning Justice of the Peace in and for Smithfield Township DeKalb County Indiana Plaintiffs in above entitled cause file for their cause of action the following note

[illegible] January [illegible] 1877
One year after date for value received promise to pay to the order of D. D. Doyle Twenty five Dollars with [illegible] per cent interest from date and ten per cent interest after maturity until paid waiving all benefit of valuation homestead and redemption laws
If this note is not paid at maturity the undersigned agree to pay all expenses of collection
Payable at Auburn Dkl. State of
William A. Braid
Thomas H. Fly
[illegible] Imberling
[illegible]

Notified June 15th 1877

Summons issued 11th day of January 1878 [illegible] at 10 o'clock in the forenoon and delivered to Peter Kiplinger Constable endorsed served 14th day of Jan 1878 serviced 85 [illegible] on the day referred William [illegible] appeared and confessed judgment on the above mentioned note and makes oath that he does not do so for to defraud his creditors

Therefore it [illegible] Plaintiffs recover of the Defendant the sum of Twenty eight dollars and forty five cents ($28.45) with ten per cent interest from this date together with his costs and accruing costs and that they [illegible] any relief from valuation or appraisement [illegible] 11th day of January 1878 [illegible] William A. Braid hereby acknowledges [illegible] Bail in the sum of [illegible]

[illegible] 70 [illegible] Dollars
to be [illegible] on the 11th day of Jan 1878 [illegible]

May 24th 1878
Received of William A. Braid $32.50 in full
[illegible]
H. M. Henning
Justice
Execution returned

State of Indiana, DeKalb County ss

George Shoner, Plaintiff, vs. Seth H. Gourley, Defendant. Complaint on note for the sum of [illegible] dollars [illegible] suit

Justices costs
Summons 25
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

$58.50

[illegible] 28th 1878
[illegible]

Note Dated [illegible] 1877 [illegible] Waterloo Value received without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws with ten per cent interest from date until paid and attorneys fees
P H Gramling

Endorsed
A 4831 May 25th 1878
Paid on within note Twenty six dollars and [illegible] six [illegible]

L J Blair

[illegible] 75

I hereby assign the within note to George Shoner and guarantee the collection thereof
this July 27th / 78
Wm Wallace

Receiving

[illegible] 75

Summons issued to Constable [illegible] 17th 1878 made returnable January 23rd 1878 at 10 o'clock in the forenoon
January [illegible] 1878 summons [illegible] indorsed as follows [illegible] 18 day of January 1878 served this writ by reading to the defendant this 20th day of January 1878 [illegible] mileage 40 cent return 10 cent total 75
Peter Kiplinger [illegible]

Ten o'clock January 23rd 1878
At the time set for trial defendant appears not but makes default and as it appears from return of summons that he has had legal notice of the pending [illegible] plaintiff [illegible] claim Therefore

continued to Page 86

continued from Page 85

[illegible]

[illegible] dollars and Eighty cents ($80.80) with [illegible] cent [illegible] costs and [illegible] therefore without any relief from Valuation [illegible]

[illegible] (Three) [illegible] November 1st 1879

[illegible] Received [illegible]

[illegible]

A thousand dollars ($1000.00)

Witness my hand this [illegible] day of June 187[illegible]

Sworn to and subscribed before Richard Gravling

Test H M Henning Justice of the Peace

[illegible] twenty five dollars to satisfy the above

Oct 6, [illegible]

(7)

H M Henning J P

[illegible] three and ~~two~~ dollars in full of above judgment and costs

H M Henning J P

No. Waterloo Iowa May 3 1879

Received of H M Henry

Twenty five 45/100 Dollars

on Juaqui of C Brackbill

$ 25.45 O J Clark

continued

considered and adjudged by
Plaintiff
the sum of fifty
dollars and [illegible] cents ($50.50) with
interest at the [illegible] per cent
per [illegible] date hereof
together with costs and accruing
costs and that he have execution
therefore without [illegible] appraisement
Valuation [illegible] laws
[illegible] this [illegible] day of 1878

[illegible]

[illegible] acknowledges
[illegible] plevin Bail for [illegible] day.
[illegible] in the above judgment
for one hundred and fifty days from
the rendition [illegible] and waiver that
I am [illegible]
[illegible]
thousand
Witness my
Sworn to and
Test H M [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

October 25th Received of P H Granville twenty
seven dollars ($27.00) to apply on above judgment
H M Herring J P

[illegible]

and costs.
H M Herring J P

June 20th 1878 Plaintiff filed affidavit
for order of attachment and summons
.25 issued in Garnishment against the
1.
$1.00 Lake shore and Michigan southern rail
road company dated and delivered same
day to James McBride constable of
Received my fees in full H. Hemming J.P. Kiplinger costs
Union Township Dekalb county Indiana
which summons was issued on affidavit
filed by plaintiff and returnable June
25th 8 o'clock A.M. 1878
June 25th 1878 8 o'clock A.M. Summons returned
endorsed as follows Came to hand 20th
day of June 1878 June 20th 1878 served this
writ by reading to the defendant service $1.25
June 20th 1878 8 o'clock A.M. Garnishment summons
returned endorsed as follows to wit
June 20th 1878 ~~served~~ receival this writ
June 20th 1878 served this writ by reading it
and within hearing of H D Armstrong station
McBrides costs serving summons in garnishment $1.25
agent at waterloo dekalb county and by leaving
leaving with him a copy of the same
fees service $1.25 James A McBride Constable
June 25th 1878 8 o'clock A.M. James L Best atty
for Garnishee appeared and filed an answer
in the following words
David Goodwin &c Before Homer Hemming
Jonas V. Zimmerman &c J P Smithfield J P
Lake Shore & Michigan R.R. Co.
The Lake Shore
& Michigan Southern Rail way company
for answer as Garnishee herein says that
it is indebted to the defendant in the
sum of Sixteen & 80/100 dollars for services
rendered for it by said Zimmerman in the
months of May and June 1878 as one of
its employees And it says that said Zimmerman
is still in its employment and that said
time is less than one months wages and
is not liable on this proceeding to the
plaintiff. Wherefore it demands judgment
James L Best
Atty for Garnishee

continued to page 90 The Lake Shore & M. S. R.R. Co.

continued from page 89

[illegible]

[illegible] The defendant also [illegible] was [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] together with the [illegible] and [illegible] the [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] or expenditures

[illegible]

[illegible] was invested in the [illegible]

Zimmerman & Folsom for services rendered by said firm of Zimmerman [illegible] the months of May and June 1877 [illegible]

Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover of the said Lake Shore & Michigan

[illegible]

Satisfied by [illegible] judgment
judgment and [illegible] this 11 day of March 1891
S. [illegible] Goodwin

[illegible] judgment against
[illegible] mer. $17.38
[illegible] judgment against
[illegible] railroad com
[illegible] paid $16.80

[illegible] receive by order
[illegible] from Zimmerman
$7.93
[illegible] receipts all paid
[illegible] and receipted

Subscribed 22

$1,500

Samuel Hoeht

vs

Peter Granting

Recd of Wm P Barker

[illegible] Constituting the

firm hall of Mr. [illegible]

H M Henning

[illegible]

[illegible] 31st 1880 Service $1.25

Received of Mr P Defendant [illegible]

Barker J.P. Mr Defendant and as [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] of [illegible]

[illegible] and [illegible] that [illegible]

[illegible] execution [illegible] without [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Renewed [illegible] 16th 1882 H M Henning

Continued [illegible]

I Richard Granlinger [illegible]
[illegible] Execution Paid [illegible]

Stay of execution expires March 16th 1880

[illegible] of the [illegible] of [illegible] Dollars and [illegible]
[illegible] 27th day of [illegible]
[illegible] 1878 Richard Granlinger

[illegible]

March 13th 1880
Received of Peter H Granlinger One Hundred and Twelve Dollars and Thirty four Cents the amount in full of Judgment and Costs
$112.34 M.P. Barker J.P.

Receipt of Judgment

[illegible] Received of M.P. Barker J.P. [illegible] amount as Judgment [illegible]

[illegible]

Dollars the amount of Judgment in full Except Cost in the [illegible] course as appears on docket of the aforesaid judgment Rendered by W. M. Hewing J.P. dated this 28 day of April 1880

L. O. Mortney

50
Replevin Bail 25
$1,50
Samuel Hoch
vs
Peter H Gramling
and M P Barker
Justice one dollar 3rd
Judy [illegible] it being the
[illegible] all of [illegible]
H M Henning

March 31th 1880
[illegible] of [illegible]
Barker J.P. My
fees in full in
the above entitled
cause
Peter Kilinger

I Richard Gramling acknowledge
myself Replevin Bail for the stay of
execution on the above judgment for
the sum of [illegible] (130) Dollars
[illegible]

Stay of execution
expires March
1880

[illegible] in hand
[illegible] of the sum of one Thousand
[illegible] this 27th day of Sept
A D 1878 Richard Gramling

Test H M Henning Justice of the Peace

March 13th 1880
Received of Peter H Gramling One Hundred
and Twelve Dollars and Thirty four Cents
the amount in full of Judgment and Costs
$112.34 W.P. Barker J P

[illegible]

Samuel Foort vs Peter H Gramling } Received of W.P. Barker J P
One Hundred and Twelve 34/100
dollars the amount of Judgment
in full Except Cost in the above
entitled Cause as appears on docket of the within
said Judgment rendered by H M Henning J P
dated this 28 day of April 1878
signed S. Foort

Execution 15
$1.50

Received my fees as Justice in the above cause
A Luthers
Justice of the Peace

Endorsed on back

Summons
Execution 125
$1.60

Two dollars cash received of Plaintiff as security for
A Luthers J P

Execution issued
Oct 2nd 1875

Received of defendant the sum of eighteen [illegible] dollars and [illegible] cents this bill together with their execution therefore without any [illegible]

[illegible] Justice of Peace

October 12 1875
Received my fees in full in above case
Pt Kiplinger
Constable

State of Indiana DeKalb County SS

George Kagler vs. Complaint before
J P Hutterning J P
Eli Casselman

Justices fee 25
Summons 25
Trial 25
Judgment 25
Docket 25
$1.25

George Kagler Complaining
of Eli Casselman and Sarah Casselman
and say that the defendants on the
Seventh day of August A D 1879
their note a copy of which is
herein with and made a part hereof
to say Jacob Roop Thirty
days after the note
dorsed
note is
merce
ty for Pla

order
at
ed without
any relief from valuation and appraisement
with interest annually at 6 per cent
untill paid and all costs and attorneys
fees for collection if said note is
not paid at maturity

Constables fees

Endorsed
Jacob Roop
Waterloo September 2 1879
Received of Eli Casselman eighty three
dollars on the within note
Waterloo Jan 8 1880
For value received I assign the within
note to George Kagler
Cyrus Kelley

Feb 7th 1880
Rec'd
Filed
Entered
Judgment
Docket in above
J H Hutterning
J P

Summons issued this 8th day of Jan 1880
and made returnable Jan 17th 1880 at 8 o'clock A M
and delivered to Peter Kelleiger Constable

Continued to Page 14

I,
a Justice of the
Township, DeKalb County, Indiana,
the foregoing is a true and complete transcript of the proceedings
judgment rendered in the above entitled cause, as the same appears
on
docket

Costs 50
Execution 25
$1.50

Hank H. Chadwick
Hen[illegible]
v
[illegible] in Litis

Received of H. Whitfenning
notice the sum eight
and 80/100 dollars $18.80
full of judgment in above
case
1878 Chadwick & Co

Summons
Execution 1.25
$1.60

[illegible] cash
[illegible] of judgment
[illegible] for
[illegible]
H. Whitfenning pd

Execution issued
[illegible] 1878

October 17, 1878
Received my
fees in full
in above case
Peter Kiplinger
Constable

[illegible] defendant
[illegible] that plaintiff
[illegible] sum of eighteen
[illegible] dollars (18.80) with
his costs together with their
[illegible] and that they
[illegible]
[illegible] execution for satisfaction
[illegible] this 18th day of October
[illegible]
[illegible]

State of Indiana DeKalb County SS

[illegible] Complaint before
vs J P Hutchenning J.P.
Eli Casselman &
Sarah Daugherty

Justices fees [illegible]
Summons [illegible]
Trial 25
Judgment [illegible]
Docket [illegible]
$1.25

George Kesler [illegible]
of Eli Casselman and Sarah Daugherty
and says that the defendants [illegible]
Seventh day of August [illegible]
their note a copy of which is filed
herewith and made a part hereof
promises to pay Jacob Roop Thirty
dollars who endorsed the note
[illegible] they [illegible] endorsed
it to the plaintiff which note is
now is now due and remains unpaid
[illegible]
[illegible] owners
Atty for Plaintiff

Copy of note

$30 00/100 August 7 1878
One year after date we or either of
us promise to pay to the order of
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] and expenses of
suit with interest annaly at 6 per cent
[illegible]
fees for collection if said note is
not paid at maturity
Eli Casselman
[illegible]

Endorsed
[illegible] Roop
[illegible] September [illegible] 1878
Received of Sarah Daugherty [illegible]
[illegible] on the within note
Waterloo Jan 8 1880
[illegible] receipt [illegible] the within
note to George Kesler [illegible]
Cyrus Kelley

J.P. Hutchenning J.P.

Summons issued this 8 rd day of Jan 1880
and made returnable Jan 17th 1880 at 8 o'clock A.M.
and delivered [illegible] Constable
Constable to [illegible]

Continued from page 95

January 9th 1880 Summons returned
constable endorsed as follows
Came to hand 5th day of January 1880
Served this writ by reading to Sarah Daugh-
Eli Casselman not living in this county
Service $1,75

Constables costs serving summons $1,75

Received my fees as constable in [illegible]
Sept 7 1880
Wm Kifsinger
Constable

[illegible] constable

[illegible] the
[illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible] dismissed of the above entitled
[illegible] and demands judgment for costs
The Plaintiff not appearing to prove his
claim, this action is dismissed [illegible] by me
and it is ordered by me that defendant
recover her costs of Plaintiff and
[illegible] Defendant
Entered this 9th day of January 1880

[illegible] Justice of

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss

[illegible]

Notices fees
[illegible] .25
[illegible] 25
[illegible] 25
[illegible] 50
[illegible] $2.25

[illegible] 13.80
[illegible]

Received [illegible]
fees as constable
on above entitled
cause Feb 18/80
[illegible]

Sarah Daugherty } DeKalb County Indiana
George Rusler complainant

[illegible]

[illegible] Dollars [illegible]

Atty for Plaintiff

(Copy of Note)

30 00 August 4 1878

One year after date we or either of us promise to pay to the order of Jacob Roof [illegible] Dollars [illegible] value received [illegible] interest [illegible] costs and attorneys fees for collection [illegible]

Signed Eli Cassebman
Signed Sarah her x mark Daugherty

Summons issued to constable Kiplinger this 8/1st [illegible] Sarah Daugherty [illegible] County and not found Service $1.25
[illegible] Kiplinger

continued on Page 98

[illegible]

dollars and thirty six cents

Therefore it is adjudged that the Plaintiff [illegible] from this date until Paid together with costs [illegible]

Attest [illegible] Justice

[illegible] above judgment and costs the Plaintiff [illegible] Justice

[illegible] George Kergler

[illegible] that I shall [illegible] judgment [illegible] dollars [illegible] fees.

D. H. Longs
Atty

State of Indiana DeKalb County

Melinda Kessler }
" " v. " } Complaint on note $20.40

Filed

from Date value received any
chattels from valuation or appraisement
laws the Drawers and Endorsers severally
waive redemption for payment hereof
and waive protest and notary on this
note if this note is not paid at maturity the
undersigned agree to pay expenses of collection
including attorneys fees

cost all paid

Brad Kessler
Sarah Daugherty

Summons issued this 6th Day of May 1880
returnable on or before the 6th Day
of June 1880 endorsed as follows
I delivered a copy to the defendants
Brad Kessler & Sarah Daugherty
this 7 Day of May 1880 Samuel
Lins Sheriff by reading the same & making the
of both the within named defendants this
Sheriff Fees Dep DeKalb County Indiana
May 7th 1880 Frank Kessler Constable

May 10th 1880 Now, this the day and at
the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated
Comes the plaintiff but the defendants
three times called comes not
but make default and it appearing that
he has been duly served with process more
than three Days before the time of the trial
hereof it is therefore considered that the
plaintiff recover against
him his demand aforesaid and the

Defendant Casselman was not found Plaintiff dismissed his suit as to him and elected to

Geo Kuger — Waterloo Ind. Feb 19 188

Sarah Daugherty — Received of Homer Deming P.C. 87.50 any fees as per Plein or Judgment in the above entitled cause

D. H. Lowes

for my fees.
D. H. Lowes
Atty

State of Indiana
Noble County 18 [illegible]
[illegible] an application of above judgment and costs the plaintiff expressing to satisfy the same we find all costs of above suit. R. W. Herring Justice

Received of R. W. Herring J P seven dollars in full of above judgment except costs of collection February 13th 1880 George Kerger

State of Indiana DeKalb County

Justice fees
Summons 25
field 25
Docket 75

Malinda Kessler }
vs } Complaint on note $20.00
Brad Neal }
Sarah Daugherty }

[illegible] on Brad Neal [illegible] Daugherty [illegible] her cause of action filed the following note to wit

20.00 June 21th 1879 Six months after date we or either of us promise to pay to the order of Malinda Kessler negotiable and payable at Her Residence Twenty Dollars and 00 Dollars & all interest at the rate of ten per cent annum from date value received without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws the makers and endorsers severally waive redemptionment for payment hereof and waive a legal demand for payment of this note if this note is not paid at maturity the undersigned agree to pay expenses of collection including attorneys fees

cost all paid

Brad Neal
Sarah Daugherty

Summons served this 6th Day of May 1884 returnable at 9 o'clock A.M. May 10th 1884
[illegible] delivered Frank Pick [illegible] copy of the within summons returned as follows
[illegible] 7th Day of May 1884 [illegible] this writ by reading the same to and within the hearing of both the within named defendants this Sixth full Day Dekalb County Indiana this 7th day of May 1884
Frank Pick - Constable

May 10th 1884 - Now comes on this day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendants though three times called comes not but make default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three Days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his demand aforesaid and the

Court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of twenty one Dollars and eight cents it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum seventy one Dollars and forty eight cents so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

Eli Walker
Justice of the peace

I Edward Erwin hereby acknowledge myself to be bound for the stay of execution on the above judgment for one hundred and twenty Days from the rendition thereof Witness my hand this 11th Day of may 1880

Edward Erwin



Board after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of twenty one Dollars four eight cents it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum sum twenty one Dollars and forty eight cents assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

El Walker
Justice of the peace

I Edward Erwin hereby acknowledge myself security for bail for the stay of execution on the above judgment for one hundred and twenty Days from the rendition thereof Witness my hand this 7th Day of may 1880

El Walker Justice Edward Erwin

September the 17 18

Received of Edward Erwin twenty five Dollars this credit the amount in full of the judgment and Cost $25.03
El Walker Justice

State of Indiana
Dekalb County }

Before E. L. Walker justice of the peace in and for Smithfield Township

Filed 24
Summon 25
Docket 25

Frank C Day
vs
George Walf

Justice cost
Received May
fees in full 75

Frank C Day complains of George Walf and says that defendant on the day of 1880 entered into a form agreement with the plaintiff whereby it was agreed by by and between said plaintiff and defendant that if plaintiff would procure for defendant certain promissory note executed by defendant on the 9 day of September 1879 to Thomas Dustan & Rodgers and calling for $65.00 with eight per cent interest from date due in one year from date that defendant want pay to plaintiff the said sum of $65.00 ant $3.43 interest due at said date plaintiff further says that in pursuance to said agreement & did procure said

Received $1.50 of my in the above cause Fran Can

OFFICE OF JOHN M. SOMERS.
ATTORNEY AND NOTARY PUBLIC
Collecting and Insurance Agent.
Waterloo, Ind. Aug 24 1880.

Received of Eli Walker $3.43 in full of judgment in case of Day vs Walf.
John M. Somers
Atty for Plff

Dated the 23 1880 Atty for Plff
Received of George Walf $5.70
Eli Walker Justice

Filed 24
Summon 24
Docket 25

Justice court
Received May
Dec in fol 75

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker Just.
Dekalb County } the town in and for
Jackson Township

Frank C Day }
vs }
George Walf }

Frank C Day complains
of George Walf and says that defendant
on the day of 1880 entered into
a part agreement with the
plaintiff whereby it was agreed
by by and between said plaintiff
and defendant that if plaintiff
would procure for defendant certain
promissory note executed
by defendant on the 9 Day of
September 1879 to Thomas Endlon &
Badger and calling for $65.00 with
eight per cent interest from Date
due in one year from Date that
defendant must pay to plaintiff
the said sum of $65.00 and $3.43
interest due at said date plaintiff
father owes that in pursuance to
said agreement & did procure said
note of said Thos Endlon & Badger
and delivered the same to d
and in all things has fulfilled
with his said agreement
defendant at said date said
fail to pay the said
of $65.00 but has failed
neglect to pay said $3.43
requested so to do & has
days that in order to
said note he was com
pay to said Thomas End
the sum of $69.43 to
$5.00 Wherefore he demand
for $5.00 and all othe

Received $1.50
of my in the
above entitled
cause
Frank C Disk
Constable

Pash
Atty

August the 23 1880
Received of George Walf $5.00
Eli Walker Jus

State of Indiana DeKalb County

Nicholas Ensley } Eli Walker Justice
Marlan J Peck }
vs } Complains on note
Calvin Naragon } $35

Ensley and Peck Complains of Calvin Naragon and for his cause of action field following note to wit

Butler Indiana October 14 1879
Sixty Days after date I promise to pay to Nicholas Ensley and Marlan J Peck or order thirty five Dollars for value received With out relief from valuation & appraisement laws

Calvin Naragon

Summons issued the 10 Day of September 1880 returnable at 8 o'clock on September 8 1880 and delivered to Frank Fish Constable September 8 1880

Summons returned Came to Hand 13 Day of September 1880 Served this Summons by reading in the clerk's stand hearing Sept 13 1880

Frank Fish
Constable

Continued by the Plaintiff until the 28 Day of September 1880

September 28th 1880 on the Day and at the Hour set for trial the parties appearing in person the Plaintiff by Eli Walker and the defendant Calvin Naragon his attorney John M Somers Calvin Naragon have Naragon has been the note the note for value received Having Waived Summons but Fil not get all of his attachment

Eli Walker for the

~~after hearing the evidence the court~~
~~gave verdict in favor of the defendant in~~
~~the action and should not recover~~
~~the third part dollars~~

After hearing the evidence in the above
entitle case it is considered and
adjudged by me said plaintiffs
judgment Finally & Dock recover back this
Sewing machine and that the said
note signed and given for the same
be and is hereby considered void
and that Defendant recover of
plaintiffs all costs and accruing
costs and that he have execution
therefor th Release this 28th day
of September A D 1880

E. Walker Justice

Mary Ann 1 1881 Received my
fees in full as Witness in the
above case
Eva Naragon

State of Indiana DeKalb County, ss

Nancy L. Tuttle et al
vs
Henry A. Clark et al

Be it remembered that on this 17th day of September the Plaintiffs before me in my office filed their complaint against the Defendants in these words to wit

"State of Indiana DeKalb County ss
In Dekalb Circuit aforesaid County –

Nancy L. Tuttle
Francis Mackey
Lucy A. Gates
Laura E. Ross
Hugh Brown
Ingard Brown
George Brown
William Brown
Clark Brown
Frank Brown
Ford Brown
vs
Henry A. Clark
Sylvanus Clark

Plaintiffs complain of Defendants and say that heretofore to wit on the 12th day of December 1879, the said Defendants executed by a written lease a copy of which said written lease is filed herewith and made a part of this complaint. Leased of and from Myron Tuttle now deceased the following described real estate situated in the County of Dekalb and State of Indiana which being the south East quarter of the South East quarter of Section Ten (10) and the North West quarter of the South West quarter of Section Eleven (11) all in Township Thirty four (34) North of Range thirteen (13) East from the 12 day of December 1879 until the first day of April 1890 that said Myron Tuttle died on the 12 day of March 1890 intestate leaving Nancy L. Tuttle his Widow and the other name Plaintiffs herein his children and grandchildren heirs at law of his Estate therein say that during the existence of said decedents said decedent died and since the death of said decedent said plaintiffs have in all respect performed their and his agreement in said written lease set forth Rent that said defendants have failed and refused to comply with their said agreement in this that is to say the said Defendants have and are committing

[illegible] fees	
Summon 25	
Sopenna 100	
[illegible] 50	
attending 100	
Docketed 15	
[illegible] 60	
[illegible]	
[illegible] Settled 100	
[illegible]	
Clanson Ross 200	
[illegible] Boyer 200	
[illegible] 70	
[illegible]	
[illegible] 100	
Wm Simerman 200	
[illegible] 70	
Hiram Oberlin 100	
Wm Bennett 200	
[illegible] 100	
John Clifton 200	
[illegible] 70	
[illegible] 100	
[illegible] 50	
[illegible] 200	
[illegible] 100	
[illegible] Elliott 100	
[illegible] 00	
Theodore Simerman 100	
[illegible] 40	

rest upon said premises by cutting & suffering to be cut & removed from said places valuable green timber & skin the same and otherwise destroy the same to the damage of the inheritance and without any special [illegible] from said decedent in his lifetime or from these plaintiffs neither this same and removing or destroyed for repair upon premises nor for fire wood to be used thereon to the damage of their inheritance one hundred dollars and exceeds the value of defendants [illegible] estate therein may any third and defendants int in and to said leased premises be declared forfeited and said tenant be removed therefrom as unlawfully holding over and that said Plaintiffs recover of said defendants said damage of one hundred Dollars and all other proper relief

H Gell Stocker
[illegible] Lask
Attys for Plaintiff

September 17th 1880

Issued Summons for Defendant Robinson on the 25 day of Septem at one o clock in the after noon and delivered to Frank Fisk Constable by request of plaintiffs a sopena [illegible] and [illegible] Hiram Oberlin William Bennett John Clifton Josiah Penick M P [illegible] [illegible] Elliott and delivered to Frank Fisk Constable defendant came demanded subpoena the following name [illegible] [illegible] Clanson Ross Frank Bennett Rufus Boyer William Simerman Served by Henry Clark September [illegible] 1880 plaintiffs came and demanded [illegible] witness Theodore [illegible] Rufus Boyer

and delivered Frank Frank Constable
Summons endors as follow came
to hand 25 Day of September 1880
September 17 1880 served this writ
by copy to the within named Person
Frank Frank Constable
September 25 served this writ by
Reading to the within named Person
Frank Frank
Constable

September 25 1880 defendant appeared
the plaintiff did not appear the
continuate by agreement
until September 27 1880 September
27 1880 on the day and at the
hour set for trial parties appeared
the plaintiff by his atty Chas
McClellan and the defendant Henry
Clark with his atty Elbridge
William Rennet John Chapman Joseph
Yenick Alex Barber Nathan Elliott
Balas Bonyer Sam Settle Mason
Boss Frances Rennet William Simmons
had Jacob Widness sworn after

Mary Fall
vs
Henry Clark

Before E. Walker
Justice

Defendant demur
plaintiff Moves upon proof of
plaintiff constituting the
cause as stated upon the
demur and that facts sufficient to
constitute a cause of action against the
defendant

Elbridge
Defendant Atty

After hearing evidence in the
above entitled case it is considered
and adjudged by me that the
plaintiff ~~Recover~~ ~~at~~ pay all
costs

E. Walker Justice

Received the fees ~~they~~ in full of the
A. Bove case between Mercy J. Kelly
Henry Clark and Salomonia Clark
Eli Walker Justice

Received my fees in full for the above case in
Little vs. Clark

Frank Teick

October 6 the 1880 Received elby fees as Witness
fees in full of the above Case
Wilson Kennard

October 7 1880 Received elby fees as Witness on
the above Case
Wm Zimmerman

October 7 1880 Received elby fees as Witness in
full of the above Case
Frances E Kennard

October 7 1880 Received my fees as Witness
in full on the above Case
Lemon Dulle

October 8 1880 Received my fees as Witness
in full of the above Case
John Ferrick

October 8 1880 Received my fees as Witness
in full of the above Case
M. P. Barker

October 8 1880 Received my fees in ~~full~~ as
Witness in full of the above Case
Eli H. Barker

October 8 1880 Received my fees ~~of~~ in ~~the~~ Witness
in full of the above Case
John H. Clifton

October 9 1880 Received my fees as Witness
in full of the above Case
Joseph Ferrick

October 13 1880 Received my fees as Witness in bal of the a base base
Nathan Elliott

October 13 1880 Received my fees as Witness in bal of the a base base
R. G. Dreean

October 29 1880 Received one Dollars and ninty fiual cents on the a base base W Clark by
Sylvenus Oler

October 28 1880 Received my fees in bal of the a base base by Jerry Swank
Jerry Swank

Received my fees in bal a the a base base W Ross by
J Somers

Joseph Graves } December 27 1880
vs } the said parties
Reben Daniel } appeared and
} agreed to settle
without pr ce 69 an action brought
Joseph Graves Bill of particulars
of Joseph Graves is in substance
as follows his copy Grabens act
Bill of suit claims of B G Daniels
is in substance as follows the copy
Daniels ans)

March	1879	the defendant Bluen Daniels writ grabes
10	1	Dug trimming his orchard
11	1	— —
12	1	— —
13	1/2	— —
17	1	— and laying fence
18	1	— —
19	1/2	Saing Clearseed
20	1/2	
21	1	one Baath forms
24	1	trimming orchard and Hadled sugar Walnut Wood
25	1	— —
26	1	— —
27	1	Handling sugar Waterant and packing Bu.
28	3/4	— —
29	1	picking Brush
31	1/2	laying fence
April		
1	1/2	planting
2	1/2	
5	1	Spading Strawberry
7	1	work in the sugar Bush
8	1	planting and Dragging
14	1	— —
15	1	—
16	1	— —
23	1	Dragging and planting potatoes
25	1/2	Cleaning the Spring
May		
1	1/2	Drag
2	1	planting corn

6	1/2	Dragging	
7	1/2	Harrowing	Oats
8	1	—	
9	1/2		—
12	1/2	Dragging	
13	1/2	plantning	Corn
15	1/2	—	
19	1/2	planting	~~plan~~ potato and Beans
20	1/2	—	
26	1	Hauling	manure
27	1		
~~29~~	~~1~~	+	
June			
2	1/2	Spreding	elbanewer
3	1	—	—
9	1	Shingling	the Barn
10	1	—	—
11	1		
12	~~1~~ 1/2	—	
14	1/2	Hoeing	potato
17	1/2		
18	1/2		
21	1	Hoeing	Corn
23	1	Making	Hay
24	1		
25	1	—	
26	1	—	—
27	1/2	—	—
30	1	—	—
July	Days		
1	1	Making	Hay
2	1	—	—
4	1	Binding	Wheat for Dan Brown Dan Brown
8	1/2		for Daniels
9	1/2		—
10	1	—	—
11	1	—	—
12	1	—	—
14	1	—	—
18	3/4	—	—
19	1	—	—
21	1/2	Handling	Wheat
24	1	—	—
25	1/2		—
28	1	—	—

29	1	Cut Bath Beans
30	1/2	Help to thrash for Frank Hemman
31	3/4	— —
August		
2	1	Binding Oats
2	1/2	— —
3	1	
6	1/2	
7	1/2	Hurrettiing Wheed
8	1/2	Oats
14	1/2	Dragging
9		paid for Shoe blacking and Soap 25 cent
23		for Shoe String and paper 18
September		
4	Days	paid in cash to Daniels $ 15.00
8	1/2	dragging
9	1	— —
10	1	— —
13	1	Loading Corn
15	3/4	— —
17	1	— —
20	1/2	Drove a Calf to town
22	1/2	hauling Wheed to town
23	1	— —
29	1/2	Loading Corn
30	1/2	— —
October		
1	1	Husking Corn
3	1	—
4	1	Tearing Down a Stack
7	1/2	Digging potatoes
11	1/2	picking potatoes and Corn
13	1	picking Apples and making Cider
10	1/2	picking potatoes and Corn
18	1/2	picking Apples
20	1/2	Digging potatoes
22	1/2	— —
23	1/2	— —
24	1	
15	1/2	— —
27	1	picking apples
28	1/2	putting barrels of wheed in the Barn
29	1/2	thrashing 31 1/2 picking Apples
31	1	— —

November

Days		
8	1	Shucking Corn
10	1	—
11	1	—
12	1	— —
13	1	—
17	½	— —

The ... Cheaper ob ... Sheet Breaking glass Worth 25 | 50
| 20

Isaac N. Cook
vs.
George W. Brand

In Justice court before Eli Walker Justice of the peace in and for Smithfield Township 1855

Received of Eli Walker to be applied on the above judgment the sum of Fifty (50) dollars

Wm Cheaper
Per E. H.

Jacobs amounting to $. And he asks that fees a sum equal to any sum that may be found due plaintiff may be growing to set off against said John and Jacobs so that he may have full payment for One hundred fifty Dollars & 42-45 Moreover.

E. H. McClellen,
Atty.

Witness ...
R. W. & D. L.

No. ___ Fairview Dec. 24 1855

RECEIVED of George W. Brand
in wood two ___ DOLLARS
to be applied on Judgment vs Isaac N. Cook & George W. Brand. John E. Walker
$ ___ Wm Cheaper

E. H. McClellen
Attorney.

29	1	One Bath house
30	1	Help to thrash for Frank Hemman
31	3/4	— —
August		
2	1	Binding Oats
4	1/2	
5	1	— —
6	1/4	
7	1/4	Hauling Wheed
8	1/4	— Oats

30	1	— —
October		
1	1	Husking Corn
3	1	—
4	1	Taring Down & Stow Stock
7	1/2	Digging potatoes
11	1/2	Husking potatoes and Corn
13	1	Picking Apples and attending Cider
10	1/2	[illegible]

November

Days		
8	1	Husking Corn
10	1	—
11	1	—
12	1	—
13	1	—
17	½	

The horse of mine Breaking glass Worth $3 | 80
the Cattle Distroyed the garden to the
Amount $3 | 20

Joseph Graber
vs
Reuben G. Daniels

Before Eli Walker
a justice of Peace in and
for Smithfield Tp.

Defendant for his
answer to complaint of defendant says that
~~to complaint of defendan~~ says that
Plaintiff is indebted to him for Boarding
Mse or Rent of land and other articles
furnished him, as more particular state-
ment of which was to be given in a
bill of particulars filed herewith,
amounting to $. And he asks that
a sum equal to any sum
found due plaintiff may be
taken and set off against said sum and
that he may have judgment
for One hundred fifty dollars the
remainder.

Fees
Entering Suit 100
Entering appearance 25
Judgment 25
$2 | 50

G. H. McClellan,
Atty.

Witness ...
205

Bill of Particulars.

To boarding 52 weeks in years
from Nov 1878 to Nov ... $9 at $2,50 wk. $130

To use of three acres ground in 1878
with manure & attend. marketing
at $25 per acre $75
To use use of 6 A. ground in 1879 and charge
at $15 per acre $90
To use of hoppers & feed $10
Use of plow $2

G. H. McClellan
Attorney.

Said heard, praler, sworn, and examined, on a quinque, in his own behalf, R. Plaintiff, Wm. Kennedy & Arthur G. Daniels, not sworn, and examined, as witness for the defendant and I do not find upon the matters in difference for defendant, on his set off and against the plaintiff, that there is due the ~~Defendant~~ defendant the sum of forty one Dollars of therefore ill in, on said 27th December 1880, considered by me that the plaintiff hath no cause of action nor claim in the premises, and that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff forty one Dollars and forty cents, and all costs and accruing costs herein taxed as follows.—

Eli Walker, Justice.

I Sate on execution this 31 Day of December 1880 and delivered Frank Fisk Constable

March 1th 1891 Received $1 30/100
Dollars in full of the bove Entitles
case + Joseph graber vs R J Daniels
Mrs Kennedy

March the 15 1891
Received $1.25 + my fees in full as Witness
in the bove Case
W. G. Davis

April 21 1890 Received my fees
as witness on the bove
Judgement
Geo. G. Fick

[illegible] fees in full in the above case
Frank Fisk

[illegible] India [illegible]

Benten Henning } Complains
vs } [illegible]
[illegible] Graber } [illegible]

January 8th 1881 Fees Summons thrill (3) Loats [illegible] at 600 per [illegible]

Summon issue January 8th 1881 and returnable this 10 Day of January 1881 Served this Summon but the defendant was not to be found Frank Fisk Constable

Benten Henning vs [illegible] } warrant [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] with his [illegible]

State of indiana Dekalb County ss

A B Gaucent
vs
Mary Schnitzer

Complaint for
Eli Walker Justice fees

Justices fees	1878				
filed 25	February	22	To	Meat	23
Summons 25	July	5	"	"	20
Swearing 5	"	14	"	Meat	25
trial 1.00	"	28	"	"	25
Judgment 25	1879				
Docking 25	July	18	"	Meat	25
$2.05	"	28	"	"	27
	188				
	June	16	50 lbs	pork at 8c	$4.00
	"	"	Smoke	Barlow	1.00
	July	24	Meat		1.32
	"		Cr.	by cash on the Bank	$2.00
Frank Fresh	August	7	Bologna		15
Constables fees	"	13	Meat		52
					$8.44
					2.00
					$6.44

Frank Fresh
Constables fees
Copy 25
Mileage 1.20
Return 10
$1.55

Summons issued this 28 Day of January 1881 and delivered to Frank Fresh Constable Returnable this 5 Day of February 1881

~~Served this~~ Summons Return this 5 Day of February 1881 Served this summons by leaving a copy at the defendants Residence January 29 1881

Received money fees in full in the above cause
Frank Fresh

and now to wit on the Day and hour set for trial of this cause as aforesaid Stated comes not but makes Default and it appears that he has been duly served with process more than three Day before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his aforesaid and the cost after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $6.44 Dollars it therefore considered that that the plaintiff recover of defendant the sum $6.44 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit

Eli Walker Justice pro tem

turn to page 127

Sold

Idette Sepoena this 17 Day of January
1881 C. quest of Sodiphus Bahabarts
defendand
Idette ulm. this 26 Day January
1881 by Request of the defendand
Sepoena Return this 31 Day of January 1881
January 27th 1881 served this Writ
25 By Copy left at the Place Residend
Janiar 25 of Th W Bryan
Served thi Within Writ By reading
to the aforesaid
Peter Kiplinger Special
Constable
January 26 1881 came to Hand
on the 24 Day January 1881 I served this
writ on here named the Fourteen name
twelve qualified voters of my Township
peter Kiplinger C
January 31 1881 Wrise Return as
following Names Frank Harman
Edward Campbell Samuel Smith
Milton P Barber John Harman
Samuel Harman Samuel Fearing
Lai Brandberg William Feaster
Henry Hood Daniel Frick William
Fisk Served this writ By Reading
to the ~~wood~~ about Named Person
peter Kipliger Special Constable

to Wit on the Day and at the Hour
Cath the parties appeard

Jefferson Beard
Cyrus Kelley
vs
Josephus D Rockaugh

State of Indiana
Dekalb County

Before Eli Walker
Justice of the peace
in and for Smithfield
township

Justice's fees $4.75
Received my
fees in full in the
case of Spitzer
Holmes per
Eli Walker
J.P.

Constables
fees $4.75
Received my
fees in full in the
case of Spitzer
Holmes per
M Watterman

Jefferson Beard
Cyrus Kelley
vs
Josephus Rockaugh

John M James $1.10
Steam coaster $1.60

The plaintiff and not the
jury answer the following questions

1 Did defendant Received the money from Mr Watterman or Kelley answer from Kelley.

2 Did Rockaugh Received $47.83 answer yes

3 What amount should Rockaugh had received answer. $37.83

4 Did not Beard ask of Defendant the payment of the ten dollars before the commencement of this suit answer

5 Did not Watterman received a bill of paper calling for $47.83 answer yes M P Barker for m

John M James Atty
for plaintiff

State of indiana Dekalb county

Jefferson Beard
Cyrus Kelley
vs
Josephus Rohlough

The defendant calls the jury in case they find a general verdict to answer the following

1 did not Cyrus Kelley account and pay cash to Defendant $37.83 answer yes and Ten Dollars more

2 And not did Beard give the amount to defendant on a piece of paper afterwards. See it at Defendants house answer yes

3 did defendant or Kelley give the Gutterman the slip of paper on which the amount was stated due to defendant answer Kelley

4 Did defendant have two slips of paper on which the amount was stated or any one answer One

M T Baker foreman
C J Blair atty for defendant

Jefferson Beard
Cyrus
vs
Josephus Rohlough

We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess their claim ten $10 Dollars and costs
M T Barker foreman

February 25 1881 Received
in full of the boot judgment and
cost and interest
February 16 1881 E. L. Hale
Received my fees in full as
fariviar in the boot case
Milton P. Barker
February the 2 1881 Received my fees in
full of the a boot in the
William Fisk
March th 5 1881 Received my fees
in full of the a boot in the east
Samuel Smith

March th 5 1881 Received my
fees in full of the a boot in the
Samuel Forney
March th 9 1881 Received my fees
as fariviar in full on the boot a
mille case
Daniel Frick
March the 11 1881 Received my
fees in full of the a boot Case
William Frage
April 9 1881 Received my fees as
Witness in full on the boot a mille
Abraham Berry
April 9 1881 Received my fees in
full as fariviar in the boot case
Geo. Brandaberry
April 16 1881 Received my
fees in full as fariviar in
the boot Case
Edward Campbell
June the 4 1881 Received my fees as
fariviar in full in the boot Case
John Hamman
June 4 1881 Received my fees as fariviar
in full ~~By John Hamman~~
Samuel Hamman
Received my fees in full
on the a boot Case as fariviar
Henry Hood
June th 7 1881 Received my fees
as fariviar in the boot Case
Isaac Hamman

State of Indiana DeKalb County

O J Clark } in Smithfield Township
vs } suit pleains on note
William Dirrim }

Copy of note

Eli Walker fees
Filed 15
Summons 25
Judgment 25
Docket 25
Trial 1.00
$2.00

$11.65 Waterloo Ind June 11 1880
90 days after date I promise to pay to the order of O J Clark Eleven 65/100 Dollars value Received without relief from valuation or appraisement laws with interest at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from date until paid drawer and Endorsers severally. Waive presentment for payment protest and notice of protest and non payment of this note

no Interest if paid When Due
Signed by William Dirrim

Constables fees
Served 25
Mileage $1.30
Return 10
$1.65

Served this Summons by reading in the defendant hearing January 29 1881

Frank Fisk
Constable

and now to wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as
Received of O J Clark $3.70 cost on the case of O J Clark and William Dirrim
Eli Walker J P
Received my fees in full
March 15
cause
to June 6 1881
Clerk

comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three Days before the time of his trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to Recover against him his Demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the Evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $8 9/100 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff Recover of the defendant the sum of $8 9/100 Dollars so assessed as foresaid together with the Cost of this suit

Eli Walker J P

[illegible] 7 1882
Received in part on the [illegible] judgment
Eli Walker

February the 18 1881
Received of Eli Walker 86 44
in full of the above
judgment and interest

James K. Lucins

Received attys fees in full
of the above judg.

Eli Walker J P

Justice fees
docket 25
summons 25
trial 1.00
judgment 25
Eli Walker
judgment
Docketed 40

State of Indiana } Before E. H. Wilber
Dekalb County } justice of the peace
in and for Smithfield
township

Re Wes McBride
vs
Urie J Daugherty

Re Wes McBride complains of
J Daugherty and says that
defendand on the 23 day of
December 1879 by his promissory
Note a copy of which is filed
herewith and

promiss to pay plaintiff the
sum of fifteen Dollars at
dekalb Bank Waterloo Indiana
with eight per cent interest
from date and attorneys fees thereof
a Reasonable attorneys fee for collecting
said note is five dollars all
of which note interest and
attorney fee is now due and
unpaid Wherefore
plaintiff demands judgments
for $25 and proper relief

2 plaintiff for a further and
second cause of of action says
that defendand on the 5 day of
February 1880 by his promissory
Note a copy of which is filed
herewith a paid herein and
make promised to pay Alonzo
S Howell Seventeen ~~50~~ 75 Dollars
with Eight per cent interest
and attorney fees was
indorsed to plaintiff by said
Howell that a reasonable
attorney fees on said note
~~interest and~~ is Five dollars all
of which nat intres and
attorney fees is now due
and wholly unpaid Wherefore
demands judgment

for Fifty Dollars and all other proper relief

John H. James
Atty for plaintiff

Received my fees in the above entitled cause in full
Frank Risk
Const.

Copy of note
$ Fatherlee Vt. December 13th 1879
Sixty Days after date I promise to pay to the order of K. Tilden Esq. Fifty Dollars at Lyndon Bank Fatherlee Vt. value received without any relief from valuation or Appraisement laws ~~interest~~ with 8 percent interest from date until paid and attorney fees
$15 00/100
Uria S. Daugherty
Exhibit "B"

Received my fees in full on this cause
F. J.

$ Fatherlee Vt. January 5th 1880
One Day after date I promise to pay to the order of Alanson S. Truett Twenty five 45/100 Dollars at value Received Without any relief from valuation or Appraisement laws with 8 per cent interest from date until paid and Attorneys fees
$17.45/100
U. S. Daugherty

February 13th 1881 Issued summons and delivered to Frank Risk Constable returnable 21st February 1881 Summons return February 24 1881
Served this summons by leaving a copy at the defendant residence

Copy	25
Mileage	100
Return	10

Frank Risk Constable

On the Day and at the hour set for trial the parties did not appear and costs are have to the plaintiff

Received all costs up to this Day from the plaintiff
Eli Mathews Justice of the peace

State of Indiana } justice civil in and with for
Dekalb County } ss before Eli Wolke Justice

William S Brand }
vs }
Brady Hoell }

William S Brand plaintiff complains of Brady Hoell defendant and says that the defendant is indebted to him in the sum of twenty for personal property sold and delivered by plaintiff to the defendant the particulars of which are set out on account filed here with and made a part thereof leaving due and unpaid ninty Dolars Wherefore the plaintiff demands judgment for one hundred Dolars

2 Paragraph plaintiff for a second and further cause of complaint says that defendant is indebted to him in the sum of Six Dolars for work and labor done by the plaintiff for the defendant defendants request as bill of particulars herewith and made a part here of leaving due and unpaid Six Dolars Wherefore plaintiff demands a judgment Six Dollars

3 Paragraph Plaintiff for a third and further cause of complaint says that the defendant is indebted to him in the sum of one Dollars for money had and received the particulars of which are set out in an account filed herewith and made a part hereof leaving due and unpaid one Dolars Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for one ~~Dolars~~ and 50/100 dolars and other proper Relief

William Cass Atty for plaintiff
Eli Wolke Justice

Justice cost
Field 25
Summons 25
Docket 50
Trials 100
1 90
Drawing 2 75
1 15
3 90
Received in fees in full of Frank
Eli Walker

Constables fees
Served 25
Mileage 1 40
Return 10
Trials 1 25
1 00
2 15
1 15
3 30

Witness fees
$1 60
Amount $4 30
of William Brand and 3 68 of Brand
Have of the above entitled cause
and 18 cents Dollars
remains yet to be paid by Wm. Brand

Statement of Account
Mr Brady Shaell Dr with William Brand Debtor
January 30 1880 One mow a mare $15 00/100
Jan 1880 One two horse wagon $15 00/100
July 1880 3/4 day two threshing With team and two men $6 00/100
December 1880 Cash 50
Waterloo Ind Mar 16/81 $36 50

April 8 1881 Summons issued and Delivered two Frank Fish constable returnable April 15 1881
Summons returns this 15 Day of April 1881 Served this writ Summons April ~~8th~~ 10 by reading in the defendant Hearing
Served — — — 25
Mileage — — — $1.10
Return 10
Frank Constable
April the 15 1881
Now on this day and at the hour called for the hearing of this cause the parties appeared for trial had and the following Witness was sworn and examined for the plaintiff and ~~that~~ defendant and examined as Witness it is therefor adjudged by me that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum sixty and Dollars Eighty Eight cent $60.88/100 With his costs and accruing costs and that he have execution therefor without relief to said defendant from valuation law
Eli Walker J P
April 25 1881 issued execution and delivered the same to Frank Fish Constable
Execution Returned
This 6 Day June 1881 Frank Fish Constable
Received the amount of this execution — costs
May 11th 1881
William Brand

State of Indiana
Dekalb County ss

Simeoly J. Knisley
David H. Knisley
vs
Calvin Voragen

Before Eli Wilkes a Justice of the peace in and for Smithfield Township Dekalb County and State of Indiana

Simeoly J. Knisley and David H. Knisley in business under the firm name and style of Knisley brothers complains of Calvan Voragen and says that said Calvan Voragen on the 19th day of January 1881 by his Note a copy of which is filed herewith promised to pay to plaintiffs April 1 1881 the sum of twenty two and 55/100 Dolars with interest at the rate of eight percent that said Note is now due and remains unpaid Wherefore the plaintiffs demand judgment for twenty three Dolars and all other proper relief Andrew Baxter
Atty for plaintiffs

Copy of Note filed on

(Copy) Waterloo Ind Jan 19 1881
April 1st 1881 after date promise to pay to the ord of Knisley Brothers twenty two and 55/100 Dolars value received without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws with 8 per cent interest from date until paid
Calvin Voragen

issue a Summons this 8 day of April 1881 and delivered to Frank Fisk Constable
Returnable the 14 Day April 1881 Summons return this 14 Day of April 1881 Served this Summons April 8 1881 by reading in defendant hearing

Justice fees
Summons 25
Filed 25
Docket 50
Trial 100
Judgment 25
Received pay ment in full on this bill
C. L. Walker

Constable fees
Served 25
mileage 110
return 10

Received pay fees in full in the above cause
Frank Fisk Const

Served — — 25
Mileage — — 110
return 10
Frank Fisk Constable

Judgment and April 24 1891
And now to Wit on the Day and at the hour set for trial of this cause as above shall plaintiff et al appear but the ~~plaintiff~~ but the defendant thought three time called comes but but makes defaulted and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than ten Days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to Recover against him this demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said Demand at the sum of twenty two ninty seven $22.97 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff Recover of the defendant the sum of twenty two nin Seven $22.97 so assessed as aforesaid together With the costs of this Suit
C. L. Walker J.P.
April 25 1891 issued execution and delivered the Same to Frank Fisk constable

Fees
Summons 25
Sub-poenas 75
affidavit 30
Subpoenas 25
filed 25
filed affidavit 25
" " 25
trial 125
fixed 25

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a justice
Delaware County } of the Peace in and for
Smithfield Township

Peter McIntosh }
" }
vs }
John Metzger }

Peter McIntosh complains of John Metzger and says that defendant on the 5th day of March 1880 and divers days since that time and before the commencement of this suit unlawfully and wrongfully entered the said freehold and field of the plaintiff situate in Smithfield Township Delaware County State of Indiana and then and there dug and cut the soil and distroyed a certain ditch situate therein of the value of one Hundred dollars that by the cutting and digging of said soil and the distruction of said ditch plaintiff has been and is still put to a large amount of labor and has been damaged in the sum of One Hundred dollars

Therefore Plaintiff demands judgment for One Hundred Dollars and all other proper Relief

John M. Sumers
Atty for Pltff

Issued the Summons on the 12th day of May 1881 and Delivered to Frank Fisk Constable Returnable on the 16th day of May 1881 Issued the Subpoena by a Request of the Plaintiff the Folowing names

George Mcandarffer
George Howett
Cyrus Kelly Freemon Kelly
George Henning John Campbel Edward Campbell Curtis Parnell

the Defendant Comes and Demands the folowing names Edward Campbel John Campbel Abraham Like Johnison Wilhelm Henry Ramper & Long this 13th day of May 1881

filed an affidavit by the defendant to continue until the Day of June 1881 at 9 o'clock in the forenoon

Issue Sapoena this 2 Day of June 1881 unto the following names Benjamin Farrington Christ Welch John Kiebel Issue & Mailing this 4 Day of June 1881 and delivered to Frank Fisk Constable

Copy of affidavit

State of Indiana }
DeKalb county SS }
Peter McIntosh
vs
John Metzer

The undersigned L I Blair on oath says that he is the attorney for defendant in the above case and that the circuit court of DeKalb county is in session to day it being the first day of the May term 1881 and that his duties require his attendance at said circuit court he therefore asks that the above case be continued until Monday June 6th 1881. Signed

L I Blair

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16th day of May 1881

Eli Walker J.P.

Whereupon the above entitled cause was continued until June 6th 1881

June 4th 1881 The plaintiff demanded a jury and the parties having agreed on six a venire was issued returnable June 6th 1881 at 9 o'clock A.M. and delivered to Frank Fisk constable of Smithfield Township

June 6th 1881 The above cause was called at 9 o'clock A.M. and the parties being present the defendant filed the following answer in 2 paragraphs

State of Indiana DeKalb county SS
Peter McIntosh
vs
John Metzer

Before Eli Walker a justice of the peace in and for Smithfield J.P.

The defendant in the above entitled cause denies each and every allegation there in contained

2nd Par And for further defence to said action defendant says that he admits he removed a dam which plaintiff had erected for the purpose of throwing water back on to the defendants land which removal defendant had a right to make in lawful defence of his own rights and defendant says that he used no more force than necessary to make such removal and the above constitute all the trespass complained of wherefore defendant demands judgment for costs signed

Blair and Phillips attorneys for defendant

Plaintiff demurred to second paragraph of answer which was overruled whereupon plaintiff filed a reply to second paragraph of answer to which defendant demurred demurrer overruled and said issues being joined the constable returned the following venire County of Tipton Smithfield township SS The State of Indiana To any constable of said township You are hereby commanded to summons six qualified voters of said township to appear before me a justice of the peace thereof at my office therein at 9 o clock A M June 6th 1881 to serve as jurors in a cause pending before me in which Peter McIntosh is plaintiff and John Melzger is defendant then and there to be tried and there said jurors shall in no wise omit and have you then and there this writ with your doings thereon Given under my hand and seal this 4th day of June 1881

Eli Walker J.P. (Seal)

Return John Holden, Daniel Hammon Lewis Henning Benton Henning Joseph Berry George Waller Served this writ on the above named parties Service 50 ct mileage signed Frank Fisk Const $1.00

All of the above named parties were sworn to try and truly try said cause on the issues joined therein and a true verdict give according to the law and the evidence

Justice fees $ 8.40
Constable fees $ 9 15
Witness fees $ 27.65

Who after hearing all the evidence given on said trial returned into court the following verdict

Peter Mc Intosh
vs
John Metzger } We the jury find for the defendant

Signed Wm B Henning foreman

It is therefore adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by his suit and that the defendant herein recover of and from the plaintiff his costs in the above action

E W Walker J P

I Cris Sanks hereby acknowledge myself release bail for the stay of execution on the above judgment for one hundred and twenty Days from rendition thereof for the amount of $16.40 100 Dollars

Witness my hand this 6 Day of July 1881

Cris Sanks

Received my fees in full in the above cause Oct. 8 1881
Cris Sanks
Const.

October the 7 1881

Received my fees in full in the above cause
Oct. 8 1881

Received my fees in full in the above cause
Cris Sanks
Const.

October 8 1881 Received my fees as witness in full on the above entitled case

Jacob Kimmel

October 8 1881 Received my fees as witness in full on the above entitled case

Received my fees as witness in full on the above entitled case

John Kempf

October 10 1881 Received my fees as witness in full on the above entitled case

Henry Kempf

October 10 1881 Received my fees as witness in full on the above entitled case

Received my fees 1881 Wm B Henning

State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } ss

Eva Noragon }
vs } Before Eli Walker
Frank Fisk } J. P. of
David Knisely }
Timothy Knisely } Smithfield Tp

The Plaintiff
complains of the defendent and says
that on the day of 1881 said
defendant Knisely & Knisely duly
recovered a judgement against one Calvin
Noragon Before said justice for the
sum of $ and cash
taxed at $
that on the day of 1881
said Fisher issued an Execution on
said judgment against said Noragon
and placed the sum in the Hands
of said Fisk who in ~~the Hands~~
a constable of said township that
on the 14th day of May 1881, said
constable under said writ levied
upon and took out his possession
one top Buggy which Buggy the
plaintiff is the absolute owner and
which is in no way liable or
subject to said Execution and in
of the value of $125.00
wherefore the Plaintiff Demands Determining
and said Property of the Plaintiff
that it is not subject to said
Execution and that it be
Plaintiff & other Proper
Relief
J. F. Blair &
W. L. Denfield
attys attys

State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } ss

Eva Noragon
on oath says that she is the Plaintiff
in the above entitled cause

She further says that the allegation contain in the foregoing complaint are true

Substance of facts. Eva Noragon

Subscribed & sworn Before me this 18 day of May, 1881

Eli Walker J. P.

Docket 50
Sopania 25
Sopania 25
Field 25
Field an affidavit 25

I hereby authorize and direct Joseph Sherman to serve the within writ

Given under my hand this 18 Day of May 1881

State of Indiana DeKalb county S-S

Samuel B Jeans
vs
Mary Schwitzer

Jan 28th 1881 This day came the plaintiff Samuel B Jeans and filed as his cause of action the following

Bill by Jeans

whereupon issued a summons against the defendant and delivered the same to Joseph Fish a constable of Smithfield Township and returnable Feb 5th 1881 at ten o'clock A.M.

February 5th 1881 Summons returned Serve's & summons served this writ by leaving a copy at the residence of the defendants Costs Serve's 25th

Milage 1 20

Return signed Joseph Fish

Now to wit on this day and at the hour set for the trial of said cause as above stated

and

State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } ss

Eva Noragon }
vs } Before Eli Walker
Frank Fist }
David Knisely } J. P. of
Timothy Knisely } Smithfield tp.

The Plaintiff
complains of the defendant and says
that on the day of 1881 said
defendant Knisely & Knisely duly
[illegible] against the [illegible]

And after hearing the evidence
adduced by the parties hereto I find
that the plaintiff takes nothing by
his suit and that he pay all costs
occasioned by this action It is therefore
adjudged by me that the plaintiff
pay all costs in this suit and
that the defendant have judgment

[illegible]

[illegible] 290 [illegible] 100 [illegible]
[illegible] Denfield
attys attys

State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } ss

Eva Noragon
on oath says that she is the Plaintiff
in the above entitled cause

She further says that the allegation contain in the foregoing complaind are not substance fact. Eva Naragon
Subscribed & Sworn Before me this 18 day of May, 1881
Eli Walker J. P. (Seal)

Justice fees
Summons 25
Service on Constable 25
Docket 50
Sepania 25
Sepania 25
Field 25
Field an affidavit 25

I hereby authorize and direct Joseph Sherman to serve the within Writ
Given under my hand this 18 Day of May 1881
Eli Walker

Continued by agreement until the 6 Day of ~~May~~ June 1881 at 11 O-Clock in the forenoon
Issued a Sepania this 24 Day of May 1881 and delivered the same to Joseph Sherman Special Constable

Issued Sepania this 24 Day of May 1881 delivered the same ~~to~~ by mail to John Cardon a constable of Pleasant Township Stoban County Indiana for Henry ~~Mr~~ Killinger

Eva Naragon
or
Dwight Kinsley
Timothy Kinsley
Frank Fisk

Before Eli Walker Justice of the peace of Smithfield Township of Bulleock

The plaintiff in the case entitled case and witness say that she ~~believes~~ She cant have a fair and

October [illegible] received my fees as Witness on the boat in the case
Curtis Parnel

October 19 1881 Received my fees as juror in fed in the boat case
Louis A. Henning

November 2 1881 Received my fees as Witness in fed in the boat case
Cri. T. [illegible]

November 8 1881 Received my fees as Witness in fed in the a-boat case
[illegible]

November 14 1881 Received my fees as juror in fed in the fed boat case
John D. Walter

November 19 1881 Received my fees as juror in the fed in the boat case
David F. Harrison

November 26 1881 Received my fees as Witness in fed in the a boat case
B. C. Harrington

December 1 1881 Received my fees as Witness in fed in the boat case
Joseph Huff

December 3 1881 ~~my f~~ Received my fees as juror in fed in the boat case
Joseph Otey

December 5 1881 Received fees as Witness in fed in the boat case
Thomas Pierce X Fabe

December 7 1881 Received my fees as Witness in fed in the boat case
E. R. Schermerhorn

December 14 1881 Received my fees in fed in the above case
Hellman Killey

January 27 1881 Received my fees in fed in the a boat case
Henry Miller

State of indiana } before Eli Walker J P
Dekalb County } of Smithfield Township

henry Moll
vs
Cyrus Brandaberry } july 18th 1881
on this Day comes the plaintiff henry Moll and field his bill of petition and therefore i issue Summons against the defendant and delivered the same to Frank Fisk Constable of Smithfield Township ~~issue~~ ~~summons~~ and returnable 22 Day of july 1881

Copy of account
Cyrus Brandaberry to
Henry Moll to thirteen Day labor at fifty cents a Day $6 50
Henry Moll
Summons return this 22 Day of july 1881 served this Summons july 19 1881 by reading the defendant hearing
Serving " " 25
mileage " " 75
return " " 10
Frank Fisk Constable

july 21 1881 issue a subpoena for the plaintiff the names George Moll Margret Brandaberry

july 21 1881 issue a subpoena for the defendant the names Levi Brandaberry Lazy kingsley kingsley akery king

State of indiana }
Dekalb County }
Henry Moll
vs
Cyrus Brandaberry }
Defendant for answer to the plaintiff

turn to page 147

Dekalb County } ss
State of Indiana } before Eli Walker
justice of the peace

O T Clark }
as }
Samuel Farney }

May 19 1881

this Day comes the plaintiff O T Clark and filed the fallowing Note Wherefore is ideled a Summons against the defendand and delivered the same to Frank Fisk Constable of Smithfield Township and returnable the 25 Day June 1881 at Eight O Clock in the foor Noon

Copy of note

$ 5 $\frac{21}{100}$ Wattertlo india December the 22 1880 90 Day after Date promise I to pay to the order of O T Clark Five $\frac{21}{100}$ Dollars With .... per cent of Mon fees unlee recieved Without any relief Whatever from valuation or appraisement laws With interest at the rate of 8 percent per annum from Date until paid the Drawers and endorsers Waiving presentment for payment protest and notice of pro and non payment of this Note

Samuel F Farney
mark

Received of Samuel Farney Eight Dollars and twelve cents
20 Day of May 1881
Eli Walker

Total cost $8.00 paid in full

2 Defendand for a father onsewers
to the plaintiff complaind ing of Sd
aff says that plaintiff commencement
of this and now is indbtefl to the
defendand in the Sum of Eleven & 7/100 Dollars
for use of horse and boggy for
whif and fork broken by plaintiff
a bill of particulars filed herewith
and made a part hereof thad Sad Sum is
now due and unholy unpaid Wherefore
defendand ask thad an equal amount of Sad
Sum may be set off against such amount
as may be found due plaintiff and
demands judgment for the excess and
above the amount due plaintiff and
for all other proper relief

John H. Farmer
Atty for Defendand

postie cost 8 3 45
constable fees 8 3.80
Witness fee 8 10 70

Received my fees in full in the a bove case

April 8 1882

Bill of Particulars
Henry Mull
to Byrd Brandeberry Dr

1881 February 9 to one Well's Covered	8	2 50
" 13 " " " "		2 50
June " " whif		1 25
" " " " clevis		1 00
Jan " use of Horse & boggy three times		4 50

July 22 1881 6 o'clock P.M. parties appeared bride
hud Margrel Brandeberry Jane White
and the plaintiff was sworn and
testified on the part of the plaintiff and
Mary Kingsley ~~Henry~~ Grand Kindley Jerry
Kinney William Tenedo Doris Williamson
Marjes Garrison and the defendem was
sworn to testify in on the part of the
defendem and after hearing all the evidence
in the case it is thereby adjudgd by
me thad the plaintiff recover off and from
plaintiff defendand the sum of
fifty cents together with his cost and
accruing cost

Eli Walker Justice

Received the judgment in full on
the case this April 7 1882
Henry Mull

term page 151

Charles W Freeburn } State of Indiana
vs } DeKalb County
Silas McCosh }

August 4 1881 this day come the plaintiff Atty James Earls filed note ~~and his bond~~ ~~of~~ therefore I issue summons against the defendant and delivered the same to Frank Fisk Constable of Smithfield township and returnable August the 9 1881 at two O'clock in the afternoon August 9 1881 Summons return Served this summons by reading in the defendant hearing August 5 1881

Copy of Note

$62 00/100 Hamilton January 20 1872
On or before the first of April next I promise to pay to the order of C W Freeburn the sum of sixty two Dollars 00/100 value received at ten Percent from date

Signed Silas McCosh

Service	25
Mileage	65
Return	10

Filed Aug 4 1881

State of Indiana } Before Eric Walker
County of DeKalb } SS: a justice of the
Charles W Freeburn } peace in Smithfield
vs } Township Township
Silas McCosh } DeKalb County Ind.

The plaintiff in the above entitled cause complains of the defendant and says that said defendant is indebted to him in the sum of One hundred and seventeen dollars evidenced by one promissory note which is filed herewith dated January 20th 1872 calling for sixty two & 00/100 dollars with interest at ten percent from date and signed by Silas McCosh made payable to said plaintiff but that said number 1872 has been torn off from said note and lost so that he is unable to produce it that said note is due and wholy unpaid wherefore he asks

judgment for One hundred and seventeen dollars and for all costs and accruing costs and for other and proper relief

Charles W. Rreburn by
James B. Boyle his Atty.

Judgment

And now, to wit, on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this ~~case~~, as above stated, comes the plaintiff by his attorney, the defendant, though three times called, comes not, but makes default, And it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof, it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his ~~defend~~ demand aforesaid, and the court, after hearing the evidence, assesses said demand at the sum of ninty seven dollars and fifty six cents. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of ninty seven dollars and fifty six cents so assessed as aforesaid together with costs of this suit

With interest at Six per cent
Eli Walker Judge

[illegible] Charles W. Freeburn for value received assign all my right title and interest in and to the above Judgment

Signed ~~as~~ Signed and acknowledged [illegible]
before me this above assignment of Judgment
[illegible] an [illegible] and
delivered the same to [illegible]

Witness my hand and seal this 9 Day of August 1[illegible]

Witness
Silas W. Cook
Freeburn as
being an Assignment
of Judgment of his against
Silas W. Cook

[illegible] Dollars [illegible] Please in and for Smith[illegible]
[illegible] 1881

[illegible] cost $ 1.25

[illegible] John [illegible] Brand
vs
[illegible] John [illegible] Brand
[illegible] plaintiff [illegible]
[illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible] defendant by
the [illegible] note [illegible] April
1881 a copy of which note is filed herewith
[illegible] payable [illegible] months after date
[illegible] Dollars [illegible]
[illegible] interest and [illegible] from date
[illegible] attorney's fee
[illegible] in
[illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible] plaintiff demands judgment [illegible]
[illegible] and for
other relief

[illegible]
Atty for Plaintiff

Received my fee in [illegible] and 10

Copy of note

Smithfield April the 2d 1880

[illegible] months after date [illegible]
[illegible] Dollars at [illegible] value received [illegible]
[illegible] from exemption [illegible]
attachment and [illegible]
if any shall be due [illegible] will be
[illegible] interest from date
[illegible]

[illegible]
his
[illegible] mark

[illegible] 21 Day of ~~Aug~~ September 1881

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
in full
Eli Walker J P

August 6 1881
Received my fees in full in the case of Henry Ellell Cypres Brendaberry
Signed Margaret Garson

December 24 1881
Received my fees in full in the case of Henry Ellell as Cypres Brendberry
Jerry King

April 21 1882
Received my fees in full in the case of Henry Ellell as Cypres Brendberry
Harry Williamson

April [illegible] 1882
Received my fees in full in the case Henry Ellell as to Brendberry
Ground Kingsley

May 27 1882
Received my fees in full in the case of Henry Ellell as to Brendberry
C F Kingsley

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Patters for goods sold and

at his defendants request and that

said goods was reasonable

[illegible]

of which is filed herewith and

[illegible] is due and unpaid Wherefore

[illegible]

[illegible]

William R. Leas

Atty for plaintiff

Bill of particulars

Mr Samuel Harney in account with Watters and defendants

August 16 1886 one pair of Boots $ 4-00

One year interest

$ 4 30

[illegible] September 24 1887 manner and deliver

[illegible]

returnable [illegible] 10 [illegible]

I Served this summons in the

[illegible] 1887

[illegible] 90

[illegible] 25

[illegible] 10

Judgment

and now to wit on the Day and at the hour
fixed for trile of this cause as now stanid
Costs of fee called came not but [illegible] it would and
it appearing that [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] time of trile hereof it is therefore considered
Judgment that the plaintiff ought to recover against
[illegible]
demand and the sum of four Dollars and
[illegible]

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker
Justice fees $1.00 DeKalb County } a Justice of the peace
Jefferson Beard } of Smithfield Township
administrator of the }
Estate of Elish M Beard }
vs }
December 24 1881 }
Received my Calvin Naragon }
fees in full Charles Parish }
in the case Jefferson Beard administrator of the
Estate of Elish M Beard, becomes
Eli Walker J.P. Complains of Calvin Naragon and Charles
Parish and says that Defendants on the
1st day of June 1881 by their
promissary Note a copy of which filed
Constables herewith and made a part hereof
fees $1.60 promised to pay plaintiff as said
administrator the sum of Eleven $11.33/100
Dollars with six per cent interest
plaintiff further says that said Note
and interest is now due and
wholly unpaid Wherefore he demands
judgment for $15.00 and all other
proper relief
John W Farmer
Atty for Plaintiff

copy of Note

$11.33/100 June 1st 1881
Six month after Date we
Received my promised to pay to the order of
fees in full J D Beard administrator of the Estate
in cause entitled of E M Beard Eleven and 33/100 Dollars
Beard Vs Naragon at — value received with
Trial $1.60 interest at the rate of 6 percent from
Calvin Naragon
Charles Parish

December 24 1881 Received in
full in the case of Jefferson Beard
administrator of the Estate of
Elish M Beard vs Calvin Naragon
Charles Parish and costs
Eli Walker J P

Calvin Naragon
vs
Charles Parrish

Before Eli Walker
a justice of the peace
of Smithfield
township DeKalb
county Indiana

the plaintiff complains of the defendant and says that the defendant is indebted to him in the sum of forty Dollars for labor done and by plaintiff for defendant at defendant request the particulars of which are set forth in a bill of particulars filed herewith mate ~~hereof~~ a part hereof which amount is now due and unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for forty Dollars

Bill of particulars
for cutting Forty acres of Wheat and cut at Seventy five cents per acre $30.00
for cutting grass and use of hay rake and use of Wheat Drill $8.00
for use of bore to ~~so~~ two Sons 2.00
total $40.00

State Calvin Naragon
state of Indiana Summons 26 Day of December 1881 and Delivered to Frank First Constable Returnable the 31 Day of December 1881
came to hand 26 December 1881
Served this December 27 1881 by reading the same in the defendant hearing

Service 25
attendance 100
Return 10

Frank First Constable

on the Day and at the hour the plaintiff filed an affydavit for a continuance January 8 1882

Eli Walker

Justice fees $2.45

Constables fees $2.35

Settled in full

Constables fees
Received my
fees in full
Frank Fish

on the Day ant at the hour Sed for trial
the parties appeared and by a grellment the
parties settle plaintiff pard the cost and
four Dollars on the prinsiple ~~grat of the Nat~~
the plaintiff graed the Nat can accend
ent and so ll id Sewair by a recede
Eli Walter J J

State of Indiana DeKalb ss

Adam Stroh
vs
Albert Grate

Justice court before Eli Walk a justice of the peace in and for Smithfield township DeKalb County and State of Indiana

Adam Stroh complains of Albert Grate says that on the 8th day of February 1871 the defendant by his promissory note of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof promised to pay Adam Stroh and company the sum of Seventy one and 18/100 Dollars one day after Date with interest 8 percent from date until paid reasonable attorneys fees and Which note the said Adam Stroh indorsed copy of which is filed herewith

Waterloo Indiana 11th [illegible]

VS

Received of Eli Walker Esq Eighty 87/100 dollars Slick on Albert Grate one docket

Adam Stroh

Established 1867

The Milwaukee Lithographing Co.

[illegible] to the order of ~~Adam~~ Jacob Kahn and eighty one and 18/100 Dollars at ~~Eight percent~~ Citizens Bank Wattsburg Indiana for value received without ~~right~~ relief from valuation or appraisement laws With 8 per cent interest from date until paid and attorney fees the makers sureties and indorsers severally waive presentment for payment protest and notice of protest and payment of this note and all dispensing on the ground of any extension of the time of its payment that may be given by the holder or holders to them or either of them Signed sealed grate

Form of endorsement Jc Kahn co without recourse

issued a summons this 1 Day of May 1882 returnable May 8th 1882 at 9 o'clock in the forenoon and delivered to Jacob Sherman a Special Constable Smithfield township Jefferson County

Justice fees $2.25

Received my fees in full Eli Walker J.P. Constable

Came to hand this 4 Day of May 1882

Served this summons by leaving a copy at the defendant's residence May 4th 1882

~~Travel~~ Copy	$ 25
Mileage	100
Return	10

Received my fees in full

Jacob Sherman Special Constable

Parties appeared in Court on the 8th day of May 1882. Parties appeared and had a trial on the case and the note on which the above action was founded was offered in evidence and it appearing therefrom that the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of

[illegible]

23

Signed and acknowledged before me this above assignment of Judgement by Charles Freeburn assignment of judgment of his against Silas McCosh

Witness my hand and seal this 8th day of August 1881

Eli Walker J.P. (Seal)

November 11 1882

Received the above judgment and costs in full

Eli Walker J.P.

State of Indiana DeKalb ss

Adam Stroh
vs
Albert Grate

Justice court before
Chas Walk a Justice
of the peace in and for
Smithfield Township
DeKalb County and
State of Indiana

Adam Stroh Complains of Albert Grate
says that on the 8th day of February AD 1881
the defendant by his promissory
note of which is filed herewith and
made a part hereof promised to pay
Adam Stroh and company the sum
of Seventy one and 18/100 Dollars one day
after Date With interest 8 percent from
date until paid reasonable attorneys fee
and Which note the said Adam
Stroh indorsed copy of which is filed
herewithe and made a part hereof
to the plaintiff and is now due and
remains unpaid Plaintiff further say
that the attorneys fee for collection such
Note reasonably Worth Fifteen Dollars
Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment
for Ninety five Dollars and all
proper relief Chas Shrillp plaintiff
Atty

Copy of Note

Waterloo Indiana February 8th 1881
one Day after date I promise to pay to
the order of ~~Adam~~ Jacob Kahn and
co Seventy one and 18/100 Dolars at
~~Eight percent~~ Citizens bank Waterloo
Indiana for value received without ~~right~~
relief from valuation or appraisement
laws With 8 per cent interest from
date until paid and attorney fees
the makers sureties and endorsers waive
notice presentment for payment protest and
notice of protest and payment of this note and
all defenses on the ground of any extension
of the time of its payment that may be
given by the holder or holders to the maker
Either of them Signed Albert Grate
Copy of endorsement Jc Kahn co without recourse

ished a Summons this 1 Day of May
1882 returnable May 8 the 1882 at 9 al lot
in the foer Non and delivered to Jacob
Sherman a Special Constable in
Smithfield Township Pebble County

Constable fees
$2.25

Received my fees
in full
Eli Walker J P
Constable

came to hand the 11 Day of May
served this Summons by leaving
copy at the defendant resadence May
11 the 1882

~~Travel~~ Serving	8	15
Mileage		100
Return		10
Fees in Jacob Sherman special Constable		1.25

Received my
fees in full
en tt
Jacob
C. P. Pet

Witness my hand ~~this~~ this 8 Day
of July 1882 Eli Walker J P
George Gate
November 11 1882
Received the Court Judgment and
Costs in full
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana DeKalb ss

Adam Stroh
vs
Albert Grate

Justice court before
Eli Walk a justice
of the peace in and for
Smithfield township
DeKalb County and
State of Indiana

Adam Stroh Complains of Albert Grate
says that on the 8th day of February 1891
the defendant by his promissory
note of which is filed herewith and
made a part hereof promised to pay
Adam Stroh and company the sum
of twenty one and 18/100 Dollars one day
after Date With intrest 8 percent from
date until paid reasonable attorneys fee
and Which note the said Adam
Stroh indorsed copy of which is filed
herewith and made a part hereof
to the plaintiff and is now due and
remains unpaid Plaintiff further say
that the attorneys fee for collecting said
note reasonably worth Fifteen Dollars
Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment
for Ninty five Dollars and all
proper relief Elli Hill & Phillips plaintiff
Atty

Copy of Note

Waterloo Indiana February 8th 1891
one Day after date I promise to pay to
the order of ~~Adam~~ Jacob Kahn and
co twenty one and 18/100 Dollars at
~~Eight percent~~ Citizens Bank Waterloo
Indiana for value received without ~~right~~
relief from valuation or appraisement
laws With 8 per cent intrest from
date until paid and attorney fees
the makers sureties and indorsers waive
notice presentment for payment protest and
notice of protest and payment of this note and
all dispensing on the ground of any extension
of the time of its payment that may be
given by the holder or holders to the maker
Either of them Signed Albert Grate
Copy of indorsement Jc Kahn co without recourse

ished a Summons this 1 Day of May
1882 returnable May 4th the 1882 at 8 o'clock
in the forenoon and delivered to Jacob
Sherman a Special Constable
Smithfield township Pottawattamie County

Constable fee
$2.25

Came to hand the 4th day of May 1882
Served this Summons by leaving
a copy at the defendant residence May
4th the 1882

Received my fees
in full
Eli Walker J.P.
Constable
fee $1.35

~~Service~~ Copy	$ 25
Mileage	100
Return	10

Received my
fees in full
on the case
Jacob Sherman
Constable

Jacob Sherman Special Constable, being duly sworn
May 8th 1882. Parties appeared in court and the
note on which the above action was founded was offered
in evidence and it appearing therefrom that the
defendant is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of
~~Twenty Dollars~~ ~~Twenty~~ fifty one hundredth dollars with reasonable
attorneys fees which being shown to be reasonably
worth fifteen dollars it is therefore adjudged
by me that the plaintiff herein have and recover
of the defendant the sum of Sixty three and 50/100
dollars with his costs and accruing costs taxed
at Three and 50/100 dollars

Eli Walker Justice of the Peace

May the 12 1882 issued an Execution
and delivered the same to R F
Hirsh constable

I George Spencer hereby acknowledge
my self Replevin bail for the stay
of execution on the abal judgment
for one hundred and eighty dollars
thereof rendition thereof with cost
and accruing cost
Witness my hand ~~thand~~ this 8 day
of July 1882 Eli Walker J.P.
George Spencer

December 11 1882
Received the bond judgment and
cost in full
Eli Walker J J

State of Indiana DeKalb county,
Emanuel R. Shoemaker
vs
the common School
trustee, of Smithfield

Before the
Justice of the peace in
and for Smithfield
township DeKalb
County in the
State of Indiana

Emanuel R. Shoemaker complains of the
common school trustee of Smithfield
and says that on the 9th day of April 1871
plaintiff entered into verbal contract
with the defendant trustee aforesaid the
and there duly elected and qualified at
and in that behalf that plaintiff
daughter who was then and there a
minor under twenty one years of age
who was then and there duly and
legally licensed and commissioned
to teach School in said township and
county and said teach School in said
School township for the defendant
for the term of three School months
and in consideration of the plaintiff
and Daughter teaching said the
defendant trustee did
promised and agreed with the
plaintiff to pay him the sum
of Fifty Six dollars or as much
as she paid any other teacher in
case the plaintiff daughter taught
the year as any other
teacher in said township and
plaintiff
With said his daughter
did teach for the defendant
the term of three months
for
received any School teaching
any teacher for the defendant
the defendant refuses and
neglects to pay

[illegible]

interest from the 2 day of August
1877 and all costs [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] the [illegible] of [illegible] month

[illegible]

all proper [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
citizen

August 2nd 1882 Supena issued upon the part of the defendant and at her request for the following witnesses and delivered to Royal Fish constable to wit to wit Triplett David Searcy Mary Thermaker Spencer Hutchner Robert A Crooks Enoch M. Powell And afterwards to wit on the 4th day August 1882 supena issued at the plaintiffs request and delivered to Royal G Fish constable for the following witnesses to wit John Thomas Searcy [illegible] to wit [illegible] Bartlett Joseph Nelson B P Harrison Giles Abby Williams

Mc Intosh

And afterward to wit on the 5th day of August 1882 defendant demanded a jury whereupon a venire issued and delivered to Royal G. Fisk constable for twelve qualified voters of Smithfield township

August 7th 1882 the parties appeared and the summons returned with the following indorsement thereon to wit

Jury fees $3.75

Received my fees in the above entitled cause in full

Thos Ellis

John Fisk

Ira Campbell

Reserve by Sam

Noah Nottinger

J. Wilhelm

S. Franklin

Mortorff

C. Parrish

D. Hacathorn

Bowman

Only the 27th 1882 this writ came to hand and served the same this day by leaving a certified copy of the same at the residence of Frederick Feller trustee of Smithfield township in Bedford county and state of Indiana signed Royal G. Fisk constable and the defendant by her counsel filed the following demurrer to the plaintiffs complaint in the words and figures following

Thomas Shoemaker vs School township of Smithfield Bedford county

And the defendant demurs to the complaint herein for the following reasons 1st that the plaintiff has not the legal capacity to ~~sue~~ bring said suit 2nd that the complaint does not state such sufficient to constitute a cause of action signed H. H. Willis atty for defendant whereupon said demurrer was overruled and the defendant having previously made a motion to dismiss the action for the reason that the summons had been served by a constable who was not elected and for Smithfield township said motion being overruled upon the following affidavit viz

E. B. Shoemaker vs School township of Smithfield Bedford co. Ind. Before my Walter Frederick F. Feller being sworn says he is the trustee of said township and that Royal G. Fisk is not a resident nor constable of said township but is a resident and constable of Union township in said county signed Frederick F. Feller Sworn to before me this 7th day of August 1882 Eli Walker J.P.

which motion was overruled and the following jurymen were sworn to well and truly try said cause and a true verdict give according to the law and the evidence to wit A. Bowman A. Elliott B. Beyer John Fisk P. Franklin Samuel Mortorff Charles Parrish David Hacathorn Jo Wilhelm John Campbell A. McCoy M. C. Shoadle and the jury being accepted by both parties the following witnesses were called and sworn to testify upon the part of the plaintiff May Shoemaker Lydia Robinson Geo H. Shepherd B. F. Harrison C. Buckhalter Jas A. Gilles Abey Williams Mc Intosh and the following witnesses being called were

[illegible] and [illegible] in the part of the defendant and the jury after hearing all the evidence the court rendered the following verdict into court Emanuel R Shoemaker vs Common School Township of [illegible] We the jury find for the plaintiff in the sum of Sixty five and 29/100 dollars [illegible] Therefore it is adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of sixty five and 29/100 dollars with interest from date together with its costs and accruing cost

E L Walker J.P. Pro tem

Aug 29th 1892 Received Sixty five and 29/100 dollars in full of the above judgment

E W Phillips atty for Plaintiff

Justices fees $16.50 Received my fees in the above entitled cause in full E L Walker J.P.

Constable fees $20.00 Received my fees in the above entitled cause in full

Witness fees $21.85 Received my fees in full

Witness fees 70 Received my fees in full H. J. Shafer Cyntia Robinson Thos Campbell David Lewis Nehemiah Roberts Joseph Brackel E H Griffith T O Breckill

E L Walker 6 1882

Received on the above Judgment, cost in full and accruing cost

E L Walker J P

State of Indiana DeKalb county SS
Henry Rohm
vs
Charles Sherwood
Before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in and for Smithfield township, DeKalb County State of Indiana

Witness fees ~~12.10~~ 6.85 Received in full in the cause entitled

Henry Rohm complaining of Charles Sherwood says that plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of a certain track ~~of land~~ or parcel of land situated in Smithfield township DeKalb County and State of Indiana and that the defendant is the owner or fee simple occupies and controls a certain tract or parcel of land in said Township county and State which is to and joins plaintiffs said land and the plaintiff further says that it was mutualy agreed by and between himself and Defendant to build a line or partition fence between lands each are to build one half of said line fence and keep the same in repair plaintiff avers that in accordance with the said agreement he did build one half of said line fence and the defendant did build the other half and that the same stood and was used and occupied by both plaintiff and the defendant as a division fence between said lands for a long period of time on the day of October A.D. 1880 the defendant wrongfully removed a portion of the said division fence with out giving plaintiff any notice thereof and thereby exposed a large portion of plaintiffs improved land to the common to plaintiffs damage Fifty Dollars for which he demands judgment and all proper relief

— C. M. Phillips atty for plaintiff

three jurymen said by the defendan John Hyde William Kennedy Jacob Kennedy Witness fees Received in full cause entitled

John Rohm ~~Jacob Shuman~~ Henry Coytsman Jacob Jim Stutz William Goodwin Jacob Frantz John Rohm Hiram Brand John Bohler

Received in full
Returns
B. H. Hisk
John Lees

Jury fees
Received my
fees in full
Jacob Shuman
Constable

Summons issued this 13 Day of November 1882
returnable the 16 Day of November 1882
issued at Sefena by the plaintiff against
for Witness John Baler Christian
Frenel Jacob Smidlerts returnable 16
Day of November 1882
Summon return this 16 Day of November 1882
Served this Summons by reading to the
defendand hering in 13 Day of November
1882
Sefena return this 16 Day of November 1882
Served this Writ by hering copy for
John Baler Christian Frenel Jacob Smidlerts

Jenuary 1883
Received the
peace Judgment
in full
J. C. Rohm

Henry Ugtman John Rohm Albert Rohm
16 Day of November 1882 at Sefena was
issue by defendant pet and the
defiaend to the constabler George Walker
Township Smithfield Northampton County State
of indiana on the 16 Day of November 1882
I issue at venuira by at grellement
of the ellendand and pleindand venuira
reten with the folwing Names Jacob
Sherman John Cleas Benjamin Henning
Orlendt Geery Frank Ganser Wilhard Fe
John Fisk William Heumeate W at peneda
by request of the defendand I issue Sefena
Henry Kempes Hiram Freund David
Goodwin on th
and the Day on at the hour and pla
ce parties appeard Witness more
soring and testified on path Sides
and after hering the lendere
We the Jury fined for the plaintiff
and assess his demage at one
Dallard John Cleas foreman

Constables
J 8.55
Received my
fees in the
peace in
title case
in full
George Walker
Constables

it is therefor adjudey that that plaintiff
recevers of the defendand the Sum of
one Dallars with interest from Date
to getter with his cost and accuring cost
E. Walker J P (Seal)
December 14 1882
Received on the at peace Judgment
thirty Dallars
E. Walker J P

December 15th 1882
Received on the peace Judgment and cost and accuring
cost in full
E. Walker J P (Seal)

State of Ind DeKalb County
Frank F Day } before Elv Walter
Arlay Day } a Justice of the
vs } in and for Smith
Graham McCoy } township DeKalb
County

Filed
8126

the firm name F F Day & Bro
1 Carter Collector $16.00
John M Sommers
Att for Plaintiff

Issued
8170

Estate Sommons this 18 Day of
September 1882
Returnabl 27 Day of September 188
Ischete & Sapena in favor of the
Plaintiff this 18 Day of September 188
returnable 27 Day of September 188

Salloman Fisher
vs
peter H gramling

before E. L. White
a Justice of the Peace
in and for Smith
Township Switzerland
County in the State
of Indiana

on this 24 Day of December 1888
Justice fees comes the plaintiff Salloman Fisher
$3.05 filed the foraun Note

Received my fees in full
E. L. Walker

Copy of Note

$15 44/100 Walkerton Ind January 4th 1886
one day after date I promise to pay
to the order of S Fisher or barrer
fifteen 44/100 Dollars value received without
any relief from valuation or appraisement
laws of the State of Indiana
With interest at ten per cent per annum
from Date until paid
Constables fees peter H gramling
$1.35

issued a Summons this 24 Day of
Received my December 1888 returnable this 24
fees in full Day of December 1888
on the one Summons returned this 24 Day of
case. Jacob Dec 1888 served this Writ on
George Walker reading to the defendant herein
constable this 24 Day of December 1888
George Walker Constable

and now to wit on the Day and at the hour
set for trial of this cause as a bar stated co
comes the plaintiff and the defendant
thought three times called so
but makes default and it appearing
that he has been duly served with
process more than three Day before the
time of the trial herein it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff ought
to recover against him his demand
aforesaid and the court after hearing
the evidence assesses said demand and
the sum of Twenty Dollars

turn to page 172

it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the Defendant the [illegible] 26 [illegible] dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit

Chs Walker J J (Seal)

I recognized gramling hereby acknowledge my self replevin bail for the stay of execution on the bar judgment for one hundred and [illegible] from the rendition

[illegible] gramling
[illegible] d this [illegible] Day of
[illegible] Walker J J (Seal)
[illegible] August 10 18[illegible]
[illegible] Gramling
[illegible] Jacob [illegible] Walker
[illegible] in Smithfield
[illegible] Fisher

INSURE WITH THE AGENT
HOME INSURANCE CO. NEW YORK
Waterloo Indiana [illegible]
received of [illegible]
Gramling [illegible] Cents
on [illegible] Walker
Docket in Smithfield
Township
Solomon Fisher

Constables fees State of Indiana DeKalb County ss
$1.15 D D Snider } before Eli Walker a
vs } Justice of the peace in
George W. Brand } and for Smith Town
} DeKalb county in the
State of Indiana

Justice cost one the 8 Day of January 1883
$3.08 Comes ~~the~~ plaintiff by his attorney and filed the
following Note
Copy of Note

$65.00 Stubberville Ind December 15 1881
Received my September 1 1882 after date I promise
pies in full to pay to the order of D D Snider the
Eli Walker Sum of Sixty five Dollars Negotiable
J J for value Received Without any relief
whatever from valuation or appraisement
laws With 8 percent interest from date
Received my and 8 percent after maturity until paid
fees in full attorney fees
on the bar George W Brand
bar
George Walker November 11 1882 Received on
Constables within Note ten Dollars 10.00
issue Summons this 8 Day of
January 1883 returnable this 12
Day of January 1883
Came to hand this 8 Day of January 1883
January the 8 1883 served this Writ
by reading to the defendant a hearing
George Walker
Special Constable

Judgment
And now to wit on the ~~hear~~ Day and at
the hour set for trial of this cause ~~on~~
as a bar Stated comes the plaintiff by
the defendant Although the time
called comes not but comes not but
makes default and it appearing
that he has been duly served with
process more than three Days in
the time aforesaid it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff ought
to recover against him his

Carried to page 173

it is therefor considered that the plaintiff recover of the Defendant the sum of twenty six 26 24/100 dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit

Eli Walker J. J. (Seal)

I recommend granting hereby remanding my self replevin bond for the stay of execution on the bond judgment for one hundred and twenty Days from the rendition thereof

Richard Gramling

Witness my hand this 6 Day of January 1843

Eli Walker J J (Seal)

Waterloo Indiana August 10 1843
Received of Peter H Gramling 26 75/100 Dollars on Judgment Walker
Dekalb County in Smithfield
Dowell

Salomon Fisher

Constables fees $1.15

State of Indiana dekalb County ss
D D Snider } before Eli Walker a
vs } Justice of the peace in
George W. Brand } and for Smith town
} Dekalb county in the
State of Indiana

Justice cost $3.08

one the 8 Day of January 1883
Comes the plaintiff relation by his
and filed the
following Note
Copy of Note

$65.00 Auburn Ind December 15 1881
September 1 1882 after date I promise
pay in full to pay to the order of D D Snider the
sum of Sixty five Dollars Negotiable
for value Received Without any relief
whatever from valuation or appraisement
laws With 8 percent intrest from date
and 8 percent after maturity until paid
attorney fees
George W Brand

Received my fees in full
Eli Walker J J

Received my fees in full
on the bar
Cad
George Walker
Constables

November 11 1882 Received on
within Note ten Dollars 10.00
idete Summons this 8 Day of
January 1883 returnable this 12
Day of January 1883
Came to hand this 8 Day of January 1883
January the 8 1883 Served this Writ
by reading to the defendant herein
George Walker
Special Constable

Judgment

And now to wit on the ~~twelfth~~ Day and at
the hour set for trial of this cause ~~on~~
as a bar Stated comes the plaintiff by
the defendant although the time
called comes not but comes not but
makes default and it appearing
that he has been duly served with
process more than three Days in
the time aforesaid it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff ought
to recover against him his

Turn to page 173

demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $69,36/100 it is therefore considered that the plaintiff [illegible]

Waterloo Iowa, April 7 1883

Received of Eli Walker

Thirty Dollars

$30 50/100 L. L. Snyder
By J. R. Culbertson

[illegible] from the rendition thereof

Witness my hand this 19 Day of January 1883

Eli Walker J. P.

Received on the boot Judgment $30.58 thirty 58 Dollars April 6th 1883

Eli Walke J P

May the 7 1883
Received on the boot Judgment in full

Eli Walker J.P.

Waterloo Ia April 7 1883
Received of Eli Walker thirty Dollars $30 50/100

D D Snider
By J R Culbertson

Cedar Ia May 22 1883
Received from Eli Walker thirty one 50/100 Dollars Judgment of A S Brand $31 50/100

D D Snider

State of indiana Dekalb county S.S.

S. S. Smith vs William S. Brand

before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in and for Smithfield Dekalb county in the State of indiana

Justice fees $2.25
Received my fees in full
Eli

on this Day comes the plaintiff J. S. S. Smith filed his follow Note

Copy of note

$10 00/100 Many the 5 1882
three Month after date I promise to pay to the order of S. S. Smith ten 100 Dollars at Watterloo indiana value Received without any relief from valuation or appresement laws With 8 percent intres from date until fait and attorney fees
William S. Brand

Constables $1.15
Received my fees in full on the ob one page Geo. Walker special Constable

issued a Summons this 8 Day of January 1883 returnable the 12 Day of January 1883
came to hand this 8 Day of January 1883
January 8 the 1883 served this Writ by reading to the defendand herein
George Walker special Constable

Received January 12, 1883 in full on the bove case
Eli Walker J. J. (seal)

January 14 1883
Received in full on the bove Judgment
S. S. Smith

demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $65 36/100 it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $65 36/100 so assessed as aforesaid to gether with the cost of this suit

Eli Walker J P (Seal)

I William S Brand cognovit my self replevy bale over the said execution on the bone judgment for one hundred and twenty days from the rendition thereof

William S Brand

Witness my hand this 19 day of January 1883

Eli Walker J P

Received on the bone judgment $30 88 thirty 88 Dollars April 6th 83

Eli Walke J P

May the 7 1883
Received on the bone judgment in full

Eli Walker (Seal)

Walkerlow In april 7 1883
Received of Eli Walker thirty Dollars $30 00

D D Snider
By J R Colberson

Auburn I May 22 1883
Received from Eli Walker thirty one 50/100 Dollars Judgment of S S Brand $31 50/100

D D Snider

State of indiana Dekalb county S S

S S Smith vs William S Brand

before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in and for Smithfield Dekalb county in the State of indiana

Justice fees $2.25
Received my fees in full
Eli

on this Day comes the plaintiff S S Smith filed his follow Note

Copy of Note

$10 00/100 Many th 5 1882

three Month after date I promise to pay to the order of S S Smith ten 100 Dollars at Wattersloo indiana value Received without any relief from valuation or appresement laws With 8 percent intres from date until paid and attorney fees

William S Brand

Constable $1.15
Received my fees in full on the ob
George K Walker Special Constable

issued a Summons this 8 Day of January 1883 returnable the 12 Day of January 1883
came to hand this 8 Day of January 1883
January 8 the 1883 served this Writ by reading to the Defendand herein

George Walker Special Constable

Received January 12 1883 in full on the bove Case
Eli Walker J P (Seal)

January 14 1883
Received in full on the bove Judgment
S S Smith

State of Indiana } Before H. Walker J. P. of
Jackson County } Smithfield Township
John H. Somers }
Frank D. Raby }
vs }
Cyrus Kelley

John H. Somers and Frank D. Raby doing business under the firm name and style of Somers and Raby complain of the defendant and say that the defendant is indebted to them in the sum of [illegible] hundred and [illegible] $1.60 Dollars for [illegible] rendering as attorney rendered by the plaintiffs for the defendant at the defendant request a bill of particulars of which is filed herewith and made a parte hereof which sum is now due and wholly unpaid wherefore they demand judgment for one hundred and sixty Dollars $1.60 and for all other proper relief

Somers and Raby

Bill of Particulars
Cyrus D. Kelley
Dr. acct with Somers and Raby
Dec 12 To Referring and filing Bill of Exceptions in Bauman vs Kelley $25.00

December 19 20 21 to Warrant matter
of Kelley vs Kelley $30.00
Dec 22 and to Jan 1st
attend consultation and screening evidence
making of Deeds bill of Sale
assignment of Judgment 10.00
Jan 3 1883 examining matters of record
Kelley vs King 5.00
$16.00

issue this Summons the 9 Day of January 1883 returnable this 15 Day of January 1883 Summons return
~~[illegible]~~ Came to hand this 9 Day of January 1883

Served this Writ by leaving a
copy at the defendant's residence
George Walker a Special Constable

Came on the day and at the hour set for
trial the plaintiff appeared and also
did the defendant upon their purpose
of making a special plea and moved
the court to quash the summons
motion was overruled by the court
and the defendant filed his
affidavit for a continuance and moved
court to continue this cause until February
3rd the 1883 9 o'clock A.M. which
motion was granted and case set
hearing on said day
Eli Walker J. P. (Seal)
this mist this bar ~~until~~ by at
agreement

State of Indiana } Dekalb County ss
John C Brand } Before the undersigned
vs } Justice of the peace in
George W Brand } and for Smithfield
} township

John C Brand complainant against George W Brand says that he is indebted to him in the sum of twenty five dollars and for his cause of action he filed the following Note

Copy Note

$25.00 Waterloo Ind April 3rd 1883

Sixty months after date I promise to pay to the order of John C Brand twenty five Dollars value Received Without any relief from valuation or appraisement bears 6 per cent interest from date until paid and attorney fee

George W his mark Brand

issued a summons and delivered to George Walker a special constable returnable 28 Day of February 1883 at 9 o'clock in forenoon

came to hand 23 Day of February 1883

February 23 1883 Served this Writ by reading to the defendant herein

Service	25
Mileage	80
return	10

George Walker Special Constable

Judgment

and now to wit on the 7 day and at the
the hour fixed for the trial of this cause
as [illegible] comes the plaintiff not
brought three times three
times not but makes default
that he has been duly
as more than three ~~times~~
time of the trial hereof
considered that the
t to recover against
and aforesaid and the
ring the evidence
determined at the
Six Dollars it is
sided that the
cover of the defendant
twenty Six Dollars 26.81 eight and
are said to the
With the costs of this suit
Eli Walker J P

Constable
$1.15
received my
fees in full
on the within
judgment
George K Walker
A Special Constable

Received on the above judgment
Nine 9 42 Dollars April 6th 1883
Eli Walker J P

Waterloo April 1 1883
Received of Eli Walker J P
this the Dollars 32 100 in full
of the judgment and Costs
George W Brand
J C Brand

State of Indiana DeKalb County SS
John C Brand } Before E. B. Walker a
vs. } Justice of the peace in
George Brand } and for said township
and county

John C Brand Complain of George W Brand says that he is in debted to him in the sum of twenty five dollars and for his cause of action he filed the following Note

Copy Note

$25.00 Waterloo Ind April 3rd 1883

Five month after date I promise to pay to the order of John C Brand as barrow twenty five Dollars value Received Without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws With 6 per cent interest from date until paid, and attorney fee

George W his mark Brand

issue a Summons and demand to George Walker a Special Constable returnable 27 Day of February 1883 at 9 o clock in forenoon

Came to hand 23 Day of February 1883
February 23 1883 Served this Writ by reading to the defendant herein

Service 25
Mileage 20
return 10

George Walker Special Constable

Judgment

and now to wit on the day and at the hour fixed for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times three times called comes not but makes default and it appears that he has been duly served with process more than three ~~times~~ days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said damages at the sum of twenty six dollars eighty one cents it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of twenty six dollars eighty one cents so assessed as aforesaid with the costs of this suit

Eli Walker J P

Justice fees $1.25

Received my fees in full Eli Walker J P

Constables $1.15 received my fees in full in the above judgment George K Walker Special Constable

Received on the above judgment nine 9 42 dollars April 6th 1883

Eli Walker J P

Waterloo April 9 1883

Received of Eli Walker J P three dollars 3.00 in full of the judgment and costs

George W Brand

J C Brand

Transcript of proceeding in the case of
John Detrick
vs
Salomon Brandaberry

State of Indiana } ss in Justice court
Lake county } Smithfield Township
Before F. C. Waterman
Justice of the Peace

John Detrick
vs
Salomon Brandaberry

plaintiff complains of the defendant and says that defendant is indebted to him in the sum of three hundred and twenty Dollars as shown bill of particulars filed herewith and made a part hereof that said sum is due and remains unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demands Judgment for three hundred and twenty Dollars and other proper relief

John Detrick plaintiff

Salomon Brandaberry in act with John Detrick
Dr to Board
From Mar 16th 1881 to Aug 17th 1882 $220.00
Aug 17th 1882 to Dec 26th 1882 35.00
Dec 26th 1882 to Feb 19th 1883 for meals 25.00
to Boarding hands 7.75
taken 2½ days cutting shing timber 3.75
Washing 50 cents per month from
Mar 16th 1881 to Feb 19th 1883 13.00
to one Ball Plan $ 8.00
attending team 50 cents per month
from Mar 16th 1881 to Aug 1st 1882 $ 24
Issued summons Feb 19th 1883 for
defendant returnable Feb 23th 1883 at
10 o'clock in the forenoon
F. C. Waterman J.P.

Feb 21st 1883 summons return
endorsed as follows came to hand
19th day of Feb 1883 served by
reading to and within the hearing
of defendant Feb 20th 1883

Service 25 Mileage 50 return 10 total 85 cents
James O Blake Constable Feb 11th 1883
defendant filed the following Affidavit
to wit State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } ss
John Leitrick
vs
Solloman Branaberry } I believe I can not
have fair and impartial trial of the
above entitle cause before H C Walterman
J P on account of the interest

Solloman Brandberry

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this the 22 Day of Feb 1883
H C Walterman J P

Change of venue granted this cause
is send to Eli Walker a Justice of
the peace in and for said county
transcript mod and papers accompanying
the same consisting of 1 complaint 1
summons 1 affidavit transmitted
herewith Fees of the peace fees
H C Walterman J P
1 summons 25 cents making of
Docket 40 cents this transcript and
transmitting papers $1.00
Frederick C Walterman
Justice of the peace hereby certify that
the forgoing contains a full true
and compleat transcript of all the proceed-
ings had before me in the entitled cause together
with all the papers filed in said cause witness
my hand and seal this 22
Day of Feb 1883
H C Walterman Justice of the peace
Dismiss this cause at the
Defendant cost

State of Indiana } Be it remembered
Hendricks County } J.J. in and for
} Smithfield township
Frank H. Chadwick }
Henry Linder }
vs }
William Pierson }

Frank H. Chadwick and Henry Linder doing business with the firm name and style of Chadwick & Linder complains of William Pierson and says that the defendant on the 16th day of December 1881 at Plainfield made his promissory note a copy of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof promised to pay to the plaintiff or order Seventy Five Dollars six month after date with interest at the rate of 8 per annum until paid and attorney fees but the same remains ~~due~~ and wholly unpaid plaintiff ten Dollars is a reasonable attorney fees Wherefore they demand Judgment for Seventy five Dollars and other proper Relief

Somers and Boley
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Copy of Note

$75.00/100 Plainfield Ind 16 1881
on or before 6 month after date I promise to pay to the order of Chadwick & Co Seventy five 00/100 Dollars With Percent Attorney fees Received Without any relief Whatever from valuation or appraisement laws with interest at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from date until paid the drawers and endorsers and endorse Severally Waive presentment for payment protest and notice of protest and non payment of this Note

Wm Pierson

ishiete Summons February 27th 1883
returnable the 3 Day of March 1883
and delivered to George Walker special Constable
Eli Walker J J
come to hand 27 Day of February 1883
February 27 1883 served this Writ by
Reading to and Within the hearing
of the defendant Service 25 mileage
$100 Return 10 cents
George Walker Special
Constable

Judgment by default

And now to Wit on the Day and at the hour
set for trial of this cause as a boas solotor
comes the plaintiff but the defendant
though three times called comes not
but make default and it appears that
he has been duly served with process
more than three Day before the time
of the trial hereof it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff recover
to Recover against him his demand
aforesaid and the court after hearing
the Evidence assesses said demand at
the Sum of Seventy one 4100 Dollars
it is therefore considered that the
plaintiff Recover of the defendant
the Sum of Seventy one 4100 Dollars
so assessed as foresaid together
With the cost of this suit

Eli Walker J J (Seal)

Justice fees $2.25
Albany 6th 1883
Received my fees in full on the boas case
Eli Walker J J

Constable fees $1.35

May 6 1883

Received my fees in full ishiete an execution on the above
on the boas Judgment this 19 Day of March 1883
Judgment and delivered to R J. First constable
of union township

George Walker
A Special
Constable

from November 20 the 1883
balance due on Judgment 37 Dollars 34c

State of Indiana } Before the Walker J. P.
Jasper County } in and for Milroy Township

Fitz Chadwick
and
Henry Linder
vs
William Pirrin

the plaintiff Doing business With the firm name and Style of Chadwick in Co complains of the dependand and says that the dependand on the 16th Day of Dec 1891 Klesand lake by his promissary Note of Which is filed herwith a copy and made a parte hereof promised to pay the plaintiff Fifty Dollars three month after date With intrest at 8 percent until paid and attorneys fees Bat the same is now due and remains unpaid plaintiff allegat that Five Dollars is a Reasable attorney fees Wherefore they demand Judgement for Sixty five Dollars and others proper relief

Somers and Raby
attys for plaintiff

Copy of Note

$50. Klesand lake Dec 16th 1891
on or before three month after date I promise to pay to the order of Chadwick Co Fifty Dollars With percent attorney value Received Without any relief Whatever from valuation or appraisement laws With intrest at the rate of 8 percent per anum from date until paid the drawer and endorser severally Waive presentment for payment protest and notice of protest and non payment of this Note
William Pirrin

ishae Sommons this 27 Day of February
1883 Returnable 3 Day of March 1883
Considered by ... delivered George Walker Served Sunday
E. L. Walker J. J.
to hand 27 Day of February 1883
y 27 1883 Served this Writ to
within the hering of the
and Service 25 ellbiage 1 or
o tolle $135
George Walker Speci
Cases, tables
d by the faild
te Day and at the hour set for
is cors as above Stated comes the
f but the defendand thought
called comes not but makes
it appering thaed he has
Served With process more
Days before the time of the
it is therefore considered
intiff ought Recover against
ot thary fers his demand
d the coord after hering
asserres said demand ed
ability ~~for~~ Nine 87/100 Dalers
considar thaed the plaintiff
defendand the Sum of
7/100 Dallars so assered
yoresaid to gether With Cost of this
said
Eli Walker J. J.
Seal

ispute an execution on the boue
Jaedyment this 19 Day of March 1882
and delivered to R J Fisk constable
Amon township E. L. Walker J. J.
execution Relear
November 20 1883
the a boue Jedyment is Sadisfied
in full
Alesandlake Eli Walker J. J.
Nov 3 1883
from W Dirrin Seventy five D...
to apply on Jedyment ... against Walker
Delare In
$ 75.00 ... wick co

RECEIVED
Alexandria Nov 3
1883
From W. Dirrin
Seventy five
Dollars
to apply on Judgment vs. Geo. Walker
Delaware in
Schulwick Co

State of Indiana } In pan. Co. Walker J. J.
Jackson County } in and for Smithfield
Township

Jeff Chadwick
and
Henry Linder
vs
William

the plain
With the
Chadwi
the defen
defendan
Plesand
of Which
and ma
to pay
the ti ma
at 8 pere
But the
fees unpaid
Fiat Jab
per Ware
for Sith
proper. Ele

Copy

$50. Plesa
on or be
I promise to pay
Chadwick or Fifty Dollars With
per cend attorny value Received Without
any redrip Whatever from valuation or
appraisement laws With intrest at the
rate of 8 percent per anum from date
until paid the drawer and endorser
severally Waive presentment for payment
protest and notice of protest and non
payment of this Note
William Pirrin

188
188
278

the 1881
th after date
er of

ishae Summons this 27 Day of February
1883 Returnable 3 Day of March 1883
Constable fee and delivered George Walker Special Constable
$1.35 Eli Walker J J
May 6 1883 Came to hand 27 Day of February 1883
Received my February 27 1883 Served this Writ to
fees in full and within the hearing of the
on the back Defendant Service 25 Mileage 100
Judgment Return 10 total $1.35
George Walker
Special Constable
Constable fee George Walker Special
Constable
Judgment by the full
and to in the Day and at the hour set for
Justice fees trial of this cause as above stated comes the
$2.25 the plaintiff but the defendant thought
May 6 1883 three times called comes not but makes
Received my default and it appearing that he has
fees in full been duly served with process more
on the back than three Days before the time of the
Judgment trial hereof it is therefore considered
Eli Walker that the plaintiff ought Recover against
J J him Reasonable attorney fees his demand
of year said and the costs after hearing
the evidence assessed said demand and
the sum of Forty ~~four~~ Nine 87/100 Dollars
it is therefore considered that the plaintiff
Recover of the defendant the sum of
forty nine 87/100 Dollars so assessed
as aforesaid together with Costs of this
said
Eli Walker J J
Seal
ispete an execution on the back
Judgment this 19 Day of March 1883
and delivered to R J Fisk constable
Simon township Eli Walker J J
Execution Returned
November 20 1883
the a back Judgment is satisfied
in full
Alexandria Eli Walker J J
Nov 3 1883
from W Parsons Seventy five D
to apply on Judgment as aforesaid
Delaware Co
$ 75.00 nick co

State of Indiana DeKalb County
O J Clark } Before me William
vs } a Justice of the
William Brand } peace in and for
Smith field town

On this first Day of May 1883
comes the plaintiff O J Clark
filed his following Note

Copy of Note

$59.72 Waterloo Indiana September 12 188
Six days after Demand I promised to pay
O J Clark or order Fifty Nine
72/100 Dollars for mine received
mercation assessment land
with 8 per cent interest
William Brand

issued Summons this 1 Day of
May 1883 returnable the 7
Day of May 1883.
Summons returned this 7
Day of May 1883
Served this Writ by leaving
a copy at the defendant
residence George Walker
A Special Constable

On now to wit on the Day and at the hour
set for the trial of this Cause as now
stated comes the plaintiff but the
defendant thought three times
called comes not but makes
default and it appearing that he
has been sued; Served with process
more than three Days before the time
of the trial hereof it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff ought
to recover against him his demand
aforesaid and the court after hearing
the Evidence assesses said demand
at the Sum of thirty-seven 37.48 48.00
Dollars

Constables fees 3.50
$ 1.14

it is therefore considered that the plaintiff Recover of the defendand the Sum of 37 48/100 Dollars So assessed as aforesaid together With the cost of this Seid

Eli Walker J. J (Seal)

Justice fee $1.50
Received my fees in bill
Eli Walker J J

I George C Brand here by authority my self release bail for the stay of execution on the boue Judgment for unattendard twenty Days from the ~~the~~ execution thereof

George C Brand

Widness my hand this 11 Day of May 1883

Eli Walker J J

Received of William Brand full of the boue Judgment and delivered to Avis Clark

Eli Walker J J

Waterloo Jo 15 1883

Received of Eli Walker thirty seven Dollars for O I Clark on the Future of William Brand
$37 24/100

Avis Clark

State of Indiana Dekalb county

J H Stough } Be fore C L Walker
vs } a Justice of the
Nannie Markley } peace in and for
Smithfield

$16.35 Dollars

complains for Sixteen thirty

on the Day of June 1883 the
parties voluntarily appeard before
me Without process and the plaintiff
filed as his cause of action herein
the following Note ~~Sixty Days~~
ot pril 5 1883 Sixty Days after
Dad for value received We or eather
of us promise to pay to the order
of J H Stough Sixteen 35 cents
Dollars negotiable and payable at
the bank Waterloo ind Without
any relief from valuation or appraisement
in no per cent after
maturity and ten per cent for
attorney fees the and indorsers
severally Waive presentment for
payment protest and not notice
of protest and non payment
of this Note and all defenses on the
ground of any extension of the time
of its payment that may be
given by the holder or holders to
them or eather of them
Dat June 5 1883
Markley

and defendant confess that he is
indebt to the plaintiff in the sum
of Sixteen 75/100 Dollars an bad debt
and filed his affidavit to the effect
that he further owes said debt and
does not confess Judgment herein
to the defendant his creditors
it is therefore adjudged that the
plaintiff recover of the defendant the
said sum of fifty Dollars with
cost and accruing costs

Justice fees $3.75

E. L. Walker J P (Seal)

I R. G. Duncan hereby
acknowledge my self responsible
bail for the stay of execution
on the above Judgment for
Ninty 90 Days from the rendition
thereof

R. G. Duncan

Witness my hand this 18 day
of June 1883

E L Walker J P (Seal)

State of Indiana Dekalb County
S.S

of Amanda J. Shope Complainant
Charles Jensons and say that
on the 16 Day of June 1883
she is pleanant With a bastard
child and said Charles Jensons
is the father of said child

Before me the undersigned a
Justice of the peace in and for
Smithfield Township Dekalb
Dekalb County and State of
Indiana persaly came the have
Name Amanda Shope and
made oath at said township
and County that the foregoing
complaint is true in substance
and fact. Amanda J. Shope
gaving under my hand and
offercial Seal this 16 Day of
June 1883
Eli Walker J. P. (Seal)

State of Indiana Dekalb County ss
The State of Ind
to any Constable
Said county Whereas Amanda Stofer
has this day made complaint
on oath before me Eli Walker a
Justice of the peace in and for Smi
field township in said county that
on the 16 Day of June in year 1883
she is now pregnant of a bastard
Child Which if born be a live
will be a bastard and that Charles
Jamson is the father of said Child
and Which accusation was then reduced
to Writing and filed With me

you and therefore command to
take the body of said Charles Jamson
if he be found in your county
and bring him forthwith before
before me at my office in said
township to answer to said complaint
and for so doing this this shall
be your Warrant
Give under my hand and seal
this 16 Day of June 1883

Eli Walker J P Seal 1883

this Writ came to hand June 16
R J Jesk constable
Not found in this County
R J Jesk constable

cost	
arrest	
money	$1 20
trial	1 00
Return	10
	$2 30

turn to page 194

the Examinor make oath of
Amanda J Siffer an unmarried
Woman resident of the Dekalb
County and State of indiana taken
by and befor me Eli Walker a
Justice of the peace in and for
Smithfield township in said County
and State on the 16 Day of June 1883
upon her complaint against
Charles thomson I having issued
my warrant for the arrest of
the said Charles Thomsons and
Delivered the same to Royal J
Fisk a Constable of said county
and State and he having returned
said Warrant indorsed Not found
the said Charles Thomson Was Not
present

ques — Are you been a ~~resident~~ if so how long
have you been a resident of
ans — the Dekalb County I am been
resident in the county nine years
ques — are you married or unmarried
ans — I am unmarried
ques — ~~Are~~ you now pregnant with a
bastard child if so Where was
it begotten and who is the father
of the
Ans — it Was begotten ~~of~~ about the
mittle of December 1882 Charles
Iemison is the father of the child
Amanda J Siffer
the defendant Not ~~present~~ being
~~found~~ present by
an attorney no further questions
Was ask

Eli Walker J P (Seal)

State of Indiana in Justice Court 1883
DeKalb County } S.S.

Justice

Harman Froehlich } Before E. Walker
vs } Justice of the peace
George T. Corbin } in and for
Rosa Corbin } Smithfield Township

Harman Froehlich

Plaintiff complains of George Corbin Rosa Corbin defendant and says that the defendants on the 12th day of June 1880 by their written contract a copy of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof promised to pay plaintiff on the 13th day of August after date Sixty Dollars without relief from valuation or appraisement laws that contract has been fully filled on the part of the plaintiff payment of the defendant and ant that on the 21st day of August 1883 defendant Rosa Corbin ~~and~~ paid on the same the sum of thirty Dollars that the ballance is due and unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demand a Judgment for Sixty dollars and for rather further relief

William H. Lea
Atty for plaintiff

Copy of Contract 1137

$60 the DeKalb Marble Works
Harman Froehlich proprietor
Waterloo post office Union township
DeKalb County Indiana June 12 1880
this certified that I have this day bought of Harman Froehlich one monument tope mad of Georgia Marble and when completed to stand about 4 feet 5 inch high base of the following dimensions Second Moldin 8½ + 5 × Cap Column Block 8 + one 2 feet 11 inches + 10 inches Square Marble Base 18 inches Square 26 inch high with Berea Sand Stone Base 1 foot 7 inches Square 7 15 inch heat With Sentcoble foot Stone and

Turn to page 196

to be the monument in
stead I have the following inscrip
to be inscribed on north side of
the mithi stone thus or appreciation
as may be found necessary with
in memory of our father Charles
Corbin Died January 30 1878
age 42 years 3 month 28 Day also
carved out a table to verse 32 to be
arranged in workman like manner
to be delivered at Hamilton Pa
in month of June 1880.
unless unforseen causes prevent and then within
a reasonable time thereafter for which we agree to
pay Herman Froelich or to his order ($60.00) Sixty dollars
on by the 15 of August without relief from Valuation
or appraisement laws and we further agree if said
monument is not paid for in one year from time
specified in this contract said Herman Froelich can
take it into his own at his

Mrs. Zora Corbin.
George T. Corbin

Justice cost. $1.10

February 9 1880 received my fees in full of Harmon prosecutor as witness witness witness Eli Walker J.P.

Waterloo Ind. August 21st 1880.
Received of Mrs. Rozo Corbin.
Thirty dollars as partly pay on this contract.
Herman Froelich

Issued Summons this 20th day of June, 1883.
and delivered to George Walker, a special constable
returnable the 25th day of June, 1883. Came to hand
the 21st of June 1883. June the 21st 1883 serve
this writ copy at the defendants last usual place
of residence, George Walker constable. Copy 25 cts
Mileage $1.00 Return 10 cts. Total $1.35
George Walker special constable.
Dismissed this case by agreement.
Issues this bill



ind Dekalb County
Watson } before the Clerk of
} Justice of the peace in
Brand } and for said county

atson complains of George Brand
that he is indebted to him in
Sixty three (63 00) With ten per
trust

Note
Waterloo Ind Jan 19 1881
after date I promised to pay
or order John Watson Sixty three
Waterloo Ind value received
relinquishing valuation or
laws with ten percent
on Note until paid and —
No 532

George S Briand

mons and delivered to George
cal Constable this 30 Day of
Summons returned the 7 Day

came to hand 30 Day of June 1883
June 30 1883 Served this Writ by Reading
to and With the herein defendant herein
Served $ 25
Mileage 100
Return 10
35

George Walker Speed Constable

and now to wit on this day and at the hour
set for trial of this cause as aforesaid Stated come
the plaintiff and the defendant brought
three times called came not but makes
default and it appears that he has been
duly served with process more than three
days before the time of the opening of trial
hereof it is therefore considered that
the plaintiff recover against
him the sum of the sum of
$ Seventy five 75/100 Dollars it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff recover
of the ~~[illegible]~~ defendant the sum
of $ Seventy five 75/100 Dollars so adjudged and

to be the monument in
stead I have the following inscri
to be inscribed on westi side of
Die m [illegible] or approve
as N [illegible] necessary rent
on M [illegible] Father Charles
Cora [illegible] 30 1878
bye 32 [illegible] 28 Day also
Carel [illegible] verse 32 to be
deceas [illegible] like manner
to be [illegible] illen P G
in m [illegible] 80
Unless [illegible] and then within
a reasona [illegible] which we agree to
pay Her [illegible] der ($60.00) Sixty dolla
an by th [illegible] relief from Salvatio
or appra [illegible] her agree if said
monum [illegible] one year from tim
specifica [illegible] Herman Froelich ca
take it- [illegible] his
[illegible] Zora Corbin.
[illegible] George T. Corbin

Justice cost. $8.40

February 9 1881 Received my fees in full of Harmon Froelich as witness [illegible] Witness [illegible]

Water [illegible]
Re [illegible] line.
Thirty [illegible] their contract.
[illegible] Herman Froelich
Issued [illegible] of June, 1883.
And [illegible] a special constable
returnable the 25th day of June, 1883. Came to hand
the 21st of June 1883. June the 21st 1883 serve
this writ copy at the defendants last usual pla
of residence, George Walker constable. Copy 25 cts
Mileage $1.00 Return 10 cts. Total $1.35
George Walker special constable.
Dismissed this case by agreement.
[illegible] this [illegible]

Justice fees $1.20

State of Ind Dekalb County
John Matson } before the Walter Justice of the peace in
vs } and for Smithfield
George S Briand

John Matson complains of George Briand and says that he is indebted to him in the sum Sixty three (63 00) With ten per cent interest

Constables fees $1.35

Copy of Note
$63 00 Waterloo Ind Jan 15 1911
One year after date I promised to pay to the order of John Watson Sixty three Dollars at Waterloo Ind value received Without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws with ten percent interest from date until paid and — attorney fees No 0332
George S Briand

Recd my in full on the bow
Judgment against Wilkins
G W Speed Constable

issue a Summons and delivered to George Walker Speed Constable this 30 Day of June 1913 Summons returned the 7 Day July 1913
Came to hand 30 Day of June 1913
June 30 1913 Served this writ by Reading to and With the herein defendant herein
Served $ 25
Mileage 100
Return 10
$ 35
George Walker Speed Constable

and now to Wit on this Day and at the hour set for trial of this cause as about Stated comes the plaintiff and the defendant though three times called came not but makes default and it appears that he has been duly served With process more than three Days before the time of the of the trial hereof it is therefore considered Court that the plaintiff ought to recover against him the sum demanded the sum of $ Seventy five $75 00 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the ~~[illegible]~~ defendant the sum of $ Seventy five $75 00 Dollars and costs

aforesaid together with the cost of this Said
Eli Walker J P

I William Brand hereby acknowledge myself responsible for the Stay of execution on the above Judgement for one hundred and fifty ~~for~~ Days from the rendition therefore
William Brand
Widness my hand this 14 Day of Jany 1883
Eli Walker J P (Seal)

October the 30th 1883
Received on the boue Judgment Fourdy no Dollars
Eli Walker J P
November 12th 1883
Received on the boue Judgment thirdy 30 Dollars
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana DeKalb county SS

Justice fees $ 2.05 — Hannah M Holden vs Isaac Grant — Before E. L. Walker a justice of the peace in and for Smithfield township DeKalb county

Received my issue for full — E. L. Walker J.P.

and State of Indiana

Constables fees $ 1.15 — Received my issue in the case

Hannah M. Holden complains of Isaac Grant and says that the defendant is indebted to her in the sum of fifteen Dollars for boarding and washing defendants children at his request from the 2 day of December until the 18 the day of March being a balance due on said board of children and plaintiff further says that the defendant is a resident of Franklin township in said county and State but that there is no Justice in said township competent to try this case that she therefore asks service from this court and demand Judgment for fifteen Dollars and all proper relief

E. M. Phillips
Atty for plaintiff

issue a Summons the 24 Day of July 1883 and to John R Walker returnable the 30 Day of July 1883

came to hand 24 Day of July 1883 served this writ by leaving a copy last usual place of resident

Copy	25
Mileage	80
Return	10
Total	$1.15

John R Walker J constable.

Settle in full and send to Hannah M Holden

and now to Wit on the Day and at the hour
sed for the trial of this cause as aforesaid
comes the plaintiff but the defendant though
three times called comes not but makes deboes
and it appearing that he has been duly
served with process more than three Days
before the time of the trial hereof it is
therefore considered that the plaintiff
ought to recover against him his
demand aforesaid and the court after
hearing the evidence assesses said demand
at the Sum of thirteen and seven ones $13.71 Dollars
it is therefore considered that the
plaintiff recover of the defendant the Sum
of $13.71 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid
together with the cost of this said
Eli Walker J J (Seal)

issue an execution the 9 Day of
October 1883 and delivered it to R J
Nish constable Eli Walker J J

October 6 1883
Received of R J E on the bac
execution thirty Dollars ~~twenty~~
ninty one cents
Eli Walker J J

October the 9 1883
Received of Eli Walker J J
thirteen Dollars forty one cents
and the plaintiff agrees to
the cost
Hannah H Holder.

State of Illinois } Before Eli Mulinix Clerk
Dewitt County } of the Circuit Court

Frank Dougherty }
Tracy Doty } Complaint for
} Damages fifty Dollars

Brady Dwyer

Frank T. Dougherty & Tracy Doty doing business under the firm name and style of F. T. Dougherty & Co complain of Brady Dwyer defendant that the defendant on October 25th 1882 by his note a copy of which is filed herewith promised to pay the plaintiffs Sixteen Dollars with 8% interest from date until paid and attorney fees. Plaintiffs say further that reasonable attorney fees are Twenty Dollars and that said note remains unpaid. Plaintiffs demand judgment for Thirty 38 Dollars and costs of suit and other relief.

Paragraph 2nd

For further cause of action plaintiffs say that defendant owes them $8 27/100 Eight Dollars 27/100 for goods sold and delivered on plaintiffs by them, a bill of particulars which is filed herewith, wherefore plaintiffs demand judgment for the sum aforesaid and costs of suit. On this cause of action they claim Twenty Dollars on all this cause of action. Donovan & Roby attys for pltff

Copy of Note

$16.00 Waterloo Aug 22 1882

Ten months after date I promise to pay to the order of F. P. Dougherty & Bro Sixteen dollars at Citizens Bank Waterloo Value received bearing interest from date until paid and attorney fees with 8 per cent interest from date. Brady Dwyer

To-Term

Bill of Particulars

Mr Brady Dwyer to F. P. Dougherty & Bro Dr

1882	March 24	1 Hatchet	75
		1 Square	75
	April 25	2 pr Corn Plasters	50
	"	1 Needle	12
	"	1 Whetstone	50
	May 27	1 pr Sheep Shears	150
	July 10	1 Grain Cradle	300
	Aug 1	1 pr horse shoes	75
			$8.27

Issue a summons the 31st day of July 83
returnable the 4th day of August 1883
came to hand the 31st day of July 1883
serve this writ by reading to within defendant Dwyer

serve	25 cts.
mileage	[illegible]
return	10 cts.
Total	$1.35

John R. [illegible] special Constable

Justice fees
$2.05

[illegible]

J. P. EXECUTION.

WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana Dekalb County, SS.

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, Frank S Day and Craig Day obtained judgment against Brady Hall, as appears on my docket, for thirty-five 35 dollars and twenty 20/100 cents, with interest from the 4 day of August 1883 together with costs, taxed at 3.40, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. And whereas, on the day of 188 ~~became replevin bail for the stay of execution on~~ said judgment, for days from the rendition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said Brady Hall, if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, then, without delay, levy this Execution upon ~~the goods of said replevin bail, and sell the same as you~~ are directed to sell the goods of the ortginal judgment defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 7 day of December, 1885

Eli Walker, J. P. L.S.

State of Indiana, Dixon County } John Eh Walker a J.P. in and for said County

Francis Dempsey } Complaint on Note
vs } Demand fifty Dollars
Barnabas Neri

Francis G. Dempsey Lacey Dempsey being duly sworn according to law upon their oath do state that the Complaint & Lacey Neri, are true that the defendant on October 25th 1882 by his note of which a copy is hereunto attached promised to pay the sum of Fifty Dollars with 8% interest
Attorney

Received Dec 11 1883

Execution.

Plaintiffs.

vs.

Defendants

Issued day of 19

Returned 12 day of March 1884

Satisfied in full

R J Fisk
Constable.

REMARKS.

fees 25

Justice of the Peace.

Came to hand Dec 18th 1883 R J Fisk constt
Dec 18th 1883 I have visited the defendant & demanded payment of the within note & demand of the within named H. Harper

Fees 25
Mileage 10
March 12th 1884 R J Fisk constt

March 12th 1884 visited the defendant and levied on one 2 horse Wagon & Water tank & one spinning Wheel

constt
Mileage 10
Levy 25
R J Fisk constt

Received my fees in full
R J Fisk Constt

... the 31st day of July 1883
Returnable the 11th day of August 1883
came to hand the 31st day of July 1883
serve this writ by reading to within defendant ...

...	25 cts.
mileage	8 ...
return	10 cts.
total	$1.35

John R. Walker special Constable

April [illegible] 1885 [illegible]

Justice fees $2.05

[illegible] Called Comes not but makes default [illegible] appearing that he has been [illegible] in the business [illegible] days previous before [illegible] the time of trial [illegible] Therefore [illegible] that the plaintiff [illegible] his demand [illegible] the sum of [illegible] Twenty Five Dollars & Ninety Cents [illegible] interest [illegible] of the defendant [illegible] in lieu of our writ, without [illegible] or appraisement laws.

Eli Walker J P (Seal)

Constables fees 1.35

issue Execution the 7 Day of December 1885 and delivered to R. J. Fish Constables of Union Township

Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana } Before G. Walker J.P.
DeKalb County } in and for Smithfield

A. Byron Darby }
vs }
Daniel Kream }

A. Byron Darby complains of Daniel Kream and says that the defendant is indebted to him in the sum of Fifty Dollars ($50) for professional services as a physician summoned by plaintiff for the defendant at defendant's special request. A bill of particulars of which is filed herewith are made a part of this complaint which said sum is now due and wholly unpaid.

Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for fifty dollars ($50) and other proper relief.

Paragraph 2nd

Plaintiff for the second and further cause of action complains of the defendant and says that plaintiff is a physician and as such physician was on the day of August 1879 called to attend Salome daughter of defendant she being then seriously ill. Then the defendant proposed plaintiff that if plaintiff would continue such attending upon defendants said daughter and prescribed for her that he would pay plaintiff for his services and plaintiff further says that relying upon said promises of defendant he continued his attendance upon defendants said daughter and did prescribe for her during her entire sickness and that a reasonable compensation for his services is fifty dollars ($50) a bill of particulars

Received of Geo Walker J.P. the full amount in satisfaction of this judgment also Ten & 75/100 dollars in payment for disposition of this suit. Wm. Wood A.B. Darby

Received of Geo Walker J.P. Ten & 75/100 dollars as witness fees in this case. J. A. Ward

February 23 Received my fees in full on the above judgment Ferman Walker

of which is filed herewith and made a part of this complaint
that defendant has failed and refused to pay said sum or any part thereof though often requested so to do and that said sum is now wholly unpaid wherefore plaintiff demands payment for fifty dollars ($50) and all other proper relief

Somerset Ruby
City for plaintiff

Bill of particulars

Daniel Kuhn Dr
To C. Byron Darby

1877	Sep. 10th	Medicine for Boy	.50
	Oct 1st	" " " "	2.00
1879 April 27			2.50
Aug. 1st	To visits and medicine for Boy		2.00
"	3 " " " " " " "		12.50
"	4 " " " " " " "		.80
"	5 " " " " " (Night)		.80
"	6 " " " " " (night stayed)		3.75
"	8 " " " " "		2.50
"	9 " " " " (Consultation all night)		5.00
"	" " " " " "		2.50
"	10 " " " " "		2.50
"	12 " " " " "		2.50
"	" " " " " " Boy		.50
"	15 " " " " Daughter		2.50
			$53.[illegible]

Credit

1879
Aug. 3 cash $ .50
Nov 3 " 2.50
$3.00

Received Summons this 18 Day of Aug (8) and delivered to George Walker a special Constable returnable 27 Day of August 1883 Came to hand the 18 Day of August 1883 Served this Writ by leaving a Copy at the defendants Copy 25 mileage 70 Return 10 Tolls $1.05 George Walker special Constable

Justice fees $5.60
February 11 1884
Received my fees in full on the above judgment
Eli Walker J P

Witness fees $7.80
Received my fees in full on the above judgment
Geo. Walker
A Special Commission
February 11 1884
Received my fees in full the above claim
Eli Walker

August 18th 1883 Notice to take deposition
Filed Hugh D. Wood at his office in Angola Steuben County Indiana Served on the defendant by George Walker Special Constable by reading to the defendant the within affidavit Service 25c Mileage 70c return 15 total $1.05.
August 25th Deposition of Hugh D. Wood filed in my office.
Aug 27th Parties appeared Deposition of Hugh D. Wood ordered published to which the defendant at the time by his atty. M. Trial was had. A. Byron Darby & Allen Ward sworn & examined as witnesses by the plaintiff. Daniel Krum, Fred. Krum, Salome Lynch & Hannah Dallas & --- Krum the defty witnesses sworn & examined for the defendant. It is thereupon considered & adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of thirty 60/100 Dollars ($30.60) together with the his costs and accruing costs.
Eli Walker J P

I John R. Walker hereby acknowledge myself replevin bond for the stay of execution on the foregoing judgment for one hundred and twenty Days from the rendition thereof
John R. Walker
Witness my hand this 7 Day of September 1883
Eli Walker J P

December the 10 1883
Received on the above judgment forty Dollars
Eli Walker J P

December 10 1883
Received of Eli Walker J P the sum of twenty five Dollars
A P Darby

February 11 1884
Received Daniel Krum $3.75 on the above judgment.
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana } B. E. Walker
DeKalb County } a fee and Sum
Foundlif

Elias C. Hoffman }
vs } complaint
Mark Akerley }
and Frank Akerley }

Elias C. Hoffman complains of the defendant and say that the defendant on the 16 1882 by his note a copy of which is filed herewith and made a part of this complaint promised to pay the plaintiff twenty five Dollars with interest at 6 per cent from April 1882 until paid and attorney fee plaintiff further says that twenty Dollars is a reasonable attorney fees and that said note unpaid and attorney fees due and wholly unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demand judgment for thirty five Dollars and other proper relief

Constable fees

Jargon

Plaintiff for further cause of action says that the defendant is indebted in the sum of four Dollars $4.75 for personal property sold and delivered to defendant at defendant's special request a bill of particulars of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof for said sum wholly unpaid the sum of four 75/100 $4.75 Wherefore he demands judgment and his cause of action for 75 Dollars and his cause of action for Nine and 75/100 Dollars and other proper relief

Somers Raby for Plaintiff

copy of Note

$25.00/100 Waterloo in January 16 1882
after Date I promise
to pay to the order of Elias C Hoffman
twenty five $25.00 Dollars value
Received without any Relief from
valuation or appraisement laws With
Eight & per cent interest from
maturity until paid and attorney fee
Vannie Markley
Frank Markley

Issued a Summons and delivered to George
Walker a Special constable this 15
Day of September 1883 Summon
Returned the 20 Day of September

Summons came to hand this 15
Day of September 1883
Served this Writ by leaving a copy
and reading to and William the herein
of defendend

Served	25
copy	25
Mileage	75
Return	10
total	1.30

George K Walker Special
constable

Judgment

And now to Wit on the Day and
at the our set for the trile of this cause
as above Stutil comes the plaintiff
but the defendant thought three
times called comes not but make
defaulf and it appears that he has
been duly served with process more
than three Days before the time of
the trile here of it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff ought to
recover against him his demant aforesaid and
the coard after the evidence assessed
said demand at the Sum of $37.00 thirty seven
Dollars it is therefore considered
that the plaintiff recover of the

defendant the sum of $37 [illegible] thirty [illegible]
Dollars so assessed as fore said together
With the cost of this suit
Eli Walker J

Justice costs $2.50

I Frederic Gfeller here by acknowledge
my self replevin bond for the stay
of execution on the above Judgment
for One Hundred and [illegible]ty
Days from the rendition thereof
Frederic Gfeller
Witness my hand this 2 [illegible]
of October 1883
Eli Walker J P

Received my fees in full of execution on the above Judgment
Eli Walker

January 19 1884
Received of frederic gfeller
in full on the bond Judgment
Eli Walker J P

Recd
Waterloo Jan 23 1884
Received of Eli Walker J P 37.68
On the full of [illegible]
Judgment of Hoffman
[illegible] A Rafy

constables fees $1.50

Received my fees in full on the bond Judgment
George Walker
A Special Constable

State of Indiana } Before the Wilke
DeKalb County } a Justice of the peace
in state County

Selman D. Mattinger }
vs }
Joseph Fouts }

Selman D. Mattinger complains of Joseph Fouts and says that plaintiff is entitled to the possession of the below premises situated in the DeKalb County State of Indiana to wit lot Nine (9) in Mattingers addition to the town of Summit that said defendant wrongfully and without any lawfull right detains and keeps the possession thereof from this plaintiff that said defendant occupies said premises as the tenant by sufferance of plaintiff and now refuses to deliver possession of the same to plaintiff Wherefore plaintiff demands Judgment for the possession of said premises and for Twenty five Dollars damage for the detention thereof

2d Plaintiff for a second and further cause of action Complains of defendant and says that said defendant on the 16th day of March 1883 peaceably entered into the possession of the following Lands situated in the County of DeKalb State of Indiana to wit Lot Number Twenty 20 in Mattingers addition to the Town of Summit that then and long before and ever since the plaintiff was has been and now is lawfully entitled to the possession of said land that the defendant on the 17th day of September 1883 forcibly unlawfully and with strong hand kept the plaintiff out of the possession of said Lands and from that day unlawfully forcibly and

with strong hand has kept and still keeps the possession of said Land and has defeated and still defeates the plaintiff therefrom and that thereby the plaintiff has sustained damages in the sum of Twenty five Dollars Wherefore plaintiff demands Judgment for

the possession of said premises and for Twenty five Dollars damage for the detention thereof and for all other proper relief

Somers & Toby
Attys for Plaintiff

served a Summons this 3rd day of October 1883 and delivered to George Walker a Special Constable returnable the 9th day of October 1883 came to hand the 3rd day of October 1883 served the within by reading to and within the hearing of defendant this 3 day of October 1883 service 25 cents, Mileage 10 cents, retn 10 cents Total one Dollar and twenty five cents. George Walker a Special Constable

State of Indiana DeKalb County
J. C. Bauers vs. before Eli Walker
a Justice of the Peace
George W. Brand

January 8 1895 the plaintiff
Justice fee 1 50 appeared by his attorney
Received my fee in full on the above judgment Eli Walker Justice of the peace

Taken this January 9th 1895
Eli George Robbins Bought of
J. C. Bauers Undertaker manufacturer
and Dealer in All kind of
furniture
Pine amount $19 00
Pole amount 2 00 $14 00
Balance due $4 00
with damages the 6th of
January 1895 and demand to
pay the same or shall be otherwise
the 31 day of January 1895
Constable fees $1 55
Summons returned 31 day of January
Received my fee in full in the above Edson Lewis
Sealed this third January 27 by serving
in the defendant having
Sealed 25
Eli George 1 20
Mileage 10
Constable fees $4 30

[illegible] the day and [illegible]
the trial of this cause came [illegible]
the plaintiff but the defendant though called
called came not but makes default and it
appearing that [illegible] summons
[illegible] thereupon the [illegible]
[illegible] of it is therefore considered that the plaintiff
recover of defendants against him his demand [illegible]
and the court after hearing the evidence as
said [illegible] of the sum of $49.10 [illegible]
therefore considered that the plaintiff [illegible]
of the defendant the sum of $49.10 [illegible]
as aforesaid together with the costs of this [illegible]
Eli Walker J. P.

Received on the above judgment in full
and cost
Eli Walker J. P.

[illegible]

~~Frank Parnell~~ } [illegible]
J. Parnell } [illegible]
ter
Frank Parnell }

Waterloo Township January the [illegible] 1878
[illegible] Frank Parnell Bailiff of [illegible]
Provincial [illegible] and
[illegible] Justice in all [illegible]
[illegible] 1873 to 7 on [illegible]
[illegible] on [illegible]
J P Nov 27 [illegible] 12 00
May 28 By cash $10.00
interest 1 00
$10.00

[illegible] Judgment 26 day of January 1877
Remanded [illegible] 31 day January 1877
[illegible] Common returned 31 day of January 1878
[illegible] Jury Common [illegible] 27 1878
[illegible] the defendant having
[illegible]

[illegible] 140
[illegible] 10
$174

Frank Fish Constable

dismissed this last [illegible]
not found in the township
and fate the cost
Eli Walker J P

Levine Brewer
vs
Charles Sherwood

This Day October 5th 1883 came Levine Brewer and filed the following bill against Charles Sherwood Charles Sherwood to Levine Brewer September 29 the 1883 to cutting corn two Dollars and 43 cents therefore I create summons against said Charles Sherwood and and delivered the same to George Walker a Special constable who returned the same indorsed as follows to wit came to hand this 5th Day of October at 7 o'clock served this writ same by reading

George Walker Special Constable signed

came and now to wit on the 9 Day of October 1883 came defendant and filed his affidavit on motion for a change of venue which motion is granted and the papers H Waterman a Justice of the Peace of said township I Eli Walker certify the third above and foregoing is true and complete copy of all the proceeding in cause as the same appears on my docket

Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana DeKalb county ss

Peter Brener } in the justice court before
vs } Eli Walker a justice of
Charles Sherwood } the peace in and for
Smithfield township

in DeKalb county and state of Indiana
Peter Brener complains of Charles Sherwood
and says that the defendant is indebted to
him in the sum of two Dollars and Fourty
three cents for work and labor done and
performed for the defendant at his request
cutting and shocking 91 shocks of
corn at three cents per [illegible] which
sum is now due and owing. Wherefore
plaintiff [illegible] Judgment for five
Dollars and all other proper relief
Bell & Philleph atty for plaintiff

Issue Summons this 11 Day of October 1883
delivered to George Walker a Special constable
Returnable the 14 Day of October 1883
Summons Returned come to hand this 11
Day of October 1883 served this Writ by
leaving a copy at the defendant Residence
Copy 25 Mileage 90 Return 10 total $1.15
George Walker a Special Constable
October 12 1883
Continued in case by an affidavit
at the defendant Request. [illegible]
Eli Walker J P

State of indiana
DeKalb County } be fore Eli Wal
a Justice of the
the Singer Manufacturing Company } place in and for
Smithfield
township
for
George W Brand

on the 8 Day of the December 1883
comes the plaintiff filed the following Note

Copy

$39 00 auburn Indiana may 16th 88
twelve mont after date I promise to pay to the order of the Singer Manufacturing Company twenty nine Dollars payable auburn office value Received With ~~int~~ Eight percent int after maturity With current exchange and charges When called by express company and attorney fees the drawers and endorsers severally Waive presentment for payment protest and notice of protest and no payment of this Note Without relief from valuation and appraisement laws and Without any relief from exemption laws of the State of indiana
this Note is Received as a conditional settlement for a Singer sewing machine No 3308718 if this Note is not accept by the Singer Manufacturing Company indianapolis indiana then this contract is void and I here by expressly agree to return to the Singer Manufacturing company the machine for Which this Note is given and no contract or claim Will be recognized unless Embodied in this Note I O Address Waterloo
Dec my the 16 83 George W Brand

issuete A Summons and delivered
to George Walker A Special Constable
returnable 15 day of December 1883
Came to hand the 8 Day of December
1883 served this Writ by Wreding
to the defendant A hering
Served 75
Mileage 80
Return 10

Constable
$1.15

George Walker A Special
Constable

Received my
fees in full
George Walker

and now to wit on the Day and at
hour set for the trile of this ~~said~~ case
as above stated comes the plaintiff
but the defendand thought three
times called comes not but makes
defald and it appearing that he
has been duly served with process
more than three Days before the time
trial hereof it is therefore considered
that the plaintiff ought to Recover
against him his demand aforesaid
and the court after hearing the
evidence asserses said demand
at the fourty Eighty to wit 100 Dollars
it is there fore considered that he plaintiff
Recover of the ~~plaintiff~~ defendendand the
sum of fourty 100 Dollars [illegible] as
aforesaid together with cost of this suit

Justice. 775

Received my
fees in full
E. Walker

Eli Walker J J (Seal)

B F Hostetler hereby make
acknowledge my self replevin Bail
fore the stay of execution on the
above Judgment for One hundred
twenty Day from the rendition
there of

B. F. Hostetler

Witness my hand this 22 Day
of December 1883
Eli Walker J J (Seal)

May 27th 1883
Received of
Eli Walker
Justice of the
piece forty
eighty two to
be full of judgement on the case against George W. Brand

April 8 1884
Received of George W Brand 20 Dollars
on the above Judgment
for the Singer manufacturing Co
Singer M. Co
By Armstrong & Co Atts

State of Indiana } before Eli Walker
Dekalb County } a Justice of the peace
Frank J Day bro } in and for
~~the aforesaid~~ } Smith
~~County~~ } township Dekalb
W } County
George W Bound }

on the 8 Day of December 1883
comes the plaintiff filed the
follow account

account May 8 the 1882
Wesly Bought to Frank J Day
Deler Bros Dr hardware and agricultural
implement Waterloo in
10 Indse $5.50

Judgment
Execution
issued

ushate Summons this 8 Day
of December 1883 and Delivered
to George Walker et Special
Constables
Came to hand 8 Day of Decem
1883 Served this Writ by reading
to the defendand hering

Serving	25
Mileage	80
Return	10
Total	$1.15

George Walker et Special Constable

Judgment
and now to Wit on the Day and at
the our set for trial of this cause
as aboe Stated comes the plaintiff
but the defendand thought three
times called comes not but makes
default and it appearing that
he has been Duly Served With
process more than three Days
before the time of the trial hereof
it is therefore considered that the
plaintiff ought to recover against

him his demand aforesaid and
the cuord after hearing the Evidence
assesses said demand at the sum
of $5.50/100 Five fifty Dollars it is
therefore considered that the plaintiff
Recover of the defendant the
sum of $5.50/100 Dollars so assessed as
aforesaid together with the costs of this suit
Eli Walker J P

Waterloo Indiana Jan 19th 1884
Received of Eli Walker J P
Five 50/100 Dollars in full to Balance
W S Brand Judgment
H P Day & Bro

Cost paid in full

$5 50/100

Waterloo Indiana Jan 19th 1884
Received from Eli Walker J P
Five 50/100 Dollars
in full to Balance W S Brands Judgment
H P Day & Bro

No.

State of Indiana } before Eli Wadsh
Dekalb County } a Justice of the pea
Frank J Day bro } in and for
~~the above named~~ } Smith
~~County~~ } township Dekal
vs } County
George W Brand }

on the 8 Day of December 1883
comes the plaintiff filed the
follow account

account May 8 the 1882
Wesly Bought to Frank J Day
Deler Bros Dr hardware and agricultural
implement Waterloo in
10 Indse $5.50

Appeal bond
Received in
in part
over due
Judgment
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] Summons this 8 Day
of December 1883 and Delivered
to George Walke et Special
Constables
Came to hand 8 Day of Decem
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

but the defendand thought three
times called comes not but ma
default and it appearing that
he has been duly served with
process more than three Days
before the time of the trial hereof
it is therefore considered that the
plaintiff ought to recover against

him his demand aforesaid and
the court after hearing the Evidence
assesses said demand at the sum
of $85.\frac{100}{50}$ Five fifty Dollars it is
therefore considered that the plaintiff
Recover of the defendant the
sum of $85\frac{100}{50}$ Dollars so assessed as
aforesaid together with the costs of suit
Eli Walker J P

Waterloo Indiana Jan 19th 1894
Received of Eli Walker Five $\frac{50}{100}$ Dollars in full to Balance
W S Brand Judgment
H J Days Bro

Cost part in full

State of indiana } Before E L Walker a
Dekalb County } Justice an Smithfor

Solomon Fisher } Complaint
vs } Demand $125.00
Recard C Baxter }

Solomon Fisher complains of Rechard C Baxter and says thad thad the defendand On Feaary 28 1874 By his promissory Note a Copy Which is filed herewith promis to pay Salaman Fisher and Peter Fisher doing business under the firm name and Style of Fisher Bothers the sum fifty three 70/100 dollars with ten per cent interest from date until paid and a resonable attorney fees thad Sad Note Was indorsed to Salaman Fisher by Fisher Beathe and thad it is Vary del and Wholly unpaid Plaintiff Says fether thad a reasonable attorney fees is fifteen Dollars Wherefore he demands Judgment for One hundard and twenty five Dollars and other proper relief

Sanner and Baby
plaintiff attys

Copy of Note

$ 43.70 Waterloo Ind Feb 28 1874

One Day after date I promise to pay to the order of Fishers Bros forty three 70 Dollars value recived Without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws of the State of ~~the~~ Indiana With intrest at ten per cent annum from Date until paid if this Note be collected by Suit the Judgment Shall include the resable fee for plaintiffs attorney

R C Baxter

I have summons this 12 Day of January 1884 and delivered to George Walker a special constable of Smithville returnable January the 19th 1884 at 10 o'clock A.M. Came to hand the Day of January 1884.

Endorsed as follows "January 12 1884 Served this by leaving a copy at the last usual place of residence"

Copy	25
Mileage	120
return	10
total	$1.55

George Walker a special constable

And now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this case above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant [illegible] three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he is duly served with [illegible] more than two days before the time of trial proof of it is therefore considered adjudged that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of Ninety six 96/100 dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of Ninety six 96/100 dollars so assessed as aforesaid [illegible] all without relief from valuation or appraisement laws of the state of Indiana

Eli Walker J.P.

Summons	25 cts
Docketing	50
Judgment	25
Fees	35
	$1.35
transcript	50
	1.85

State of Indiana
Dekalb County

Before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace of Smithfield Township Dekalb County State of indiana

Boyer & Campbell
vs
Albert Grate

Constables fee $1.15

on the 18 Day of february 1884 comes the plaintiffs Boyer & Campbell filed the fallow wit

Copy of Note

$4.50 Waterloo may 8 1883

One Day after Date I promis to pay to the order of Boyer and Campell four 50/100 Dallars at — — for value recied without any relief What ever from valuation & praisement laws With Eight cent Intrest from Date intill paid and Attorney fees

Albert Grate

Justice fees $1.70
Recieved my fees in full
Eli Walker

issue a Sammons this 19 Day february 1884 and delivard to George Walker a Special Constable returnable the 23 Day of february 1884

Spent 13 c
Recieved my fees in full
and the above funds were exhausted
Spent 60 c

and now to wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trile of the cause as aboue state came the plaintiff but the defendant thought there time called ~~came~~ that but makes defaulted and it appearing that he has been duly served With process more then three Days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his Demand foresaid and the board of trying the Evidence assesses demand at the sum five 14/100 Dallars ~~so assess~~ it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defend the sum of five 14/100 Dallars so assessed as foresaid together With the Cost of this suite

Eli Walker J. P.

March 5 1894
Received on the Boyer a bamell
foundry's grate in full
E. Walker

Waterloo Ind March 8 1894
Received from E. Walker
$5.15/100 Dollars for Judgment
against Bird grate
$5.15/100 Boyer and Bamell



State of Indiana } before Eli Walker a
Dekalb County } Justice of the peace
of Smithfield township
Boyer & Campbell } Dekalb County State
vs } of Indiana
Albert Grate

Constables fees $1.15

on the 18 Day of febuary 1884
comes the plaintiffs Boyer and Campbell
filed the fallow Note

Copy of Note

$4.50 Waterloo May 8 1883

Justice fees $1.70

One Day after Date I promise to
pay to the order of Boyer and Campbell
four 50/100 Dallars at — — for value
Received without any relief What ever from
valuation Appraisement laws With Eight
cent Intrest from Date intill paid and
Attorney fee

Albert Grate

Received my fees in full
Eli Walker

issued a summons this 19 Day febuary
April 13 1884 1884 and delivered to George Walker a
Received my Special Constable returnable the 23
fees in full Day of febuary 1884
and the cause
Judgment
against Albert
Grate
Costs

and now to Wit on the Day and at
the hour set for the trile of the cause
as aboue State came the plaintiff
but the defendant thought their
time called comes that but make
defaulted and it appearing that he has
been duly served With process more
then three Days before the time of the
trial hereof it is therefore considered
that the plaintiff ought to recover
against him his demand foresaid and the
board of taking the Evidence assesses
demand at the sum five 12/100 Dallars
~~so asses~~ it is therefore considered that
the plaintiff recovered of the defend
the sum of five 12/100 Dallars so assessed
as foresaid together With the Cost
of this suite

Eli Walker J P

March 5 1884
Received on the Boyer a barrel of
sandgrate in full
Eli [illegible]

Waterloo Iowa March 8 1884
Received from Eli Walker
$5.15/100 Dollars for judgment
against Albird grate
$5.15/100 Boyer and Cornell

State of Indiana } be for E. Walker
Dekalb County } Justice of the peace

Israel Barnes } demand $9 00
vs
Riley Freed

on the 8 Day of March comes plaintiff follow account

Riley Freed in account With Israel Barnes ~~1884~~ June 1883 Dr to labor

			100		$ 100
"	"	"	"		100
"	"	"	"		100
"	"	"	"		100
"	"	"	"		125
"	"	"	"	125	125
"	"	"	"		125
"	"	"	"		125
"	"	"	"		~~125~~
					900

March 14 1884
Received of E. Walker in full on the foue case Israel Barnes vs Riley Freed
Signed by Israel Barnes

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a
DeKalb County } J P in and for said Township

Isaac Cohs } complaint
vs } Demand
William S Brand }

Isaac Cohs
complains of Williams S Brand and says that the defendand on 23 1883 by his Note a copy of Which is felt herewith
of promises to pay plaintiff twenty four Dollars five month after date With interest
Feb 23 8 per cent after maturity
Received my fees in full in the above entitled Cause Eli Walker J P
relief and With attorney fees that the said note is now due and Wholly unpaid and that a reasonable attorney fee is five Dollars
Where fore plaintiff demand Judgement for twenty four Dollars and that proper relief

Paragraph 2
the plaintiff complains of the defendant and says that the defendand is indeted to him thirtee Dollars for Wood sold and delivered by the plaintiff to him at defendant request bill of particulars Which is filed here With makes a part hereof being money and account the sum of thirtee Dollars Where fore he demand Judgement this of for twenty Dollars and other proper relief with this cause of for fifty Dollars
Sommers and Kay
Attorney plaintiff

§ 24 Auburn Ind May 23 1883
four month after date I promise to the order of Isaac Cohs twenty four 24/100 Dollars at the
value received Without any relief from attachment or appraisement laws With Eight per cent intrest from date until paid and attorney fees
William S Brand

W. S. Brant to Isaac Echs. Dr

1883				
June 7	to	coffee		100
	"	Sugar		100
	"	tobacco		40
	" Jas	Vanklen		64
		~~Sugar~~		150
25	"	~~Sugar~~		~~100~~
	"	coffee		100
	"	tobacco		220
	"	Oil 2 galls	20	40
	" 2			~~118~~ 75
July 25	"	Sugar		118
	"	tobacco		100
	"	coffee		55

issue a summons 22 Day of March 1884 and returned to Sat Court returnable 29 Day of March 1884 and now comes on the Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times calls comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three Days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand that the sum of $42.46 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of fourty to 46/100 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid to gether with the costs of this suit for which received without any relief

E. Walker J. P.

I George W. Brand hereby acknowledge myself replevin bail for the stay of execution on the above judgment for one hundred and fifty days from the rendition thereof George W. Brand

Witness my hand this 29th day of March 1884

~~George W. Brand~~ E. Walker J. P.



Waterloo Ind Jan 10 1865

Received from Eli Walker $P

Eleven & 85/100 ——— Dollars

on Acct and Brand Judgment

——— Somers & Roby

W S Brent to Isaac Echs. Dr

1883

June 7 to		coffee	100
"		sugar	100
"		tobacco	40
"	14 Jas	Vanpelen	64
		sugar	1 90
25	"	~~sugar~~	~~100~~
"		coffee	1 00
"		tobacco	2 20
"		Oil 2 galls 20	40
"	2		~~118~~ 25
July 25	"	sugar	118
"		tobacco	1 00
"		coffee	65

issue a summons 22d Day of March 1884 and returned to Sat Court. returnable 29 Day of March 1884 and now to wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trile of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he was duly served with process more than three Days before the time of the trile hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand that the sum of 42.46 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of fourty to 46/100 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit for which let execution issue without stay relief

E. Walker J P

I George W Brand hereby acknowledge myself replevin bail for the stay of execution on the above judgment for one hundred and fifty days from the rendition thereof George W Brand

Witness my hand this 29th day of March 1884

~~George W Brand~~ E. Walker J P

State of Indiana } Before E. C. Wilson a
Dekalb county } J P in and for Smith Twp

Isaac Echs
vs
George W Brand } Complaint and Demand

Isaac Echs complains of George W Brand and says that on the 3 day of December 1883 at Butler Indiana defendant promise to pay plaintiff the sum of 96/100 Dollars expect his note therefore a copy of which is filed herewith made payable with 6 percent interest from date and attorney fees relief and days from date and that due and atty fees due and unpaid plaintiff says that 5 is a reasonable atty fees and demand Judgment fifty Dollars and other proper relief

2 paragraph

plaintiff complains of the defendant and says that on August 24th 1883 defendant by his note a copy of which is filed with promise to pay the plaintiff sum 100 Dollars with 6 ~~per~~ intres from date until paid with attorney with relief and that said note remains due and unpaid plaintiff says that a reasable Attorney fees is $5 Wherefore he demand Judgment for fifteen Dollars and other proper relief

3 paragraph

Plaintiff complains of the defendant and says that the on Nov 17 1883 promise to pay plaintiff by his promissory note a copy of which is filed herewith and made expected the sum of $24 with 6 interest from date until paid

With attorney fee that reasonable
attorney fees is five Dollars and that
proper and attorney remains due
and unpaid Wherefore he demands Judgment
for twenty Dollars and other proper
relief in this cause of for seventy
five Dollars and all other relief

James & Kelly
Plaintiffs attorney

Exhibit

$12.69 Auburn Ind August 3 1884

Thirty Days after date I promise to pay to the order of Isaac Cohs
Sonelac and 69/100 Dollars pay at first
National Bank Auburn indiana
Received Without relief from
in the above valuation or appraisement laws With
interest at 6 percent
from date until paid and attorney fees
No Dec George W Brand

Endorsed
Eli Walker
J. P.

Exhibit 3

$7.44 Auburn Ind Aug 25 1883

One day after I promise to
pay to the order of Isaac Cohs
Sum of $7.44/100 Dollars payable
at Sonelac received Without any
relief from valuation appraisement
laws With interest at 6 per cent
from date unpaid and
and attorney fees ~~paid~~
George W Brand

$24.44 Auburn Ind Novem 17 1883

One day after date I promised
to pay to the order ~~after date~~ of Isaac
twenty four 44/100 Dollars payable at
National Bank Auburn I received Without
relief from valuation or appraisement
laws interest at 6 per cent paid
remaining from until paid and attorney fees
George W Brand

turn to page 230

and now to Wit on this Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant although three times called comes not but makes default and it appears that he has been duly served with process more than three Days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of Sixty 60 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 60 90 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit for which Received Without any relief

Eli Walker J P

W. S. Brand

I ~~George W. Brand~~ hereby acknowledge myself replevin bail for the stay of execution on the above judgment for One hundred and fifty days from the rendition thereof W S Brand

Witness my hand this 29th day of March 1884

William S Brand

State of Indiana DeKalb County SS

Samuel S Sanders
vs
John Markley

Samuel S Samuel complains of John Markley and says that defendant on the 12th day of October 1878 by his promissory note a copy of which is filed herewith and made made a part hereof promised to pay plaintiff the sum of Thirty Nine Dollars on or before the first day of January 1879 with use and Attorney fees and if not paid when due ten per cent interest from date until paid plaintiff further says that said and ten and unpaid for [illegible] plaintiff [illegible] 1878 [illegible] [illegible] Dekalb township of Smithfield and State of Indiana promised to pay S S Sanders or Bearer the sum of thirty nine Dollars with use or else remain without relief to have from valuation or appraisement laws and with reasonable Attorney fees if not paid when due the Drawer and indorser hereby waive presentment for payment protest and notice of protest and non payment of their said if not paid when due Payee to pay ten per cent interest from date until paid payable at Dekalb bank Waterloo Indiana John Markley

In Trial fees $220

in Crediting 2 mon

15

Leaves to page 232

ant now to Wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant thought three times calls came not but makes default and it appears that he has been duly served with process more than three Days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of Sixty 60 90 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recovered of the defendant the sum of 60 90 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit for which Received Without any relief

Eli Walker J P

W. S. Brand

I ~~George W Brand~~ hereby acknowledge myself replevin bail for the stay of execution on the above judgment for One hundred and fifty days from the rendition thereof W S Brand

Witness my hand this 29th day of March 1884

William S Brand

State of Indiana Dekalb County SS

Samuel S Saunders
vs
John Markley

Samuel S Samuel complains of John Markley and says that defendant on the 12th day of October 1878 by his promissory note a copy of which is filed herewith and made note a part hereof promised to pay plaintiff the sum of Forty five Dollars on or before the first day of January 1879 with use and attorney fees and if not paid when due ten per cent interest from date until paid plaintiff further says that said note was not paid when due and as reasonable attorney fee is fifteen Dollars that said note interest and attorneys fee are now due and wholly unpaid Wherefore he demands Judgment for seventy five Dollars and all other proper relief

Samuel S Kelly Atty for plaintiff

copy of note

Smithfield Oct 12th 1878

on or before the first Day of January 1879 I the undersigned of the county of Dekalb township of Smithfield and State of Indiana promise to pay S S Saunders or Bearer the sum of thirty five Dollars with use and also reasonable attorney fees if not paid when due the Drawer and indorser hereby waive presentment for payment protest and notice of protest and non payment of this note if not paid when due I agree to pay ten per cent interest from date until paid payable at I. State bank

Waterloo Indiana John Markley

Constable fee 1.25
Increased my fees in full limit
Mileage 2.20

Turn to page 232

ish Summons this 31 Day of March 1884
and delivered to Salamon Lick returned
the 4 Day of April 1884
Summons return came to hand 31 Day
of March 1884 Served this Writ
this 31 Day of March 1884 by Medium
te and Nathan defendant having
Served 25
Mileage 100
return 10
Sol Lick a Special Constable

and now come on the day and at the hour
set for the trial of this cause as above stated
come is the plaintiff but the defendant though
three times called comes not but makes default
and it appearing that he has been duly served
with process more than three full days before
the times of the trial hereof it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff ought to recover
against him his demand aforesaid and the court
after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of Sixty ~~Six~~ Dollars it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant
the sum of Sixty ~~Six~~ Four Dollars as aforesaid together
with the costs of this suit.

valuel received Without any relief from valuation
or appraisement law
E. Walker J. J.

J. P. EXECUTION. WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana **County, SS.**

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, Silvanus S. Sanders obtained judgment against John Markley, as appears on my docket, for Sixty six 00/100 dollars and Forty five cents, with interest from the ... day of ... 1887 together with costs, taxed at 3.95, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. And whereas, on the ... day of ... 188.. became replevin bail for the stay of execution on said judgment, for ... days from the rendition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said John Markley, if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, then, without delay, levy this Execution upon the goods of said ..., replerin bail, and sell the same as you are directed to sell the goods of the original judgment defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 14th day of April 1887

Elc Wilner J. P. L.S.

State of Indiana, Dekalb County } Before Ab. Walter a J.P. in and for said County

Wilson Crane
Samuel Sanderson
Harman Underhill
vs
David H. C. P. Kinn } Complaint $35

Peterson and Harman by their counsel Stoll and Stoll
Defendants under the penal code of Ind. Fact
of crane for recovery of a certain Note and Mortgage
H. to Wilson and Samuel Underhill

Filed ...

Received by me this 14th day of April 1887 Abe Walter

... of Satisfaction
... paid
... Plaintiff
... Atty
... for relief
... Plaintiff

... Crane
... Settlement
... 183 6500

... 1944
... Chicago

issued summons this 31 day of March 1884
and delivered to Salamon Link returnable
the 4 day of April 1884
Summons return came to hand 31 day
of March 1884 served this writ
this 31 day of March 1884 by reading
to and within defendant thirty
Serval . 25
Mileage . 100
return 10
Sol Link a special constable

and on court on the day and at the hour
set for the trial of this cause as above stated
came the plaintiff but the defendant though
three times called comes not but makes default
and it appearing that he has been duly served
with process more than three days before
the time of the trial [illegible]

Execution.

Plaintiffs.

vs.

Defendants.

Issued ..... day of 188

Returned day of 188

Constable.

REMARKS.

Justice of the Peace.

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a
Tippecanoe County } J.P. in and for said

William Crane
James Duncan } - Complaint
Harman Lydeker
vs } Demand $34
David Hutchison } William Crane James
Duncan and Harman Lydeker doing
business under the firm name and style
of Crane Duncan & Co. Complain of David
Hutchison and says that defendant
is indebted to him in the sum of
thirty four 94/100 Dollars a bill of particulars
of which is filed herewith for
a balance due upon settlement for goods
sold delivered to defendant by the plaintiffs
at his request. Plaintiffs say that had
some remains due. Wherefore they demand Judgment
for thirty four Dollars their proper relief

Somers & Baby Atty for plaintiffs

Bill of particulars
1883 David Hutchison in acct with Crane
Duncan & Co Dr. Dec 22 Bal due on settlement
agreed $76 49/100 Sold Dec 22 1883 6 99/100
Balance $10 69
interest 20/100
10 89/100
Waterloo April 18 1884
Received of Eli Walker Ten 89/100
Dollars. Walker of Hutchison
10 89/100 Somers & Rafey

per paid in full

Josiah Lowe: Waterloo ind
Barn hard Rolkeschild May 2 the 1884
gorge Collins before Eli Walker
a justice of the

Waterloo ind May 2 the 1884
gorge Collins in account With
Loenenstine Rolkeschild general
Dealers in Dry Goods Clothing Set this
Servant Mast Settle in ten Days
December 9 1882 Clothing 25 00
Coat Shoes 2 00
Gloves 1 25
tea and Coffee 1 00
thread 10
february 28 1883 cas Jantrip 9 25
20 Muslin 1 40
" " 60
3/4 shirting 29
gunney 24
" " 15
Jeans 30
tarrinny 50
Batting 40
c Warp 1 83
calice 20
44 51
500
by cash 39 51
intrest 300
$42 51

State Sommons 2 may 1884 returned
the 9 Day of May 1884
Served this Sommons by reading
in the defenda hering this 3 Day
of may 1884
Served 25
Mileage 70
Return 10
Frank Fisk Constable

and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as aforesaid stated comes the plaintiff but the the defendand thought three times called comes ~~the plaintiff~~ not but makes the default and it appearing the he has been duly served with process more then three days before the time time of the trial hereof it is therefore consider that the plaintiff aught to recover against him his aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at ~~demand~~ at the sum of ~~ten~~ fourty to fifty one cents Dollars it is therefore consider that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of fourty to $\frac{51}{100}$ Dollars so assesses as aforesaid ~~as~~ together with the cost of this of this suit

Eli Walker J P

Judgment nil [illegible]

And now to wit on the day and at the hour set for trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he had been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $7 48 dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 7 48 dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit

Eli Walker J P (Seal)

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker
Dekalb County } a Justice of the peace

Simon Stran
vs
Isaac Feild

$115

Simon Stran complains of Isaac Feild and says that defendant is indebt to him for goods sold and delivered to him by the plaintiff at defendant's request a bill of particulars is filed herewith and made a part of this complaint that said sum is now due remains unpaid wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for damages and all other proper relief

Somer an Rep
atty for plaintiff

$175

Waterloo Indiana I sold to Isaac Feild
1878 April 8th to 1 pair of boots $4.00
July the 10 " " " .50
July the 10 " " Cash 8.00
Repairs 2.50
1.75
7.75

Issue Summons this 29 day of June 1874 and delivered to Frank Fisk Constable
Returnable July 1st 1874 at six o'clock in the afternoon

Summons returned this 1 July 1874 served this writ by leaving copy at defendant's residence
Copy 25
Mileage 20
Return 10
Frank Fisk Constable
turn to page 236

State of Indiana DeKalb County
ss Justice Court

William Brand
vs
Levi Parnel
Frank Parnel

Before Eli Walker J.P.
in and for said township

in the DeKalb County and State of Indiana William Brand complains of George Parnel and Frank Parnel and says that defendants is indebted to him in the sum of twenty for Furnishing and performing for Walker and Sons and for work done for the defendant at their request

Justice $2.14

27 Days at one Dollar and fifty cents a Day
by Cash $11.50 cents

Justice $2.14

In the sum. This 27 Day of August 1884 returnable 27 Day of August 1884 and Served by reading summons received
Served this summons by reading to the defendant Parnel
August 27 1884

Received for fees in full in the above entitled action

Served 50
mileage 1.60
return 10
Frank Irwin Constable

Filed August 27 1884 at 11 o'clock in the forenoon
the plaintiff having and testing in the case it is therefore adjudged by me that the plaintiff recover of and from the defendant the sum of $28.20 Dollars together with the cost and accruing
Eli Walker J.P.

State of Indiana DeKalb County

William Brand } in Dekalb Circuit
vs } Court of the
George Farnal } Justice of the Peace
Frank Farnal } in and for said county

Constable $2.10

in the DeKalb County State of Indiana William Brand complain George Farnal Frank Farnal and say that defendant is indebted him in the sum of twenty Dollars thirty six cents for threshing three hundred and one of wheat and four hundred and six bushels of oats

Justice 1.00
Received my fees in full
W. H. Fitton

Issued a summons this 22 day of August 1884 returnable 27 day of August 1884 and delivered to Frank H. Constable

Received my fees in full with above Entitled Case
Frank H. Constable

Summons return the 27 day of August 1884 and the summons by reading to the defendant personally August 23 1884

Served	50
mileage	160
return	10

August 27 1884 at 12 O'clock attorney plaintiff appearing and testifying in the case it is therefore adjudged by and that the plaintiff Recover of and from the defendant the sum twenty Dollars and thirty six cent and cost of this suit

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a J. P. in
Dekalb Co. } and for Newville Town

Justices
$ 175 | A Byron Darby
vs
Frank Markley

A Byron Darby complains of
Frank Markley and says he departed
On July 22-1876 by his note a copy of which
is filed with & made a part of this complaint
promised to pay maturity in days after date
the sum of $33 20 Without relief With ten per
cent interest and ten percent damage at maturity
Reasonable $10
Reasonable interest and damages are due and
unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment
for said principal & interest & damages

Stevenson Baby
plaintiff atty

$33 20 Copy of Note
On Day Wattleloo Ind July 22 1876
after date I promised to pay to
A Darby or bearer thirty three 20/100 Dollars
and interest at ten per cent Value
Valuation or Exchange at Fair at 10 per cent
interest and ten per cent Damage if collected
by suit

Frank Markley

Received Summons this 29 Day August 1
Returnable Third Day of
September 1854
Came to hand 29 Day August 1
Served this Writ 29 1854
By leaving a Copy at the defendants
Residence

Copy	25
Allowance	75
Return	10

Frank first Constable

fees [illegible] filed

and now towit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff [illegible] the defendant [illegible] [illegible] said [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] that he [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] with notice more than three days before [illegible] of the trial [illegible] it is therefore considered that [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] recover against him his demand aforesaid and the cost after hearing the evidence [illegible] [illegible] said [illegible] at 66 50/100 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 66 50/100 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid togther With the costs of this suit.

Eli Walker J P (Seal)

now on the day and at the hour set for [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] and the defendant appeared by his attorney [illegible] [illegible] moved [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] for want of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] that the Constable was informed [illegible] he left a copy of the [illegible] [illegible] was [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] ruled the motion — when the defendant [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] affidavit for [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] set for [illegible] [illegible] Walker J P

[illegible]

Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana } Upon Eli Walker a J. P. in
Dekalb County } in for Smitfield township

Justice Fees
$ 1.75

A Byron Darby
vs
Frank Markley

A Byron Darby complains of Frank Markley and says the defendant on July 22-1876 by his note a copy of which is filed with & made a part of this complaint promised to pay plaintiff one day after date the sum of $32.40 with ten per cent intrest and ten per cent damage at said principle intrest and damages are due and unpaid wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for and Hereby Pray other relief

Constable
$1.10

Summons Baby
plaintiff atty

$32.40 Copy of note
One Day Wattlerloo Ind July 22 1876
One Day after date I promised to pay to A Darby or bearer thirty three 40/100 Dollars with interest any attorney Valuation or Appraisement Law at 10 per cent intrest and ten per cent damage if collected by suit

Frank Markley

Received summons this 24 Day of August 1884
Returnable third Day of September, 1884
Came to hand 29 Day August 1884
Served this writ 24 1884
By leaving a copy at the defendants Residence

Copy	25
Allowance	75
Return	10

Frank first Constable

for Joseph Allen

[illegible] the defendant though duly called
called comes not but makes default and it appears
that he has been duly served with process more
than three days before [illegible] of the tribunal it
is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover
against him his demand aforesaid and the
cost after hearing the evidence & assess said
demand at the sum of 66 50/100 Dollars it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant
the sum of 66 50/100 Dollars [illegible] as aforesaid
together with costs of the suit.

Eli Walker J P



Smithfield Putnam Co. Indiana September 1884

Constable fee 75

Received payment in full with above costs

Frank Fisk

Stambaugh Kennedy vs John Jinkins } Before Eli Wilkins a Justice of the peace

John Jinkins Jr. Dr. to Stambaugh & plaintiff Dr.

25 1880		$1.50
Cutting 11 acres	1884 Wheat	11.00
5 stalls	thrine	3.75
Whole	amount	$16.25
December 11 1884 by	Cash	5.00
Received by	One Day Work	1.50
	balance Due	$9.75

Stambaugh Kennedy

Judgment Eli Wilkins J P

with this Writ this 5 Day Sept 1884 returnable September 11 1884 and delivered to Frank Fisk Constable for Served this Writ by leaving a copy at the defendant residence this 5 Day of September 1884

Copy	25
Wheeler	40
Return	10
Fees	75

Frank Fisk Constable

1884 and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for November 22 th trial of the cause as above stated comes the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant though duly called and solemnly warned to appear comes not but makes default. It appearing that the judgment has been duly served upon the defendant more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his damages aforesaid and the costs of by him in this behalf expended at the sum of 7 85 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff have recovered the sum of 7 85 Dollars as assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit.

I [illegible] B and [illegible] [illegible] Bale for the [illegible] execution on the [illegible] Judgment for [illegible] execution hereof [illegible] hand this 16 day of September 1884

Witness my Hand the 16 day of September 1884

Eli Walker J. P.

Constable $ 134

Justice $ 250

Received my fees in full Eli Walker J. P.

Stad of inline Estate Bond Paid the Gatherm as Gorge Bound

The plaintiff complains of the defendant and says that on the 24 day of April 1884 the defendant by his promissory note which is ready with and made a part hereof promised to pay the plaintiff twenty dollars with eight per cent interest and attorney fee which is reasonably worth ten per cent for the defendant failed and neglect to pay said note sum is now due and unpaid wherefore he demands judgment against defendant for plaintiff

Received fees in full in the above case

Jack Filipaitled

ishes a summons this 5 day of September 1884 and delivered [illegible] [illegible] constable [illegible] defendants the 11 day of September 1884 Served this summons by leaving a copy at the defendants residence this 16 day of September 1884

Copy 25 Wiley 100 Returns 10 table 134

[illegible] constable [illegible] page 244

and now court on the day and at the hour set for
the trial of this cause as about ~~[illegible]~~ 8 o'
comes the plantiff but ~~makes~~ the defendant
though thrill times called comes not but makes
defolt. and it apears that he has been duly -
With process more than thrill days before the
time of the trial hereof it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff ought to
recover against him his demand aforesaid
and the court after hearing the evidence asses
aard demand at the sum of 1861 Dolars it is
therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of
the defendant the sum of 1861 Dolars so ass
as aforesaid together With the cost of this su

92 do Philips 1691
190

I William S Brand [illegible]
my self [illegible] the
of Execution [illegible]
fa[illegible]
from the [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Sept [illegible]

Waterloo Mich Jan 10th 1885
Rec'd Collect Execution
and return delivered on judgment against
Sergeant B Brand and in favor of
Laura Hawthorn as the land appears
on his docket
to C M Phillips Atty for
Mary Thorn

State of Indiana Pulaski Co ss

David Hekathorn }
vs
William S Brand

[illegible] claim of the plaintiff against the defendant and [illegible] day of April 1880 defendant [illegible] his note which is filed [illegible] part of this complaint [illegible] plaintiff [illegible] light [illegible] light per cent interest and [illegible] attorney fees [illegible] defendant has failed and neglected [illegible] the sum is now due [illegible] he demands judgment [illegible] attorney for plaintiff [illegible] this 5 day of September [illegible] Frank Fish Constable [illegible] September 1884 served this [illegible]

[illegible] 1884 [illegible] 25 [illegible] Frank [illegible] Constable [illegible] day and at the hour set for [illegible] hearing as above stated comes the defendant though three times [illegible] but makes default and it [illegible] was duly served with [illegible] upon the time of the trial [illegible] considered that the plaintiff [illegible] this his demand against [illegible] the defendant being the [illegible] and demand at the sum 42 82 dollars [illegible] considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant [illegible] 42 82 dollars [illegible] as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit 3 92 / 1 90

[illegible] George Hound [illegible] Bail [illegible] Hundred and [illegible] the [illegible] judgment [illegible]

[illegible] Constable
[illegible] David

Witness my hand and seal this 18 day of September 1884

[illegible]

Witness my hand and seal this [illegible] day of September 1884

[illegible]

[illegible] Phillips [illegible]
David [illegible]

[illegible] Brand [illegible] on the [illegible]

and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above ~~come the pl~~ st comes the plaintiff but ~~makes~~ the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appears that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assess said demand at the sum of 1861 dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 1861 dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit

92 do. Philip 1691
190

William [illegible] acknowledged myself replevin bail for the stay of execution on the above judgment for Ninty Days from the rendition thereof

William J Brand

Witness my hand this 14 Day of September 1884

E L [illegible] J J

Docket 9

State of Indiana DeKalb county SS
David H. Kahtra
vs
William S Brand

the plaintiff complains of the defendent and says that on the 14 day of April 1880 defend and by his promissory note which is filed herewith and made a part of this complaint promised to pay the plaintiff [illegible] eight and 61/100 dollars with eight percent interest and attorney fees which fees are reasonably worth ten percent of the defendant has failed and neglected to pay said note the sum is now due and unpaid wherefore he demands judgment against the defendant for plaint

Consists $135 Received for in full Frank Fisk

[illegible] 1884 and delivered Frank Fisk Constable returned the 11 day September 1884 Served this

Justice $2.00 Received my pay in full

16 day of September 1884 [illegible] Frank Fisk Constable and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as about stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default: and it appearing that he has been duly served with [illegible] before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against his the demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum 42 82 dollars [illegible] considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 42 82 dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

38 92
3 90

Jorge Round [illegible] Bail [illegible] Henderson and [illegible] the true judgment

Schedule

Jorge Round

Witness my hand and seal 18 Day of September 1884

Will Brand, Greetings and Defendant on the suit of Court

Be it remembered that on the 30th day of October 1889 Eli J Williamson filed the following complaint in my office this

Justice's Fee

Received my fee in full for the above judgment
Eli Walters J P

Waterloo Oct 29th 1889

Joseph Ellenwood

Dr to Eli J Williamson

To one note	$60.00
To [illegible] years interest	1.80
	61.80

Signed Eli J Williamson

Thereupon I issued Summons directed to any constable of Smithfield township commanding him to summon the said Joseph Ellenwood to appear before me at my office on the third day of November 18[illegible] at [illegible] o'clock A M to answer said [illegible]

Constables fee $1.25
Received fees in full
Eli J

Summons I delivered [illegible] constable of said township [illegible] return thereon [illegible]

Waterloo Oct Nov 8th 1889
Received seven and 80/100 dollars in full judgment in case of Eli J Williamson vs Joseph Ellenwood on Eli Walters docket 54 pg 8 cost
E. H. Phillips atty for plaintiff

[illegible] show [illegible] about stolen [illegible] evident through [illegible] not but makes diff[illegible] [illegible] has been duly serv[illegible] this third day [illegible] [illegible] thereof it is therefore cons[illegible] that [illegible] plaintiff ought to recover against the [illegible] defendant aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence [illegible] demand of the [illegible] Falors it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $7.80 cents Falors so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit.

Eli [illegible] J P

Recorded of B F Haselton in Vaucluse 3 1889
Full of the above judgment
Eli Walters J P

$1.00 State of Indiana, Before Jos. Eli Willard
Hancock County, Justice of the Peace
David Feagler Willard Peace of Smithfield
$1.10
vs
Christ Brickbill

$1.73

Willard Peace complaining upon oath that Christ Brickbill and says that defendant on the 1 day of May 1884 unlawfully and feloniously killed two sheep and a lamb of the value of $1.00 and there setting at and upon said sheep a large and fierce dog which said dog

Harrison Daniel fee $1.70

now and at the time of killing said sheep killed Plaintiff further says that said sheep was of the value of Fifty Dollars that by reason of the killing of said sheep by the defendant as aforesaid this plaintiff was damaged in the sum of Fifty Dollars which sum or any part thereof the defendant refuses to pay though often requested so to do and the same is now due and unpaid. Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for Fifty Dollars and all other proper relief

Lowery & Roby
Attys. for Pltff

Constable Fees
Frank Fisk .75
Constable 4.75

October 27th 1884 I issued a summons and delivered to Frank Fisk Constable setting cause for trial on November 1st 1884 at 8 O'clock and thirty minutes A.M.
October 29 1884 Summons returned endorsed as follows, Came to hand Oct. 29, 1884 served this summons by reading in the defendants hearing Oct. 29 1884.
I also issued summons for the following witnesses for plaintiff David Feagler David Miser Harrison Daniels Jay Shaffer & Frank Souder which writ I delivered to Constable Frank Fisk and which was returned endorsed as follows Came to hand Oct. 29 and was served Oct. 30 by reading to the following parties herein named David Feagler Harrison Daniels Jay Shaffer & Frank Souder and by leaving a copy at the residence of David Miser Frank Fisk Const.

David Miser $1.15

Justice fee $ 1.00
Received my fees in full in the above judgment
E. W. Walker J.

Be it remembered that on the 30th day of October 1889 Eli J. Williamson filed the following complaint in my office to wit
Waterloo Ind Oct 29th 1889

Joseph Ellenwood

To Eli J. Williamson Dr

To one note	$600
To five years interest	180
	780

Signed Eli J. Williamson

Whereupon I issued summons directed to any constable of Smithfield township commanding him to summon the said Joseph Ellenwood to appear before me at my office on the third day of November 1889 at 2 o'clock P.M. to answer said complaint said summons I delivered to Frank Fisher a constable of said township who made the following return thereon to wit Served this oct 30 1889 by leaving a copy at the defendants residence

Constables fee $ 1.55
Received fees in full
E. W. Walker

And now to wit on the day and hour set for the trial of this cause comes the plaintiff by his attorney E. M. Phillips

and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though duly called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial thereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of Seven hundred and eighty dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of Seven hundred and eighty dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

E. W. Walker J.

Recorded at B. N. Hostetler's in Waterloo Ind. Transcript of the above Judgment
E. W. Walker J.

$1840 State of Indiana, Before me [illegible] Justice
DeKalb County, Justice of the Peace
Fuit fees $1.10 Illinois " " of Smithfield
vs.
Christ Brackbill }
Bill $1.73 }
Hilliard Fee complain
of christian Brackbill and says
that defendant on the 1 day of May
1884 in unlawfully and wrongfully
Harrison fees $1.10 did hitch [illegible] to a plow [illegible] broad [illegible]
[illegible] there and there setting it and in said
row a large and fierce dog which
chased him and worried and bit him row until it
died Plaintiff further says that said row
was of the value of [illegible] Dollars that by
reason of the biting of said row he [illegible]
[illegible] was
[illegible] defen-
[illegible] sted
[illegible] um-
[illegible] ds
[illegible] ll
Constable Frank [illegible]
[illegible] delivered to Frank Fisk Constable
Constable 4.75 setting cause for trial on November 1st 1884
at 8 o'clock and thirty minutes A.M.
October 29 1884 Summons returned endorsed
as follows, Came to hand Oct. 29, '84
served this summons by reading in the
defendants hearing Oct. 29, 1884.
I also issued summons for the following
Fuit Miser $1.15 witnesses for plaintiff David Fraylor David
Miser Harrison Daniels Jay Shaffer & Frank
Souder which writ I delivered to Constable
Frank Fisk and which was returned
endorsed as follows Came to hand Oct. 29,
and was served Oct. 30 by reading to the
following parties herein named David Fraylor
Harrison Daniels Jay Shaffer & Frank Souder
and by leaving a copy at the residence of
David Miser [illegible] Frank Fisk Const.

Now on the day and at the hour set for
trial the plaintiff appeared by Lowery & Robb
his attorneys and the defendant by
C M Phillips his attorney
Defendant filed following demurrer
to complaint to wit
Willard Fee vs. Christian Brechtbill
the defendant demurs to plaintiffs com-
plaint for the reason it does not state fac-
sufficient to constitute a cause of action
C M Phillips
Atty for Defendant
Demurrer overruled and parties pro-
ceeded to trial. and cause submitted
Dt. is considered by me after hearing
all the evidence and argument in the
cause offered. that Plaintiff has fail
to sustain his cause of action and I do
find Judgment for the defendant for
the costs and all accruing costs
herein
E. Walker J. P.

E B Thomas
$1.25

Witness fee
$ 1.00

State of Indiana } In Justice Court before
DeKalb County } the Hon. Justice Court
} of the peace for Smith
Luther Camp }
vs }
William A Brand }

Luther Camp plaintiff
complains of William A Brand defendant
and says that defendant is indebted to
him in the sum of twenty eight and
dollars work and labor performed for plaintiff
for defendant at his defendant request
a bill of particulars of which is filed
herewith and made a part hereof. That said
defendant

Constables fees $1.45

Summons 2nd sept 16 1866
John Dieterle
sworn with
J. Smith

March 23 – 2 ln Handle .25
April 15 – 2 ln Hand .25
May 15 – 2 ln Hand .50
July 5 – 1 A 58 R.
Meat cutting 3.68
$7.68

Witness fees $2.45
50
Paid in full on the rendition of judgment this the 9 day of

Brand in
Luther Camp
for
ing Wood $2.45
50
of contract
of Waalmber
31 1864
the defendant

by Mea
Residence
City
Monday 110
Return 10
Drunk Mark Constable

and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than ten days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him the demand
turn to page

Now on the day and at the hour set for
trial the plaintiff appeared by Somers & Roby
his attorneys and the defendant by
C M Phillips his attorney
Defendant filed following demurrer
to complaint to wit
Willard Ree vs. Christian Brechtbill
the defendant demurs to Plaintiffs com-
plaint for the reason it does not state fac-
sufficient to constitute a cause of action
C M Phillips
Atty for Defendant
Demurrer overruled and parties pro-
ceeded to trial. and cause submitted
to. is considered by me after hearing
all the evidence and argument in said
cause ~~offered~~. that Plaintiff has fail
to maintain his cause of action and do
find Judgment for the defendant for
the costs and all accruing costs
herein
E. Walker J. P.

5
12
2
15 12
5 0
10

5424
6225
5563
14,52

Filed in my office
this 16 day of September
1886
E. Walker
J. P.

1510
25 25
4 63
16 92

State of Indiana in Vanderburgh County } In the Justice court of the said Township Within

William S Brand vs Wilhem Gantt

Wilhem Gantt of said county complains of William S Brand defendant and says that defendant is indebted to him in the sum of twenty eight and 75/100 Dollars for and for a personal property for defendant at his request and all of which is justly herewith and make a part hereof that said sum is wrongfully withheld and is due and unpaid wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for said sum with costs and proper relief

Wm H Mead Atty for Plaintiff

375 State of Indiana Vanderburgh County ss
June 21 1884

William S Brand in account with Wilhem Gantt for

June 1 the 1884 for sawing Wood $28.75
Credits
Judgment summons 30 Day of October 1884 returnable the 6 Day of November 1884
Served this Writ Oct 31 1884 by leaving a copy at the defendant's Residence
Copy 25
Mileage 10
Return 10
Drunk Mark Chamberlain

Judgment for plaintiff on the above

Justice Bernard may go in full on the new Judgment Elizabeth 9 11

and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called come not but make default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand

turn to page 250

aforesaid and the court after hearing the [illegible]
assessed said demand at the sum of $92 & 90 cents Dollars
it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover
of the defendant the sum of $92 & 90 cents Dollars
assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this
suit & [illegible] hereby acknowledging himself [illegible] to pay the
execution on the same July next for
thousand and twenty Dollars from the
rendition thereof

Geo. C. Brand

Witness my hand this 14 day
of November 1884

Eli Walker J J

Nov 14/84 Received of William S Brand
on the above judgment the sum of Ten & 40/100
dollars

W. W. Leas Atty for Pltiff

February 7 1885 Received of Eli Walker J P the sum
of Eighteen and 77/100 dollars in full of the above
judgment [illegible]

State of Indiana DeKalb County
Lowenstein Rothschild
vs
Solomon Brandeberry
} before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in and for Smithfield township

One the third Day of January 1885 the plaintiff filed his follow bill Waterloo 2nd January 1885 Mr Solomon Brandeberry in account with Lowenstein and Rothschild general Dealers in Dry goods Clothing &c account must be settle in ten Days

Nov 21/82	for Blank	800
	charges for no fees	128
		928

January 5 1885 Received my fees in full Eli Walker J

January 5 1885 Received my fees in full Solomon Sink A special Constable

Received of Eli Walker Nine 28/100 Dollars for second callation of Sol Brandeberry
Lowenstine Rothschild

State of indiana
DeKalb County } Be fore E. Wal
Washington Peters } & Justice of
administrator of } in and for Sm
George Clark Estate } & precin tunnsh
vs } DeKalb County
C B Thrasher }

On the t / January 1
the plan
Vald
copy e W Peters adms of G Clark E
$10 00/00 C B Thrasher
Six m
to pay
Peters
ten Da
indiana
relief
Attrast
per cent
pate an

Received of Eli Walke J P Elev
dollars & fourteen cents in full
the amount collected
J B Howe
Plaintiffs Atty

Before
Eli Wesk
bar
any
t
entitl
31

ishal
1885 an
Constab
of Janu
the 8 d
Served
by le
resid

January
sk
Day
returned
1885
defendant
Constable

Cost
Maledy 1 20
return 10
frank fisk Constables
January 7 1885
Received of C B Thrasher 14 00/100
Dollars in full of Washington
Peters Vald Adm of George Clark
Estate Eli Walke J J

State of [illegible] }
Josephus Ellenwood }

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] $1 00
" one Settee 4 00
" one Rocking chair 1 25
[illegible]
18 month [illegible] 1 50
Seal

[illegible] the 26 day of June 1891 returnable 31 day of June [illegible] returned 31 by [illegible] [illegible] in the defending [illegible] 10 frank fisk [illegible]

and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though [illegible] times called [illegible] but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover [illegible] his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the [illegible] demand at the sum of 28.50 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 28.50 Dollars [illegible]

[illegible] William Brand hereby acknowledge my self security bond for the stay of execution on the [illegible] Judgment for One hundred twenty Dollars from the rendering thereof

Witness my hand this 11 day of February 1892
Eli Walker

Constable fees $1.75

Received my fees in full on the bond Judgment frank fisk Constable

Received my fees in full Eli Walker Justice of the peace twenty Eight Dollars 50 on a Judgment against Joseph Ellenwood J. S. Bowers

Received my fees in full on the bond Judgment Eli Walker Justice of the peace

State of Indiana
DeKalb County } Before Eli Walk
Washington Peters } a Justice of
administrator of } in and for Sai
George Clark Estate } township
vs } DeKalb County
C B Thrasher }

On the third Day of January 18
the plaintiff filed the following
Note

Received on fees in full in the case Frank Fisk

Copy of Note

$10 00 Auburn Indiana July 31 the 1883
Six month after Date I promise
to pay to the Order of Washing
ton Peters Administrator of George Clark
ten Dollars at Dekalb County Indiana
for value received Without any
relief Whatever from valuation
Appraisement laws With Eight
per cent interest from Date until
pate ant attorney fees Due Jan 31
C B Thrasher

issued Summons the 3 Day of January
1885 and delivered to Frank Fisk
Constable returnable the 8 Day
of January 1885 Somonens returned
the 8 Day of January 1885
Served this third January 3 1885
by leaving a copy at the defendant
resident Frank Fisk Constable

Copy 25
Mileage 120
return 10
Frank Fisk Constable
January 7 1885
Received C B Thrasher 14 00
Dollars in full of Washing
ton Peters Adm of George Clark
Estate Eli Walk J J

State of Indiana } Before the Justice
Joseph Ellenwood } of the Peace in and for Smith
J. Barnes } Township

Justice fee 1.25

Received my fees in full on the back Judgment Eli Walker Justice of the Peace

Sept. 23 one Barrow $13.00
" one Betstet 4.00
" one Rocking chair 1.25
Defendant to Rocking chair 20
18 month interest 1.25
Fee 1.25

Constable fee 1.75

Received my fees in full on the back judgment Frank Fish Constable

Received my fees in full Eli Walker Justice of the Peace this Eight Dollars and 50 Cts on a judgment against Joseph Ellenwood & J. S. Barnes

[illegible] Witness Brand hereby acknowledge myself security bale for the stay execution on the back Judgment for One hundred twenty days from the rendering thereof

Witness my hand this 14 day of February 1848

Eli Walker J.P.

State of Indiana } In the Justice
Pike Co. } a Justice of the Peace
Township

Witness fees $6.05

George S. Albery
vs
William Telegraph

Jurors fees $3.50

George S. Albery
Complains of William Telegraph
and says that on the 5th day of
September 1884 at Pleasant Lake
[illegible] executed his promissory note
a copy of which is filed with and
made a part of this complaint by
which he promised to pay plaintiff
the sum of fifteen Dollars and attorney
fees and eight per cent interest from
maturity date [illegible] being due
from the date [illegible] a reasonable
attorney fees is five Dollars and
costs said Dollars
an [illegible] fees is [illegible] day
and confessed that he has no defense
judgment per [illegible] Dollars
and other proper relief
Comes and Reply
[illegible] of note

Constable fees $1.25

Spent on table $3.10

Received my fees in full Frank Fisk Justice fees $3.60

Received my fees in full with the above entitled case Frank Fisk

Received my fees in full John Baker

$15 Filed and late indorsement September 5th 1884 thirty Days after Date I promise to pay to the order of George S. Albery Fifteen Dollars at Pleasant Lake per [illegible] Without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws with Eight per cent interest from date of note and attorney fees
William Telegraph

Received my fees in full Solomon Inx [illegible] Cost [illegible]

Issued a summon the 2 day February 1885 returnable the 9 day of February 1885 summon came to hand of February 1885 served this Writ by reading the same in the defendant hearing the 9 day of February 1885 served 25 miles @ $1.50 return 10 Frank Fisk constable
Subpena Was issued at the defendant request and delivered Solomon Inx a special constable Milt Barker
to a telegraph Farm & summon Frank Fisk [illegible]

Witne... stating to Witness hearing
george ... elford Eli
... Walker justice
Willi... the peace in

febarary 14 1885
Receivedmy
fees in full
John fisk

... and for Smitfield Tonship
the de... court to dismiss the
above ... withe coust for the
slaving ... non residance of the
delkalb ... the time sad suit was com-
mened ... east
... tian Updegraph
Recevied my
fees in full
as jaros in above
the bove case
John Jinkson

Superi... ore me this 9 day of
feboary ...)
a bond fi...
State of ... Walker a Jostie of
Dekalb ...
george ...

William ...

... rowlage ovsself bond for
the pay... ssond of such cost as may
be asse... of these for eny coost
W. sat ...

george S mosy
frank saby

Waterloo Feby 14th 1885
... Eli Walker
Five ... Dollars
In full of judgment of Murray vs Updegraph
$5.00

J. P. EXECUTION.

Willis & Co., Stationers & Booksellers, Waterloo, Ind

STATE OF INDIANA, } SS:
Dekalb County, }

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

Whereas, Sophia Briggs obtained judgment against William S Brand gorge W Brand as appears on my docket, for Twelve 12 dollars and Ninty 90 cents, with interest from the 25 day of September 1886 together with costs taxed at $ 3 75 on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said William S Brand and gorge W Brand if any may be found in your county subject to execution and make due return thereof within six months from date.

Given under my hand and seal this Eight day of October 1886

Eli Walker J. P. (L.S.)

Witness fees
$ 6.05

Jurors fees
$ 3.50

Constable fees
$ 1.25

Special constable fees $ 8.10

Received my fees in full of Frank Justice
$ 3.60

Received my fees in full with the above entitled case
Frank Fisk

Received my fees in full
John Rahm

Received my fees in full
Solomon Ink
Jurors cost

Hall of Indiana ... 86 Hilliard
Justice of the Peace
Township

George A. Avery
complains of William Updegraff
and says that on the 5th day of
September 1884 at Pleasant Lake
in said County executed his promissory note
a copy of which is filed with and
made a part of this complaint by
which he promised to pay plaintiff
the sum of fifteen Dollars and attorney
fees and eight per cent interest from
maturity said note bearing date
from the date thereof a reasonable
attorney fee is five Dollars and
and said Dale
an attorney fee is hereby
and entered that he demand
judgment for twenty Dollars
and costs for relief
Jurors and Witnesses
Copy of Note

$15 Due and payable Indiana September
5th 1884 Thirty days
after date I promise to pay
to the order of George A. Avery
fifteen Dollars at Pleasant Lake
for value received Without any
stay whatever from date
or offset. Interest with Eight
per cent interest from maturity
until paid and attorney fees
William Updegraff

February 1884 Summons
the 9 day of February 1884 summons came to hand
of February 1885 serve this Writ by reading the same
in the Defendant hearing the day of February 1885 serve
25 milage $1.40 return 10 Frank Fisk constable
Defendant Was served at the Defendant house and left
Johnson Link a special constable Milt Barkes
to a updegraff Pass summons Frank Fisk not Milt Barkes

Witness ... y stading to Witness hearing
glorge ... lford & ki
... alker justice
Willie ... the peace in
febarary 14 1886 ... d for Smithfield Tonship
Received my the de ... ort to dismiss the
fees in full about ... with cost for the
John fish staving ... non-sisidance of the
dekhala ... the time sad suit was com-
mence ... east
... lian spoke sapth
Received my Superio ... ord and this 9 day of
fees in full february ...
as Jaros in a bond fi ...
the above case State o ... Walker a Justie of
John Jinkson Dekalb ...
glorgl ...
Willam ...
the ... rwlagl ovs self bond for
be ass ... ssond of such cost as may
W. at ... of these for my cost
glorgl & mosy
tomb ... sake



October 11 the 1886
... of ...
by execution
Wilcoxy 8 1.00

EXECUTION.

Plaintiffs.

vs.

Defendant.

Issued 8 day of October 1886

Returned 8 day of April 1887

Constable.

REMARKS.

Justice 25

Justice of the Peace.

[illegible] of [illegible] the [illegible]
[illegible] a Justice of [illegible]
[illegible]

Witness fee $6.05

[illegible] }
vs }
William Aylesworth }

Jurror fees $3.50

Gorges Abery

Complains of William Aylesworth
and says that on the 5 the day of
September 1884 at Second Ward
[illegible] executed his promissory Note
a Copy of which is filed with and
makes a parte of this complaint by
which he promised to pay plaintiffs
the sum of fifteen Dollars and Attorney
fees and Eight per cent interest from
maturity said note being due
from the date [illegible] a reasonable
attorney fees in suit Dollars and
cents said Note
and [illegible] fees in Name day
and consequently therefore he demands
Judgment for twenty Dollars
and other proper relief
Costs and Entry
Copy of Note

Constables fees $1.25

Special constable fees $3.10

Received my fees in full J. Fink

Justice fees $3.60

Received my fees in full in the above entitled case Frank Fisk

Received my fees in full John Rohrer

$15 Second last indorsement September
5 the 1884 thirty days
after Date I promise to pay
to the order of Gorges Abery
sixteen Dollars at Present value
for Received [illegible] Without any
[illegible] what may from [illegible]
or [illegible] [illegible] with Eight
per cent interest [illegible] Attorney
[illegible] and Attorney fees
William [illegible]

Received my fees in full Solomon Fink & Frank Fisk

[illegible] issued a summon the 2 day February 1885 returnable
the 4 day of February 1885 summon came to hand
of February 1885 serve this Writ by reading the same
in the defend hearing the 02 day of February 1885 serve
25 mileage $1.40 return 10 Frank Fisk constable
subpena Was issued at the defendants request and defendants
Solomon Fink a special constable Milt Barker
a [illegible] Pam summon Frank Fisk [illegible]



Wilmo... y stading to Witness hearing
glorge... lford Eli
...alker justice
Wilhe... the peace in
...d for Smithfield township
...ort to dismiss the
...little cost for the
... non-sisidance of the
...the time said suit was com-
...east
...tian vpdlegsaph
...ord on this 9 day of
...y

...Walker a Justice of

...w lagl ovr. sll for for
...ssond of such cost as may
...f these for my cost

glorgl S mosy
frank sabg

there was a vlunist ished at the defendand slgesst
ished a vlunist forth with and delivered to
Solnown link Splshl constable vlunist stanld with
the names John Kohn John Fisk Samll Stroh John
Jinkin Cistus Shulte John Bell Serve this Writ
the 9 Day of february 1885 Sabston link Splshel
Constable bort was boiled to order Jorts was swarn
tried by thell jorts John Fisk Samill Stroh John
Jinkin Witnes was swarn and testfield after hearing
the evidence

State of ind } Before Eli Walker a Justice for
dekalb County } Said County
glorgl S mosy
vs
Wilmon vplegsaph

We the jury find the for
the plantiff and assess his damagl at five hundred
Dolars Samll Stroh forman

turn to page 256

State of Indiana } In the Justice
Pike Co. } a Justice of the Peace
for said Co.

Witness fee $6.05

George S Albaugh
vs
William Telegraph

Jurror fee $3.50

George S Albaugh
Complains of William Telegraph
and says that on the 5 the day of
September 1884 at Pleasant Lake
in said Co. he executed his promissory note
a copy of which is filed with and
made a part of this complaint by
which he promised to pay plaintiff
the sum of fifteen Dollars and attorney
fee and eight per cent interest from
maturity said note being due
from the date thereof a reasonable
attorney fee is five Dollars and
and said note
on demand filed is now due
and unpaid wherefore he demands
judgment for twenty Dollars
and costs for relief
Comes and Reads
a copy of note

Constable fee $1.25

Special constable fee $3.10

Received my fee in full J. Fink

Justice fee $3.60

Received my fees in full with the above entitled case Frank Fisk

Received my fee in full John Parkin

$15 Pl and take notice September
5 the 1884 thirty Days
after date I promise to pay
to the order of George S Albaugh
Fifteen Dollars at Pleasant Lake
for value received Without any
relief whatever from valuation
or appraisement law with Eight
per cent interest from date
until paid and attorney fees
William Telegraph

Received my fees in full Solomon Fink

Special constable

[illegible] February 1884 [illegible]
the 9 day of February 1884 summons came to hand 9
day February 1884 served this Writ by reading the same
in the defendant hearing the 9 day of February 1884 served
25 milage $1.40 return 10 Frank Fisk constable
Suppleme Was issued at the defendants request and delivered
Solomon Fink a special constable Milt Barker
to a telegraph Farm informs Frank Fisk not [illegible]
[illegible]

Wilmot Bennet Served the Writ By stading to Witness hearing

George S Mosy } Before Eli
vs } Walker justice
William upstgraph } of the peace in
and for Smitfield township

February 14 1885

Received my fees in full John fisk

the defendand ~~[illegible]~~ the court to dismiss the about in little cost for the little cost for the staving that the plantiff is a non-residand of the dekalb county and was at the time said suit was commenced and filed no bond for cost

William upstgraph

Received my fees in full as jurors in a bond filed for the cost the case John Jinkson

Superibed and Sworen to before me this 9 day of February 1885 Eli Walker J P

State of indo } Before Eli Walker a justice of
Dekalb County } Smitfield

George S Mosy
vs
William upstgraph

We acknowlage ourself bound for the payment of the proper person of such cost as may be costs against the plaintiff these for my cost
Wsat cousl

George S mosy
— frank babg
these Wa — defendand stated
ished — nd allowed to
Solmon — le, Writ attended With
the name — sk Sanel Stroh John
jinkin — n Bell Served this Writ
The 9 Day — hon Jink Subpoed
Board ta — e order costs Was warn
Wed by — sk Samill Stroh John
Jinkin — nd testfied after hearing
the Ceden
State of — Walker a J P Writ for.
dekalb
George
vs
Wilmon

— very find the for
The — vnagl at five $ 500
W B Dol — ston foreman
96

February 14 the 1885
Received on the boue Jodyment
in full and cost
Eli Walker J P (Seal)

February 12 1884 Received of Wm Uflegraph
$1.4 my fees as widness in the suit
of Uflegraph vs marry
Delema Uflegraph

February 11 1885 Received my fee in full
as widness on said suit of Uflegraph
vs marry Nat Mteler
Warring
vs
Uflegraph
this is to certify that I have Received
my fees as Widness in the above case
M P Barker

February 26 1885
Received my fees in full on the boue
Jodyment as Jaurer
Seth Samuel

State of Indiana } ss. Justice court before
Dekalb county } Eli Walker
in Smithfield township

Isaac A Coal }
vs }
George W Brand }

Justice fees $1
Received my fees in full
Eli Walker

Plaintiff complains of the defendant and says that the defendant on the 29 day of August 1883 by his promissory note date one year after date

Waterloo Ind Oct 26, 85
Received of Eli Walker J.P. the sum of six dollars to be applied on Judgment wherein Isaac A Coal is plaintiff & George W Brand is defendant
Mm H Leas
Atty for Plaff

Constable $1.55

$60 Auburn Indiana August 29th 1883
One year after date I promise to pay to the order of Isaac A Coal sixty dollars payable First National Bank of Auburn value received without relief from valuation and appraisement laws with interest at 6 percent payable annually from date and attorney fees

Isaac A Coal }
vs. }
George W Brand }
William A Brand }

In justice court before Eli Walker Justice of the Peace in & for Smithfield Township
Received of Eli Walker Justice of the peace Seventy three & 2/100 dollars in full of the judgment in said cause
Coal & Waterloo Ind Oct 9th 1885
Mm H Leas Atty for Plaff

Trial January 1885
Summons
Writ
16 days

[illegible] of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though thrice called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial herein

Turn to page 258

February 14th the 1885
Received on the above Judgment
in full and cost
Eli Walker J J (Seal)

February 12 1884 Received of Wm Uflegraph
$14 my fees as witness in the suit
of Uflegraph vs Murray
Selema Uflegraph

February 11 1885 Received my fees in full
as witness on said suit of Uflegraph
vs Murray Nat Miller
Warren Feb 14th the 1885
vs
Uflegraph
this is to certify that I have Received
my fees as Witness in the above case
M P Barker

February 26 1885
Received my fees in full on the above
Judgment as Jurors
Roth, Samuel

State of indiana } ss Justice court before
Dekalb county } E. Walke J P
in Smithfield township

Isaac T Cool }
vs }
George W Brand } Plaintiff complains of the defendant and say that the defendant on the 29 day of August 1883 by his promissory note date one year after date at the first national Bank of Auburn indiana sixty Dollars with six per cent intrest thereon and attorney fees a copy of said note is filed herewith and made a parte hereof plaintiff says that a reasonable fee for the attorney for plaintiff in this cause is ten Dollars that said Note is due and unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demands a judgment for one hundred Dollars and for other proper relief

William H Ross
Atty for plaintiff

Justice fees $1
Recording fees on file E. Walke

Constable $1.55

Copy of Note

$60 Auburn Indiana August 29th 1883
One year after date I promise to pay to the order of Isaac T. Cool sixty Dollars payable first national Bank of Auburn value received without relief from valuation and appraisement laws with intrest at 6 percent payable annually from date and Attorney fees

George W Brand

Issue summons this 14 Day of February 1884 returnable 19 Day of feburary 1884 and delivered to Frank Fish Constable summons return the 19 Day 1884 Served this Writ by ~~the~~ reading in the defendant hearing this 16 day of fedruary 1884 Frank Fish constable

Serv 25
~~Mileage~~ 1,20
return 10 Frank Fish constable

and now to Wit on the Day and at the hour ~~with~~ for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial herein

see to page 258

2794

it is therefore considered that the plantiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court ~~afordaid~~ after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $71 85 Dolars it is therefore considered that the plantiff recovered of the defendand the sum of [illegible] Dolars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit and accruing costs [illegible] plaintiff [illegible] Third which from execution or appraisement laws

Eli Walker J O

I William W Brand hereby acknowledge my self replevine bale for the stay of execution on the bove judgement for one hundred and fifty [illegible] Dollars from rendemion thereof William Brand

Witness my hand this 27 Day of February 1885
Eli Walker J P

Aug 29 1885
Received George W Brand
fifty six on the bove Judgment
Eli Walker J P

Received of George Brand 15 Dollar
Eli Walker J P

October 14 1885
Received George W Brand
in full on the Bove Judgmen
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana } Before me Walker justice of
Dekalb County } the peace of Smithfield tow.

Daniel Rhinesmith }

Justice 15.
Received
my fees in
full
Edw Walker
J P

Recd of Edward Walker J P
Twenty five 30/100 Dollars in full of
Rinesmith vs Brand judgement
A3/32 Jones & Roby
Waterloo Sept 1/1885

Ford
one per cent interest
of Val paid Where due with attorney fees
Plaintiff other says that said late
Wall by Send
Constables fees to A plaintiff that his reasonable
1.35 attorney fees is ten Dollars that
Solomon Lint said Note without and attorney
A special fees paid due and are Wholly
Constable unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demands
judgment for twenty dollars and all
other proper relief
Somers & Roby
attys for plaintiff

Copy of Note
$19 40/100 Waterloo Indiana August 6 1884
Sixty Month after date I promise to pay
to the order of Martin Rinhart Nineteen and forty cents
Dollars at eft Waterloo Ind value recd Without any relief
from valuation or appraisement law Without interest if paid
With Dul if not paid at maturity 8 per cent interest from
date and attorneys fee Without Record We sine this note
plaintiff Rinehart and Daniel Martin Rinehart William S Brand
Schold a somons 16 Day of March 1885 returnible the 28
Day March 1885 Serd this Writ by leaving a Copy at
the defendant residence Solomon Fink Speele
Constable March 20 1885 Copy 25 Mileage 1.00
Return 10 total $1.35
Constable
Solomon Fink Spee

and now to it on the Day at the our set for the trial of this
Cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant
though three times called comes not but
makes default and it appears that he has been duly serd
With process more than three Days before the trial

2794

it is therefore considered that the plantiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court ~~aforesaid~~ after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $71 85 Dolars it is therefore considered that the plantiff recovered of the defendand the sum of [illegible] Dolars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit and accruing costs [illegible] [illegible] exemption [illegible] which from execution or appraisement laws

Eli Walker J P

I William W Brand hereby acknowledge my self replevin bale for the stay of execution on the bove judgment for one hundred and fifty Dollars from rendemen thereof William W Brand

Witness my hand this 27 Day of February 1885
Eli Walker J P

Aug 29 1885
Received George W Brand
fifty six on the bove judgment
Eli Walker J P

Received of George Brand 15 Dollar
Eli Walker J P

October 14 1885
Received George W Brand
in full on the Bove Judgmen
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana } Before the Walker justice of
DeKalb County } the peace of Smithfield town

Daniel Rhinesmith
vs
William S Brand

Daniel Rhinesmith

Justice 150
Received my fees in full
Et. Walf
J.P.

complains of William S Brand and says that the defendant on the 6 day of August 1884 by his promissary note a copy of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof promised to pay
Fifteen 30/100
Dollars With eight percent interest of that date Where due with attorney fees
Plaintiff further says that said Note
Was by said
to Plaintiff that his reasonable
attorney fees is ten Dollars that
said Note interest and attorney
fees past due and are Wholly
unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demands
judgment for forty dollars and all
other proper relief

Constables fees 135
Solomon Lin A Special Constable

Somers & Roby
attys for Plaintiff

Copy of Note
$19 30/100 Waterloo Indiana August 6 1884
Six Months after date promise to pay
to the order of Martin Rinhart Rhinesmith and forty cents
Dolars at eft Waterloo Ind value recd Without any relief
from valuation or appraisement law Without interest if paid
When Due if not paid at maturity 8 per cent interest from
date and attorneys fee Without Record We arine this note
George Rinehart and Daniel Martin Rhinehart William S Brand
Schold a somons 16 Day of March 1885 returnible the 28
Day March 1885 Served this Writ by leaving a Copy at
the defendant residend Solomon Fink Special
Constable March 20 1885 Copy 25 Mileage 1,00
Return 10 total $1 35
Constable
Solomon Fink Special

and now to wit on the Day at the our set for the trial of this
cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant
though three times called comes not but
Makes default and it appears that he has been duly served
With process more than three Days before the time

3142 of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against his his demand aforesaid ~~that~~ and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $30 83 Dolars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recovered of the defendant the sum of 30 83 Dolars so assessed as aforesaid toghes ~~With~~ the costs of this suit Without Relief from Moratorium or appraisement laws

Eli Walker J P

I George A. Brand acknowledge my self replevin Bond for the stay of Execution on the base Judgement for Twelve hundred Dolars from the rendering thereof

George A Brand

Witness my hand and this 14 Day of April 1884

Eli Walker J P

July 30 1884

Received ~~my~~ of William A Brand Nineteen Dollars and forty three cents on the base Judgement 19.43

Eli Walker J P

1971

State of Indiana
DeKalb county } ss

William B Duncan
vs
Brady Heard
William Brand

before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in Jackson Township said county

Justice fee
Received my fees in full on the back
Judgement
Eli Walker J P

Plaintiff complains of defendand and says the defendant on the 10th day of April 1883 by there promissory Note promise to pay on Henry First eight month after date of same note Six and 95/100 Dallars With interest on the Note of 6% per annum from date if Not paide When due and attorney fees for Which are reasnable Worth five Dallars a copy of Which Note is filed herewith and made a parde hereof thud said First for relief veiwed endorst said Note to the plaintiff herein and thud said Note is now due and unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demands Judgement for twenty five dollars and all proper relief

McKillant & Yarnell
atty for plaintiff

Copy of note

$6 95/100 Waterloo Indn april 10th 1883
Eight after date We promise to pay to Henry First order Six 95/100 Dallars value recived payable at Waterloo Bank Without any relief Whether from valuation or appraisement laws With Eight percent interest if Not paid When due and attorney fees

Brady Heard
Hiram Brant

endorst Henry First

issue this Writ this 28 Day of March 1883 returnable the 6 Day of April 1883 Served this writ by reading in the defendant hearing mileage 110 Serving 50 return 10 total 170

frank fisk constable

turn to page 262

and now to wit on the day and at the hour set
stabler fees for the trial of this case as above came the plaintiff
$1.70 but the defendant thought three times calls comes
Received my not but makes default and it appearing that he
fees in full has been duly served with process more than
Frank Fish days before the time set hereof it is therefore
constable considered that the plaintiff ought to recover
against him his demand aforesaid and the
court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand
at the sum of $1309 Dollars it is therefore
considered that the recover of the defendant
the sum of $1309 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid
together with the cost of this suit

Eli Walker J. P.

I William Brand acknowledgment
my self replevin bale for the stay
of execution on the above judgment
for sixty days from rendition of
Witness my hand this 4 Day
of may 1884 William Brand

June 10 1884
Received of Hiram Brand 22.30 22.30
twenty Dollars in full on the above
Judgment
Eli Walker

State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } Before Eli Walker Esq
Justice of the Peace
of Smithfield Township
DeKalb County

J R Kirkpatrick
vs
William S Brand

Justice fees $1.00
Received my fees in full
Eli Walker

Solomon Link
A special
Constable
Constables fees
135

On this 24 Day of March came the plaintiff following Note
Copy of Note
$22.37/100 Corunna February 6th 1885
thirty days after I promise to pay to the order of John R Kirkpatrick the sum of twenty two Dollars thirty eight cents Dollars payable at Corunna value received Without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws With annuity at 8 per cent from until paid With cost and attorney fees for collection
William S Brand
Sir have this Writ the fifteen Day of April 1885 and delivered to S Link Special Constable for Service the 8 Day of April 1885 April the first 1885 Served this Writ by reading at and Within the defendant herein S Link Special Constable
Serviceing 27 mileage 100 Return

And now to wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as a court there comes the plaintiff but the defendant thought three times called come not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served With process more than three Days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said the sum of $22 76/100 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $22 76/100 so assessed as foresaid together With the cost of this suit for which execution may issue without any relief from execution or appraisement laws
Eli Walker

Witness my hand this 27 day of April 1885
Hiram Fogle
Joseph Brand

Hereby acknowledge my satisfaction of the above judgement for one hundred and twenty days from the rendition thereof
Eli Walker

Date April 12 1885
Received on the judgment in full
J R Kirkpatrick

1457
575

State of Indiana
Dekalb County } ss
J. R. Kirkpatrick } Before Eli Walker a
vs } Justice of the Peace of
George W. Brand } Smithfield Township
Dekalb County

Constable fee

On the 2d Day of March 1885 plaintiff filed his Promissory Note
— Copy of Note

Received on fees in full
Solomon Sims
Spencer Sims

$ 8.45 — Garrett February 6 1885.
Thirty Days after date I promise to pay to the order of J. R. Kirkpatrick ~~Dollars~~ the sum of Eight and forty-five $8.45 Dollars payable at Garrett Value received Without any relief from execution or of appisement laws With interest annually at 8 percent from date until paid With cost and attorney fees for collection
— George W. Brand

and now to wit on the Days and at the hour as a true Statist comes comes the plaintiff and the defendant thought three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three Days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought

August 12 1885 to recover against him his demand
Received 8th aforesaid and the court after hearing
Bone Judgment the evidence assesses said demand
in full at the sum of $8.70 ~~Dollars~~ it is
J R Kirkpatrick therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $8.70/100 Dollars so assessed as foresaid together With the cost of this suit
Hiram McConnell Eli Walker

We William S Brand hereby acknowledge myself replevin bail for the stay of execution on the bone Judgment for ~~thirty~~ Sixty Days from rendition thereof
Hiram McConnell William S Brand
Witness my hand this 29 Day of April 1885
Eli Walker J P

Received on the bone Judgment in full
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana
DeKalb County } ss Before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in and for Smithfield Township DeKalb County

justice fees 1.00 R. J. Fisk
vs
Brady Hazel
William Brand

On the 6 day of April 1884 the plaintiff filed the following Note

Copy of Note

$50.00/100 Fairfield April 26 A D 1884
Seven month after date we promise to pay to the Order of Enos Urian fifty Dollars value Received Without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws with use at six percent

Brady Hazel
William Brand

Constables fees 1.55
Received my fees in full Solomon Link Const.

endorsed Enos Urian

Issue a Summons the 8 Day of April 1884 and delivered to Solomon Link a special Constable returnable the 14 Day of April 1884 Summons returned Served this Writ by reading to the defendant herein April the 9 Day of April 1884 serving fifty cents mileage one Dollar and fourteen cents return ten cents Solomon Link Constable

Judgment and now to wit the Day and at the hour as aforesaid Shall comes the plaintiff but the defendant thought three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three Days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $52.94/100 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $52.94/100 Dollars so assessed as foresaid together with the cost of this suit also Received no Writ of Execution any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws with use at six percent

Eli Walker J

turn to page 266

issue execution April 15 ~~Day of~~ 1884
and returned to be Stuymists.

State of Indiana } Before the Walter A Fisher
DeKalb County } of the peace in and for said
Smithfield Township

Received my fees in full on the above Judgment Salamon Lint Special Constable

Jonit E Everbaukner
vs
George W Brand
William Brand

Jonit Everbaukner complains of George W Brand and William Brand and says that defendant at Ashland Lake Indiana on the 11th Day of October 1884 By their note a copy of which is filed herewith promised to pay plaintiff Six ~~months~~ month after date the sum of twenty Dollars that has received Without relief With 8 per cent interest from date until paid and attorney fees that a reasable attorney fee is five Dollars that said sum principle interest and attorney fee is now due and unpaid Wherefore he demands Judgment thereby Dollars and all other proper relief

Somers and Kelsey
Attorney for plaintiff

Copy of Note

$20.00/100 Ashland Lake Oct 11 1884

Six month after date promised to the order J. Everbauker twenty Dollars for value received Without any relief from Valuations & appraisement laws With Eight per cent interest from date until paid and Attorney fee

George W Brand
William Brand

And now to wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appears that he has been duly served With process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his demand ~~aforesaid~~ and the cost after hearing the evidence ~~and~~ assessed said demand at the sum of ~~[illegible]~~ 25.89 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff

turn to 268

recovered of the demand the sum of 25 ~~[illegible]~~ twenty five
2589 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs
of this suit

Jno Walker J P

Rec the above judgment in full except
costs

Somers & Roby
attys for Pltff

State of indiana } Before Eli Walker
Dekalb county } a Justice of the peace
Smithfield township

A J Haas
vs
Edward Evern and
Robard Cock
Complains of A Zenie Hales
Edward Evern and
Robard Cock and says that the
defendand
sale in full

Received
full implies
Summon Fine
& special
condit

State of indiana } Before Eli Walker -
Dekalb County } Justice of the peace

Reuben G Bailey
vs
John F Smith } Reuben G Bailey
- Complains of the defendant and
says that the defendand on March
9 the 1885 promise to pay to the sum
order of one Isaac Langehouser the
sum of Eighteen Dollars by his
promissory Note of sat date a copy
of which is filed said sum unpaid

$18 Copy of Note
March 9 the 1885

one Day after date I promise to
pay to order of George Lafon house
Eighteen Dollars at Bettleriro
for work Received Without any
relief from valuation or appraisement
laws With Eight per cent interest
from date until paid and attorney fee
John H Smith

Constable fee 50c

issued this Writ May 20 1885
returnable 25 Day of May 1885
May the 21 1885
served this Writ by reading to and
Within defendant herein
Solomon Mick Speese Constable
and man to Wit on the day and at the
set for the trial of this cause as a Base
Statut comes the plaintiff the plaintiff
but the defendant the [illegible]
times calls [illegible]
defaulted and it [illegible]
duly served Wit [illegible]
then three Day [illegible]
thereof it is the [illegible]
the plaintiff a[illegible]
against him [illegible]
and the court afr[illegible]
assesses said dem[illegible]
Dollars it is thar[illegible]
the plaintiff reca[illegible]
the sum of 8233 [illegible]
as for said together[illegible]

Received the [illegible] per Solomon Mick at special instance

J O S Metzler [illegible]
my self replein[illegible]
of Allegheny an[illegible]
for Ninty Days [illegible]
there of

August 4, 1885 Received on the base judgment in full of John H Smith
Eli Walker
J J

Witness my [illegible]
of June 1885
[illegible]
Re Jany 25
Received of John [illegible]
Dollars on the [illegible] Judgment
Eli Walker J.J.

Rec'd of Eli Walker Twenty
three Dollars the full of
Judgment in Justice Court
$23.00
Witness my hand this 1st 1892

33633 State of Indiana Gibson county S.S.

Joseph Ellinwood } Before Eli Fisher
a justice of the peace

Hiram McDowell

In and for Smithfield Township Gibson county and state of Indiana.

The plaintiff Joseph Ellinwood complains of the defendant Hiram McDowell and say that on the day of September 1877 plaintiff rented his farm situate in Gibson county and state of Indiana to the defendant and to farm on shares for the term of one year. That by the terms of the contract in relation thereto the defendant promised and agreed to give the plaintiff as rent on said farm Two fifths of all the wheat raised on said farm, delivered to him in the bushel on said farm. And plaintiff avers that the defendant raised under his said contract on said premises, one hundred and twelve bushels of wheat and by the term of the afore said contract the defendant was found to deliver to the plaintiff on said farm in the bushel forty four and four fifths bushels of said wheat. That the defendant, after he had threashed said wheat and had the plaintiffs share in all things ready to deliver in accordance with the terms of the said contract the plaintiff being then and there ready and willing to receive the same did willfully wrongfully and in the purpose of cheating and defrauding the plaintiff out of his said share of wheat draw it away and deposited it out of said farm and secreted the said two fifths of said crop of wheat and refused to inform the plaintiff where or at what time he could receive the same whereby and by reason of which the plaintiff was put to great trouble and expense: And plaintiff avers that the defendant has converted to the plaintiffs share of said wheat of the value of one dollar per bushel to his own use and refused and neglected to deliver the same to the plaintiff though requested so to do. Therefore plaintiff says he has been

Jury fees
John Fisk $1.10
John Kahn $1.50
J Jenkins $1.10
D Hammon $1.20
J Hammond 1.20
J Bell 20
A Kelley 20
T Chambers $1.20
J Bess $1.10
Boundergy 10
J Clark 20

Witness fees
A Kelley $1.10
W Brand 1.20
J Brand $1.10
B Heal 1.20
J Rinehard 1.20
J Rinehard 1.20
J Shaner $1.10

Received three Dollars
Solomon Fink
Special Constable

Constable's fees $11.55

damaged in the sum of Fifty Dollars in
which he demands judgment and all
proper relief.

J. M. Phillips Atty. for
Plaintiff

Justice fees $1.10

issued this Writ this 21 Day August 1885
and delivered to Salaman Link ~~at~~
Special Constable returnable 26 Day
of August 1885 ~~issue~~ summons returned
served this Writ by leaving copy at the
defendant residence Salaman Link a
special Constable August 21 1885 summons
was issued upon the ~~part~~ of the ~~def~~ plaintiff
and delivered Salaman Link special Constable
to Wit William Brand Jacob Brand

J. P. EXECUTION.

Willis & Co. Stationers & Booksellers, Waterloo, Ind.

STATE OF INDIANA, } SS:
DeKalb County.

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

Whereas, Joseph Gilliland obtained judgment against Aaron McCounell as appears on my docket, for Seven dollars and Sixty seven cents, with interest from the 26th day of August 1885 together with costs taxed at $ 58, 35 on said ~~day, without any relief whatever from~~ valuation ~~or appraisement laws~~.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said Aaron McCounell if any may be found in your county subject to execution and make due return thereof within six months from date.

Given under my hand and seal this Seventh day of September 1885

Eli Walters J. P. L.S.

Constable's warrant

~~September~~ 1885
issued execution and delivered to le
Steymets in Jackson township
execution returned the first Day March 1886

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a
DeKalb County } Justice of the Peace
Smithfield Township

Frank Raby complains of William Brand and says that defendant on March 21 1885 by his Note a copy of which is filed herewith promise to pay J S Bowers the sum of Seventeen 90/100 Dollars
With 8 per cent interest from date until Without relief and With attorney fee that said Bowers sold said Note to plaintiff and delivered the same to to the plaintiff that a resonable attorney fee is ten Dollars that said Note unpaid and attorney fee is due and unpaid Wherefore he demands Judgment for thirty Dollars and all othe proper relief

Bowers and Raby
plaintiff atty

Copy of Note
March 21th 1885
One Day after date I promise to pay to the order of J S Bowers Seventeen 90/100 Dollars for value received Without any relief Whatever from valuation or appraisement laws With 8 per cent interest from Date untill paid and attorney fee
William Brand

issued this Writ this 8 Day of September 1885 and delivered to Solomon Link a Special Constable returnable the 12 Day of September 1885
Served this Writ by reading to the defendand herein September 8 1885
Copy 25 Mileage 155 Return 10 Solomon Link a Special Constable

turn to page 274

Constables fees $11.55

damaged in the Sum of Fifty Dollars [illegible] with the demands [illegible] cost and all [illegible] suing.

C. M. Phillips Atty. to
Plaintiff

Justice fees $18.10

issued this Writ this 21 Day august 1885 and delivered to Salaman Link a Special Constabler returnable 26 Day of August 1885 ~~issue~~ summons returned served this Writ by leaving Copy at the defendand residend Salaman Link a Special Constabler August 21 1885 Sepena Was issue upon the post of the ~~def~~ plantiff and delivered Salaman Link special Constabler to Whit William Brand Jacob Brand Beady Head John Rinehard peter rinehard gorge Shiner and Frenchi [illegible] August 21 1885 the Plaintiff ask for a Jury venire issue and delivered Salaman Link a special Constabler twelve qualfied voters of Smithfield tenship John Rahm gorge Walker Jame Chapman John leas John Fisk John Jenkins John Bell Pamel hammon lars Henning William kennedu Eyres brandbergy Freeman kelley John Clark by a greement of the parties the took Seven Jeuary men the Jury Where Sworen the Widness Where Sworen and testified and after hearing the Evidene the Jurey made a verdi We the Jury found for the plantiff and assess damedy at $76.7 Dollars

Levis Henning for

it is therefore adjudeg that the pl recuard off of the defendant the $76.7 With intrest from date to thether [illegible] cost and accruing cost

Eli Walker J

~~August 4~~ September 1 1885

issued execution and delivered to le Stayments in Lenan township execution returned the first Day March 188

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a
Dekalb County } Justice of the Peace
Smithfield Township

Frank Raby complains of William Brand
and says that defendant on the 21 day of March 1884
by his Note a copy of which is filed
herewith promise to pay J S Bowers
the sum of Seventeen 90/100 Dollars
With 8 per cent interest from
date until Without relief and With
attorney fee that said Bowers sold said
Note to plaintiff and delivered the same to
the plaintiff that a resable attorney fee
[illegible] Dollars that said Note unpaid
[illegible] due and unpaid
[illegible] Judgment for
[illegible] all othe proper relief
[illegible] and Raby
[illegible] costs

EXECUTION.

[illegible] 1885
[illegible]
Execution By
[illegible]
[illegible] Plaintiff
[illegible] Defendant
[illegible] 187
[illegible] Mar 1884
REMARKS.

[illegible] Costs 24

Copy
A. S. Ford

[illegible] the within judgment
[illegible] costs
[illegible] Attorney fee
[illegible] State (3) Bar
[illegible] of Clear Hay
[illegible] the Bar
[illegible] Brand
[illegible] the Stand

[illegible] isit to
[illegible] mers
[illegible] wales
[illegible] hatever
[illegible] t Years
[illegible] n Date
[illegible] d
[illegible] Day of
[illegible] icurred to salary
[illegible] ble reasonable
[illegible] 1885
[illegible] ceding to the
[illegible] tember 8 1885
[illegible] 10 Salomon Link

turn to page 274

Justice fees $2.50

Constable fees 185

Solomon Cook et Special constable

And now to-Wit on the day And At the hour Set for the Trial of this cause as above Stated Comes the plantiff but the defendand thrugit. Well Tints called comes not but makes default And it Appearing that he has been duly Served With process more then thrll days Prior the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plantiff ought to recover against him his demand Aforesaid and the cost After hearing the evidence assessed said demand at the sum of 23 70 Dolars it is therefore considered that the plantiff recovers of the defendand the sum of 23 70 Dolars so assessed as aforesaid together With the cost of this suit

September 21 1885 Eli Walker J. P.

Received my fees in full Eli Walker

Received of William Brand on the above Judgment twenty $20 Dollars

J. P. Oct 5th 1885 twenty three 70/100 Dollars & fees

Marion Doty

State of Indiana
Dekalb County
Jacob Loewenstine
~~Ralph~~ Bernhard Rothschild
vs
Daniel Hasleman

Before Eli Walker
a Justice of the Peace
in and for Smithfield

September 15 1885 the
plaintiff filed the foleb Note

$124 $\frac{43}{100}$ Waterloo Ind January 30th 1885
One Day after Date I promise to pay
to the order of Loewenstenstine & Bernhard
Rothschild One hundred and twenty four $\frac{43}{100}$
Dollars at Dekalb Bank Waterloo Ind for
value received Without any relief from valation
or appreisement laws With Eight per cent
intres from Date until paid and attorney
fees the make sureties and endorsers waive
Notice presentment for payment protest
and Notice of protest and non payment of this
Note and all defeneses on the ground of any
extension of the time of its payment that
may be given by the holder or holder to
them or either of them

Daniel Hasleman

Received my fees in full
Solomon Ink
a special
Constable

September 26 1885
Received Daniel Hasleman One
hundred and fourty two $\frac{40}{100}$ Dollars
Eli Walker J. P.

Waterloo Sept 26 1885
Received of Eli Walker One Hundred
and fourty two $\frac{40}{100}$ on Note and Book
account against Daniel Hasleman.
Loewenstine Rothschild

$ 1,11.75 ~~2.50~~ 17.67

State of Indiana
DeKalb County } Before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace of Smithfield township DeKalb County

Scratch I Shermaker
vs
William S Brand
William Brand
James W Clark

October 19 1885 the plaintiff filed the following Note

Constable fee $3.50

Copy of Note.

$100 Smithfield Ind DeKalb Co Indiana January 5th 1885 Six month after date January 17 1887 date I promise to pay to the order of I S Shoemaker One Hundred Dollars value received Without relief from valuation or appraisement laws With 8 percent int from date until date and 10 of attorneys fees

Received my pay in full on the above Judgment Solomon Fink

William S Brand
William Brand
J W Clark

October 24 1885

Continued this case on account of being a Widness in circuit court until

Justice fees 4.50

October 27 1885 at

Eli Walker J P

issued this Writ the 19 Day of October 1885 returnable 24 Day of October 1885 Summons returned came to hand the 19 Day of October 1885 Served this Writ by reading to the defendant hearing October 19 1885 Service 75 Mileage 2.25 return 10 Docket $3 10 cent Solomon Fink Special Co

And now to Wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though thrice called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he had been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his demand herein sued for and the cost after having credited assessed said demand at the sum of $1,11.75 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $1,11.75 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit taxed Berling with out

Any relief from Valuation or Appraisement laws
With 6 percent interest
Eli Walker
I Eli Slater hereby acknowledge
my self replevin bail for the Stay of
Execution on the above Judgment for
January 25, 1887 One hundred Eighty

Received on the Shoemaker Judgment One hundred and ten Dollars

S.S.
P.R.
Dr
Rec
Paid
in
1887
for E.

Rec
Re
the
Jac
in
Eli

Justice

298 BROADWAY,
NEW YORK, June, 1887.

Dear Sir,

We have much pleasure in handing to you this List of our Collection of Porcelain Studies which we have produced for the coming Season.

We would invite your Special attention to the superior quality and thickness of the Cabinet Porcelains we are using, all of which are bevelled, while each Porcelain is enclosed in a wooden **Safety Box,** *thus guarding against the risk of breakage in transmission which has hitherto proved so serious an obstacle to the sale of these productions.*

The whole of the designs have been carefully chosen, and the numerous well known Artists' names appearing on the List affords unmistakable evidence that in the selection of designs the subjects of the best known Artists only have been adopted.

Our prices for these Porcelain Studies are indicated below.

Yours faithfully,

RAPHAEL TUCK & SONS.

PORCELAIN ✦ STUDIES.

PRICE LIST.

Porcelain Studies in monochrome, with strut at back, packed in a wooden **SAFETY BOX,** *and motto embossed on a separate Whatman card,* 9.00 *doz.*

Ditto ditto ditto colored ... ...12.00 „

Ditto ditto monochrome on handsome plush mount ...15.00 „

Ditto ditto colored and mounted on handsome plush mount ... ...18.00 „

LONDON PARIS, AND NEW YORK.

ment
on date
Brand
rand
nd

a account of
warn a witness in civil board antes
October 27 1885
Eli Walker J.
issue this Writ 19 Day of October 1885
returnable the 21 Day of October 1885
turn to page 298

$1,11.75 ~~2.67~~ 17.67

State of Indiana
DeKalb County
Scuatt S Shermaker
vs
William S Brand
William Brand
James W Clark

Before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace of Smithfield township DeKalb County

October 17 1885 the plaintiff filed the following Note

Constable fee $3.10

Copy of Note

$100 Smithfield Ind DeKalb Co Ind
January 17 1885 Sixty month after date
January 17 1887 date we promise to pay to the order
Received my fees in full on the within Judgment Solomon Fink
of S S Shermaker One Hundred Dollars value received Without relief from valuation or appraisement laws With 8 percent int from date until paid and 10 of attorneys fees

William S Brand
William Brand
J W Clark

October 24 1885

Justice fees $50

Continued this case on account of being a Witness in circuit court until October 27 1885 at

Eli Walker J P

issued this Writ the 19 Day of October 1885 returnable 24 Day of October 1885 Summons returned came to hand the 19 Day of October 1885 Served this Writ by reading to the defendant Morning October 19 1885 Service 75 Mileage 25 returns 10 Docket $3 50 Solomon Fink Attorney

And now to Wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause As above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though thrice called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he had due notice served With process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his demand sued for and the court after having considered said demand at the sum of $1,11,75 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $1,11,75 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together With the costs of this suit and Execution Issue

Any relief from Valuation or Appraisement laws
Received on With 6 present interest
the Shamaker Eli Walker J P
Judgment I Eli Stofer hereby acknowledge
One hundred my self replevin bail for the Stay of
and ten Dollars execution on the above Judgment for

RAPHAEL TUCK & SONS, FINE ART PUBLISHERS,

SUBJECTS.	SUBJECTS.
No. 30. A Trooper, Royal Horse Artillery — GEORGE L. SEYMOUR.	No. 45. Olivia — CRESSWELL WOOLLETT.
,, 31. A Lieutenant, 2nd Life Guards — GEORGE L. SEYMOUR.	,, 46. Enid — CRESSWELL WOOLLETT.
,, 32. A Trooper, 2nd Dragoons — GEORGE L. SEYMOUR.	,, 47. Guinevere — CRESSWELL WOOLLETT.
,, 33. A Subaltern, 10th Hussars — GEORGE L. SEYMOUR.	,, 48. Lilian — EMILY BARNARD.
,, 34. Meet of the Coaching Club (Lord Charles Beresford) — HARRY PAYNE.	,, 49. Gladys — EMILY BARNARD.
,, 35. Meet of the Four-in-Hand Club (Princess of Wales) — HARRY PAYNE.	,, 50. Florence — EMILY BARNARD.
,, 36. The Start — HARRY PAYNE.	,, 51. Eleanor — EMILY BARNARD.
,, 37. Return from the Meet — HARRY PAYNE.	,, 52. The Squire's Daughter — F. SARGENT.
,, 38. A Surrey Lane — ALBERT BOWERS.	,, 53. The Vicar's Daughter — F. SARGENT.
,, 39. Roadside Scene in South Devon — ALBERT BOWERS.	,, 54. Mary Anderson — FROM LIFE.
,, 40. River Scene in South Devon — ALBERT BOWERS.	,, 55. Violet Cameron — FROM LIFE.
,, 41. A View in Hampshire — ALBERT BOWERS.	,, 56. Portia (Merchant of Venice) — N. WALTER.
,, 42. On the Upper Thames — ALBERT BOWERS.	,, 57. Juliet (Romeo and Juliet) — N. WALTER.
,, 43. A Summer's Afternoon — ALBERT BOWERS.	,, 58. Rosalind (As you like it) — N. WALTER.
,, 44. Elaine — CRESSWELL WOOLLETT.	,, 59. The Little Gipsy — FROM LIFE.
	,, 60. Expectation — HELENA MAGUIRE.
	,, 61. Meditation — HELENA MAGUIRE.

LONDON, PARIS, AND NEW YORK.

being a Widness in civil cause entered
October 27 1885
Eli Walker J P
issue this Writ 19 Day of October 1885
returable the 24 Day of October 1885
turn to page 298

$1,11.75 ~~2.67~~ 17.67

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a
DeKalb County } Justice of the peace of
Scott J Shumaker } Smithfield Township
vs } DeKalb County
William S Brand
William Brand
James W. Clark

October 17 1885 the plaintiff filed the following Note

Constables fee $3.50

Copy of Note.

$100 Smithfield Ind. DeKalb Co. Ind. January 5th 1885 Sixty month after date we promise to pay to the order of J J Shoemaker One Hundred Dollars value received Without relief from valuation or appraisement laws With 8 percent int from date until paid and 10 pct attorneys fees

William S Brand
William Brand
J W Clark

January 17 1887 Received my fees in full on the within Judgment Solomon Tink

October 24 1885

Continued this case on account of being a Witness in circuit court until

Justice fees 2.50

October 27 1885 at

Eli Walker J P

issued this Writ the 19 Day of October 1885 returnable 24 Day of October 1885 Summons returned came to hand the 19 Day of October 1885 Served this Writ by reading to the defendant Monday October 19 1885 Service 75 Mileage 2.25 return 10 Docket $3.10 Solomon Tink Special Co.

And now to Wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though thrice called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he had been duly served With process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his demand aforesaid And the court after hearing evidence assess said demand at the sum of $1,11,75 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $1,11,75 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together With the costs of this suit and Execution With out

Any relief from Valuation or Appraisement laws
With 6 percent interest
Eli Walker J P

Received on the Shamaker Judgment One Hundred and ten Dollars January 25, 1887 S S Shamaker & P R Shamaker

I Eli Stofer hereby acknowledge my self replevin bond for the Stay of execution on the above Judgment for One hundred Eighty Days from the rendition thereof
Eli Stofer

Witness my hand and seal the 3 Day of November 1885
Eli Walker J P

Dec. 29. 1887 Received the Bal of Judgment in full S S Shamaker for E R Shamaker

issue Execution the 8 Day of November 1886 and delivered to Sheriff
December 10 1886 Received on the above Judgment twenty five Dollars
Eli Walker J P

December 26 1887 Received the above Judgment in full Eli Walker

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a
Dekalb County } Justice of the peace of
} Smithfield township
} Dekalb County

Levi Denison
vs
William & Brand
George W Brand
William Brand

October 12 1885
the plaintiff filed the following note
Copy of Note

$87 58/100 Waterloo february 27 1885
Six Month after date We promise to pay to the order of Levi Denison Eighty Seven Dollars value Received without any relief from Valuation or Appraisement Laws with 8 percent interest from date until paid and attorneys fees
William & Brand
George W Brand
William Brand

Justice fees

October 24 1885
Continued this case on account of being a Witness in cause heard with
October 27 1885
Eli Walker J P

issue this Writ 19 Day of October 1885 returable the 24 Day of October 1885

turn to page 278

$1,294 1,13.48

Came to hand 19 Day of October 1885
Served this Writ by Reading and Copy to
the defendand herein October 19 1885
Service 50 Copy 25 Mileledy 25 return 10
total $3.10 Solomon Fink Special Constable

Constables fees $3.10

March the 20 1886 and now to Wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial in this cause as about stated comes the plantiff but the defandant though thrice times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with press more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plantiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the cost after hearing the evidence assessed and demand at the sum of $1,2.94 Dolars it is therefore considered that the plantiff recover of the defandant the sum of $1,294 Dolars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit value received without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws. With 6 per cent interest

Received my fees in the Bove Judgment Sol Lisk Special Constable

E. Walker J C

I Eli Stofer hereby acknowledge my self replainable for the stay of execution on the above Judgment for One hundard and Eighty Day from the rendition thereof

Eli Stofer

Widness my hand this 3 Day of November 1885

Eli Walker J C

March 9 1886
Received on the Bove Judgment a Ninty four Dallars
Eli Walker J C

Interest March 11 1886 Received of Eli Walker Seventy Dallars on the Judgment of Jean Denison or Wm Brand
$70.00 Jean Denison
By J a Denison

$67.48

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a
DeKalb County } Justice of the peace
of Smithfield township
Levi Denison } DeKalb County
vs
George W. Brand }
William J. Brand
Eli Stoper

6148

Constables fees 3.10
Received my fees in full on the above Judgement Solomon Link A Special Constable

October the 12 1885
the plaintiff filed the following note

Copy of Note

$58 27/100 Waterloo february 27 1885
Six month after date we promise to pay to the order of Levi Denison fifty Eight 27/100 Dollars value received without any relief from valuation or Appraisement Laws with 8 per cent interest from date until paid and Attorney's fees
George W. Brand
William J. Brand
Eli Stoper

Justice fees
Received my fees in full from the above Judgement
Eli Wilt
J P

October 24 1885
Continuale this case on account of being a Widness in cases called with October 28 1885
issue Summons this 19 Day of October 1885 returnable 24 Came to hand 19 Day of October 1885 Served this Writ by reading unto the defendant hering October 19 1885
Service 75 Mileage 225 return 10 total 8.10
Solomon Link Special Constable

And now to Wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated Comes the plantiff but the defendant though thrill times called comes not but makes default And it appearing that he has been duly served With process more than thrill days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plantiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid And the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum

January 28/89 Received the judgment in full interest cost Lessing

of $67.45 Solars it is therefore considered that plaintiff recover of the demand the sum of $67.45 Solars so assessed as aforesaid. together with the costs of this suit
for value received Without any relief from
Mentation or appraisement laws With 6 per cent
Eli Walker
J Wilman & Brand as knowledge my seal
replevin Bale for the stay of execution
and the true Jangement for Twenty
Days of the rendition thereof
A L Wilman & Brand
Eli Walker
$33.71 Witness my hand this 5 Day of November 18
State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a Justice
Dekalb County } of the peace in and for said
township Dekalb
County

Constable fees 1.85
January 4 1886 Received in full on Link & Brown Constable

J W B Bauers
vs
Brady Head
George W Brand } October 19 1885 the plaintiff
attorney filed the following
Note
Copy of Note
March 20 1885

$38.55/100 Six month after date we
promise to pay to the order of J W B
Bauers thirty Eight 55/100 Dollars at
Citizens Bank Waterloo and value
received Without any relief from
mentation or appraisement laws With out int
if paid When due if not paid at maturity
Eight percent interest from date and attorney
Brady Head
George W Brand

Justice fee 120

ishute Summons 19 Day of October 1885
returnable 24 Day of October 1885
Continued this case on account of
being Witness in Court until October
27 1885 Came to hand 19 Day of October
1885 Served this Writ by reading to the
defendant herein October 19 1885
Served so Monday 125 return 10 table 180
Salamon Link Special Constable

And now to Wit on the Day and at the
hour set for the trial of this Cause as above
stated Comes the plaintiff but ~~makes~~ the
defendant though three Times called com

not but Makes default And it appeard that
it has been duly served With process more
then three days before the time of the trial here
of it is therefore considered that the plaintiff
Ought to Recover Against him his demand
aforesaid and the Court after hearing the
Evidence said demand at the sum of $3571
Dolars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff
Recover of the defendent the sum of $3571 Dolars
so assessed as aforesaid Together With the Cost
of this suit

Eli Walke J.P.

hereby acknowledge my

Fee 15

Justice of the Peace.

REMARKS.

Constable.

Issued day of 18

Returned day of 18

Defendants

Plaintiffs

vs.

Execution.

Fee 15

Justice of the Peace.

January 28/86 Received the judgment in full ... cost L. L. Deni

of $67,48 Dolars it is therfore considered that plaintiff recover of the demand the sum of $67 ... Dolars so assessed as aforesaid together With the costs of this suit for value received Without any relief from valuation or appraisement law With 6 per cent
Eli Walker J P

I William S Brand acknowledge myself replevin Bail for the stay of execution and the above Judgment for Ninety Days of the rendition there of
Witness my hand this 5 Day of November 1885
William S Brand
Eli Walker J P

$33.71

EXECUTION. — WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana Dekalb **County, SS.**

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, J B Bowers obtained judgment against Brady Heael and gorge W Brand as appears on my docket for thirdy Six dollars and Seaenty One cents, with interest from the 24 day of Ocdber 1885 together with costs, taxed at 5.40, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. And whereas, on the day of 188 ~~became replevin bail for the stay of execution on said judgment,~~ for da~~ys from the ren~~dition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said Brady Heael and gorge W Brand if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, then, without delay, levy this Execution upon the goods of said ~~replevin bail, and sell~~ the same as you are directed to sell the goods of the original judgment defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 4 day of Nauember 1885

Eli Walker J. P. (L.S.)

... ment of ... Being Witness in Court until October 27 1885 Came to hand 19 Day of October 1885 Served this Writ by reding to the defendant hering October 19 1885
Service 50 Mileage 125 return 10 totle 180
Salamon Link Special Constable

And now to Wit on this Day And at the hour Set for the trial of this Court As above stated Comes the plaintiff but Ataker the defendant though there times Called com...

not but makes default And it appears that it has been duly served With process more than Ten days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff Ought to Recover against him his demand aforesaid and the Court after hearing the Evidence and assesses said demand at the sum of $33.71 Dolars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff Recover of the defendent the sum of $33.71 Dolars so assessed as aforesaid Together With the Costs of this suit

Eli Walker J. P.

I hereby acknowledge my self replevin Bail for the Stay of execution on the above Judgment for One hundred twenty Days from the rendition thereof

Witness my hand this Day of November 1885

I have now Executed the 11 Day of November 1885 and delivered R J Frisk Execution Returned and satisfied in full

Eli Walker J. P.

State of Indiana } Before the undersigned a Justice
Dekalb County } of the peace in and for
Smithfield Township

George W Miles
vs
William S Brand

October 21 1885 the
plaintiff filed the following Bill
Constables fees $1.55 Berna Indiana 12 1885 William S Brand
Bought of G W Miles manufacturer of
December 21 1885 dealer in Harness Saddlers Whip &c
Received payment Jane 12 2 Staples 25
fees in full " " " Bedly Band 40
Solomon Lint " 4 " Ballits " " 40
A special " " " Whip 90
Constable " " " Oil Harness $2.50
" " " " Harness 75
lines 1.85
One check 1.50
1 Bitts 35
1 lash 10
Justice fees 1.20 Jaly 5 1 lash 15
Oil 15
35
Bitts 35
Staples 25 $10.53
pay to the order of

issue a Summons the 21 Day of October
1885 returnable 29 Day of October 1885
cause to hand 21 Day of October 1885
Served this Writ by reding to the
defendant herein October 21 1885
Service 25 Mileage 1.20 return 10 bill $1.55

And now to Wit on the day And at the hour
set for the trial of this cause as above
stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant
though three times called comes not but
makes default And it appearing that he has
been duly served with process more than
three days before the time of the trial now of
it is therefore considered that the plaintiff
ought to recover against him his demand
aforesaid And the Court after hearing the
evidence assess said demand

turn to pg 283

for the sum $1053 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plantiff recover of the ~~demand~~ defendant the sum of $1053 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

I W. R. Forrest here by acknowledge my self replevin bale for the stay of execution on the above Judgment for sixty Days from remedition thereof ~~Witness~~ W. R. Forrest

Witness my hand this Day of December 188 Eli Walker J P

December 18 1885

Received of William J Brand Nine 9 Dollars on the above Judgment

Eli Walker J P

Jany 8th 1887

Jas Greene

To three (3) loads of

for

Smithfield

Dr. W. B. H.

[illegible] per

[illegible] over atty

State of Indiana } Before the Walker a Justice
Dekalb County } of the Peace in and for
Smithfield Township

George D Miles
vs
William S Brand

October 21 1885 the
Constables plaintiff filed the following Bill
fees $1.55 Corna Indian 12 1885 William S Brand
Bought of G D Miles manufacturer of
December 1885 dealer in Harness Saddlers Whip &c
Recieved on June 12 2 Maples 25
fees in full " " " Bedly Band 40
Solomon Sink 4 " " Ballits " " 40
A special 1 " 2 Whip 90
Constable Coal Harness $ 2 50
4

Justice fees

Filed this 8th Day of
January 1886
J D Walker
Justice

1450 10
2 50
1450
1450
1450
22
253

27
288
8758
8046

of October
1885
1885
the
1885
10 bill $1.55

And now [illegible] And at the h
set for the tri[illegible] this cause as above
stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant
though thrice called comes not but
makes default And it appearing that he has
been duly served with process more than
three days before the time of the trial herein
it is therefore considered that the plaintiff
ought to recover against him his demand
aforesaid And the court after hearing the
evidence assess the said demand at the sum
to wit $ 2 8 8

for the sum $1053 Dolars it is therefore considered that the plantiff recover of the ~~demand~~ defendant the sum of $1053 Dolars so assessed as aforesaid together With the costs of this Suit

I W. R. Forrest here by acknowledge my self explein bale for the Stay of execution on the bove Judgment for Sixty Days from rendition thereof ~~Witness~~

+ W. R. Forrest

Witness my hand this Day of December 188
Eli Walker J P

December 18 1885
Received of William S Brand Nine & Dallars on the bove Judgment
Eli Walker J P

Received on the bove Judgment five Dollars
Received of William S Brand in full on the Bove Judgment in chiefe east the 9 Day of March 1886
Eli Walker J P

J H Miles
vs } Before Esq Walker
W S Brand

On March 22 1886 on Esq Walker Docket balance in full of this Judgment

J H Miles pr
J B Hoover Atty

State of Indiana } in Justice court before
DeKalb County } Eli Walker Justice of the
peace in and for Smith
township

John W Helmer
Emery A Mete
vs
George W Brand

Justice 2

Plaintiff complains of defendant and says that defendant on the 21 day of November A D 1884 by his promissory note of one day after date promised to pay plaintiff in their firm name of J W Helmer & Co Sixteen 2/100 dollars that said note is due and unpaid that said note provides for Attorney fees and that a reasonable fees for the plaintiff Attorney is five dollars that a copy of said note is filed herewith and made a part hereof that there is due thereon thirty dollars Wherefore plaintiff demand Judgment for the sum of thirty dollars and for other proper relief William Stas
Attorney for plaintiff

Constable's fees 1.85

Received my fees in full for the service
Solomon Fink
A Special Constable

$16.27 Brama Ind Nov 21 1884 One day after date I promised to pay to the order of J W Helmer & Co Sixteen & 2/100 dollars payable at value received without relief from valuation or appraisement laws with interest at the rate to be paid annually from date until paid and attorneys fee no defaulted
Signed George W Brand

issued this Writ the 28 Day of November 1885
returnable 2 Day of December 1885
came to hand 28 Day of November 1885
Served this Writ by leaving a copy at the defendant resident November the 28 1885
Copy 25 Mileage 1,20 Return 10 Total 1,75
Solomon Fink Special Constable

And now to wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff and the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial of this it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the cost

after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $22.29 Dolars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 22.29 Dolars so assessed as aforesaid together With the cost of this suit

which on execution the 14 Day of December 1885 and delivered to R J Fisk Constable Jackson Township

J. P. EXECUTION. WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana DeKalb **County, SS.**

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, John W L. Amer and Emary a Mete obtained judgment against George W. Brand, as appears on my docket, for twenty two 22 dollars and twenty seven 27 cents, with interest from the 2 day of December, 1885 together with costs, taxed at 3.55, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. And whereas, ~~on the~~ ..... day of ..... 188.. ~~became replevin bail for the stay~~ of execution on said judgment, for ..... days from the rendition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said George W Brand, if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, then, without delay, levy this Execution upon the goods of said ....., ~~replevin bail, and sell the same as~~ you are directed to sell the goods of the original judgment defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 14 day of Decemb 1885

Eli Walter, J. P. L.S.

State of indiana } in Justice court before
Dekalb County } E. Walker Justice of the peace in and for Smith township

John W Helmer
Emery A Mete
vs
George W Brand

Justice 2

Plantiff complains of defendant And says that defendant on the 21 day of November A D 1884 by his promissory note of one day after date promised to pay plaintiff in their firm name of J W Helmer & Co Sixteen 27/100 dolars that said note is due and un paid that said note provides for Attorney fees and that a reasonable fees for the plaintiff Attorney is five dollars that a copy of said note is filed herewith and made a part hereof that there is due thereon thirty dolars Wherefore plantiff demand Judgment for the sum of thirty dolars and for other proper relief William Stas Attorney for plaintiff

Constable fees 1.55

Received my fee in full in the above case
Solomon Fink
A Speed
Constable

$16.27 Brama ind Nov 21 1884 One day after date I promised to pay to the order of J W Helmer & Co Sixteen & 27/100 dolars at payable at value received without relief from valuation or appraisement laws with interest at six cent to be paid annually from date until paid And attorneys fee no dol Steve W Brand
issue this Writ the 28 Day of November 1885
returnable 2 Day of December 1885
came to hand 28 Day of november 1885
Served this Writ by leaving a copy at the defendant resident november the 28 1885
Copy 25 Milage 1,20 Return 10 total 1,75
Solomon Fink Speed Const

And now to wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plantiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of ~~trial~~ the trial of this it is therefore considered that the plantiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the cost

after claims the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $22.29 Dolars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 22.29 Dolars so assessed as aforesaid together With the cost of this suit

issued on Election the 14 Day of December 1884 and delivered to R. J. Fisk Constable Ontwa Township

Execution.

Plaintiff.

vs.

Defendants

Issued day of 18

Returned 29 day of January 1885

Satisfied in full

R J Fisk Constable.

REMARKS.

fees 25

Justice of the Peace.

Came to Hand
Dec 14th 1884
R J Fisk Const

January 7 1885
Has visited the defendant and disappointed Property to satisfy the within judgment But found none to levy on

Cost
Levis 25
Mileg 10
R J Fisk const

~~Received the within judgement in full~~

Received the within judgement in full
J. W. Holmes & Co

$27.62

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a Justice
Dekalb County ss } of the Peace in and for
Smithfield Township

John A Myers
vs
Brady Hevel } Complaint

Constables fees $1.55
Received my fee in full on the day judgment Solomon Link

John A Myers complains of Brady Hevel and says that on the 20 day of May 1885 the defendant by his note a copy of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof promised to pay to the plaintiff Twenty dollars with interest at seven per cent attorney fees without relief from valuation or appraisement laws Plaintiff further avers that said note is now due and remains wholly unpaid and that a reasonable attorneys fee for collecting the same is Worth seven dollars Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for Thirty dollars John W Ba
Plaintiff Atty

Justice fees 17¢

Copy of Note Sued upon

$20.00 Auburn Ind May 25 188
Five months after date I promise to p
the Order of J A Myers of Auburn
Twenty Dollars Negotiable and payable at
farmers Bank Auburn Indiana Value rece
Without any relief whatever for valuat
Appraisement laws at 7 per cent annual
attorneys fees Brady He

Received on the within Note 3rd day of Nov 1885 Plaintiff

issued a summons and delivered
Link Special Constable after the
Day of October 1885 returnable
of November 1885
Served this Writ by leaving a c
at the defendant residence Novemb
he 2 1885 Coby 25 Mileage 12
return to Solomon Link Special
and now to wit on the Day and at
hour set for the trial of this
as above stated came the plaintiff
the defendant thought thought

Judgment

times called comes not but makes ~~the~~ default and appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence ~~and~~ assesses said demand at the sum of $27 64/100 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 27 64/100 Dollars so assessed as foresaid together with the costs of this suit of defendant recovered without relief from execution or appisement laws with interest at 6 per cent per annum

Chas Walker J P

where on Monday the 12 Jan 1886 and delivered to R J Fisk

Received on the within mentioned writ $10.95
March 11 1886

Defendants, Issued ... 188 ... day of ... 188

Received on the within writ twenty dollars
March 27 86

Defendants, Issued ... 188 ... day of ... 188

Received on the within writ $3.74 dollars
April 16 86

R J Fisk Constable.

REMARKS.

Justice 25¢

Justice of the Peace

$ 27.61

State of Indiana) Before E. W. Walker a Justice
DeKalb County ss) of the Peace in and for
~~John~~ Smithfield Township

John R Myers
vs
Brady Hevel } Complaint

Constables fees $1.55
Received my fees in full on the within Judgment
Solomon Lint

John R Myers complains of Brady Hevel and says that on the 20 day of May 1885 the defendant by his note a copy of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof promised to pay to the plaintiff Twenty dollars with interest at seven per cent attorney fees without relief from valuation or appraisement laws Plaintiff further avers that said note is now due and remains wholly unpaid and that a reasonable attorney fee for collecting the same is Worth seven dollars Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for Thirty dollars John W Bost

Justice fees 17¢

Judgement

S. F. EXECUTION. WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana DeKalb County, SS.

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, John R. Myers obtained judgment against Brady Hevel, as appears on my docket, for Twenty Seven 27 dollars and sixty-four 64 cents, with interest from the fifth 5 day of November 1885 together with costs, taxed at $3.50, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. ~~And interest, on the~~ day of 188 ~~because replevin bail for the stay of execution on~~ said judgment, for days from the rendition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said Brady Hevel, if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, ~~then without delay, levy this Execution upon the goods~~ of said ~~replevin bail, and sell the same~~ as you are directed to sell the goods of the original judgment defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 12 day of November 1885

E. W. Walker J. P. (L.S.)

Judgement $27.64
Costs $3.50
Total $31.14
Paid Feb 9/86

times called comes not and makes default and appearing thereto he has been duly served with process more than three days before the term of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $27 6/100 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 27 6/100 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit of defendant recovered without relief from execution or appraisement bears with interest at 6 per cent. until

Chas Walker J P

issue an execution the 12 day of November 1886 and delivered to P J Frost

A B Kruhlly
vs
David Hussling
} Before Esq. Walker

Rec. Jan 9/86 of C Walker JP three dollars and fifty cents in full for this case

A B Kruhlly.
per J B Hoon Atty

$27.62

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a Justice
DeKalb County ss } of the Peace in and for
~~John~~ Smithfield Township

John R Myers
vs
Brady Hevel } Complaint

Constables fees $1.55
Received my fees in full
on the Bloy
Judgment
Solomon Link

John R Myers complains of Brady Hevel and says that on the 20 day of May 1885 the defendant by his note a copy of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof promised to pay to the plaintiff Twenty dollars with interest at eleven per cent attorney fees without relief from valuation or appraisement laws. Plaintiff further avers that said note is now due and remains wholly unpaid and that a reasonable attorneys fee for collecting the same is Twenty dollars Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment for Thirty dollars John W Boat

Justice fees 17.5

Judgment

T. P. EXECUTION.

WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana } Public County, SS.

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, John R. Myers obtained judgment against Brady Hevel, as appears on my docket, for Twenty Dollars 27 dollars and Sixty-four 64 cents, 100 with interest from the fifth 5 day of December 1885 together with costs, taxed at $3.50, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. ~~And whereas, the~~ day of 188

~~because replevin bail for the stay of execution on~~ said judgment, for days from the rendition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said Brady Hevel, if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, ~~then without delay, levy this execution upon the goods~~ of said ~~replevin bail, and sell the same as you~~ are directed to sell the goods of the original judgment defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 12 day of December, 1885

Eli Walker J. P. (L.S.)

~~Replevin bond filed~~

8 Fees to be paid

times called cases not out number ~~the~~
Default and appearing that he has been
duly served with process more than three
days before the time of the trial hereof
it is therefore considered that plaintiff
ought to recover against him his demand
aforesaid and the court after hearing the
evidence ~~and~~ assesses said demand at the
sum of $29 $\frac{64}{100}$ dollars it is therefore considered
that the plaintiff recover of the defendant
the sum of 29 $\frac{64}{100}$ dollars so assessed as
foresaid together with the costs of this suit
of dollars received without relief from execution
or appisement laws with interest at 6 per cent
Eli Walker J P
where on Motion the 12 day November
1886 and delivered to P J Frost

78 30
4 68
84 98

State of Indiana } Before E. L. Walker
DeKalb County } Justice of the peace
Smithfield Township

Justice fees 1.25

A A Chapin
vs
Henry Neal
D. J. Cross

Plaintiff Complains of the defendant to to Neal D. J. Cross Wheres to firm and says that defendant on September 11th 1880 at Kendall indiana Execute to plaintiff his promissory Note a Copy of which is filed herewith for Thirty One Dollars With six per cent interest from maturity and expenses of collection of the same for value Received and With exchange payable at the National Bank Kendall indiana that plaintiff has been Twenty Dollars expense in collection of the same that the said sum and cost of collection and due and unpaid Wherefore he demands Judgement for Seventy Dollars and all others proper Relief

Constables fees $3.55

James A Reed
Plaintiff attly

Copy of note

31 cc Kindlewill Indiana Sept 11 1880

Six month after date We promise to pay to the order of A A Capin the sum of thirty One Dollars value received Without any relief Whatever from valuation or appraisement laws of the State of Indiana at six per cent interest and pade all expenses of collecting the same pable at first National Bank Kindlewill Ind

H H Neal
D J Cross

issued this Writ the 8 Day of December 1885 and delivered to Solomon Sink a Special Constable returnable 12 Day of December 1885

Come to hand the 12 Day of December 1885
Served this Writ by Reading to the defendant
~~hereof~~ being 12 day of December 1885 Serve 2.5
mileg 1.20 10 tolls 1.55 . Solman Sink A.P.Hall
Constable
and now to wit on the hour set for the trial of
the Cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but
the defendand thought that Twelve called comes and
but makes default and it appring that the has been
duly served With the process more than three days
before the time of trial hereof it is therefore Considered that
the plantiff ought to recover against him his
demand aforesaid and the court after hearing
the evidence assesses said demand at the sum
of 49 $\frac{07}{100}$ Dolars it is therefore considered that
the plantiff recovers of the defendant the sum of
49 $\frac{07}{100}$ Dolars so assessed as aforesaid together
With the costs of their suit

Eli Walker J P

Justice fee 13

State of Indiana
Dekalb County ss
Before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in and for Smithfield Township

Sinclairs Brothers
vs
Brady Howell

on the 9 Day of December 1885 the plaintiff filed the Note

Copy of note

Constables fee 145

1450 Wallerloo Ind ~~July~~ 7 1881 On or before Sept 1 after date I promise to pay to the Sinclairs Brothers or bearer the Sum of fourteen 50/100 Dolars at Wallerloo Ind for value received Without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement Said Work 8 per Cent interest from Date until paid and Attorneys fee

Brady Howell

Issued this Writ the 9 Day of December 1885 and delivered Solomon Sink Special Constable returnable 16 Day December 1885

Came to hand the December the 10 ~~day~~ 18

Served this Writ by Reading to the defendant hearing the 18 day of December 1885

Serv 25 Mileage $1.10 Return 10 total $1.45

Solman Sink A
Special Constable

And now to Wit On the day and at the hour set for the of this court as above stated comes the plantiff but the defendant thought three times called come not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than ten days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plantiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the Evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $19.75/100 Dollars It is therefore considered that the plantiff recover of the defendand the sum of $19.75 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid by this Writ the cost of this suit

Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana } Before the Wm. Justice
DeKalb County } of the peace in and for
Smithfield Township

Constable fees $95
Received my fees in full Wm
Solomon Sink
A Special Constable

James D Campbell
vs
David Haselman } the plaintiff filed
Bill the 30 day December 1885
Waterloo Indiana December 25 1885
David Haselman Dr to J. D. Campbell
Dealer in Drugs Medicines Perfumery, Paints
Oils School Books Wall paper Stationery &

1884		
Nov 12	1 Lamp	50
1885 March 1st	" Medicine	1 25
April 27 "	"	1 00 2 75

Justice fee 75
Received my fees in full Wm Shelley J P

Issued a Summons 30 day of December 1885 and delivered to Sol Sink Special Constable returnable the 5 day of January 1886 Came to hand the 30 day of December 1885 Served this writ by reading and within the Defendant hearing Service 25 Milage 60 return ten total 95 c
Sol Sink Special Constable

January 4 1886
Received on the above Claim in full
Wm Shelley J P

Received the Row account in full
J D Campbell

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker J P
Dec. County } at the house in
and for Smithfield
A C Grubb
vs
David Hoselman } the plaintiff filed
the following Bill December 1885.

Constables fees 95

January 4 Received in full

Solomon Link

Constable fee 75 cts. David Hoselman
In To A C Grubbe
Dr 7 24 Rd on Feb 83.
Found A Summons and Delivered to
Sol Link A Special Constable returnable
the 5 day of January 1886 Came to hand
the 31 day of December 1885 Served this
writ by reading to and within Defendant
hearing Service 25 Mileage 60 return 10
total $1 Sol Link Special Constable

Justice fees 75

Received in full

January 4 1886

Eli Walker J P

Received in full on the above
Case

Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker
Dekalb County } of the peace in
and for Smithfield
James D. Campbell } township Dekalb County
vs } Indiana
Daniel Huselman } the plaintiff filed
the follow Bill December 30 1885

Constable $1.20 — Waterloo Indiana December 25 1885
January 4 1886 — Mr Daniel Huselman to James D Campbell
Received my fees in full — Dealer in Drugs Medicines perfumery paints
J Mike — Oils School Books wall paper Stationery &
-pacal bus lab

1885 April 3	1 pt Neapal	40
" "	1 Bottle Pegard Oil	50
" "	1 "	55
Jan 18	Medicine	50
		50
November 19	1 lamb Chimnedy	50
1885		10
mg 4	Bitte and Whiskey	10
		60
		40
		25
		60 £.0

Justice fees
75 c
Received my fees in full
Eli Walk J J

Ishued Summons ~~To Sol~~ and delivered to Sol Link Special Constable returnable the 5 day of ~~December~~ January 1886 at 9 o'clock in the foornoon came to hand the 31 day of December 1885 serve this it by leaving Copy at the defendant residence Copy 25 Mileage 35 return 1 total $1.20 Sol Link Special Constable

January 4 1886
Received in full on the above Clame in full
Eli Walker J J

Received the Bave account in full
J D Cambell

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a
Elkhart County } Justice of the Peace in Middle
Frank S Kirby } township
vs
Daniel Husselman

Justice fees 75
Received my fee in full
Eli Walker 90

Frank S Kirby Complains of
said Defendant and says that defendant
on the 24 day of November 1885 by his
promissory note a copy of which is filed
herewith and made a part hereof promising
to pay to James P Whaigue the sum of
Nine teen Dollars with eight per cent
interest and attorneys fees Plaintiff
further says that said James P. Whaigue
before said note to this plaintiff that
said note is now due and wholly
unpaid and that a reasonable attorneys
fee for the collection of the same is ten
dollars wherefore plaintiff demands
judgment for said dollars and ten
dollars attorneys fee

Constable fees 120
Solomon Link
January 4 1886
Received my fees in full
Solomon Link
Constable

John M Summers
Atty for plaintiff

Copy of note

$18.18 Waterloo Nov 24 1885
Thirty days after date I promised to pay
to the order of James P. Whaigue nineteen
& 18/100 Dollars at Value Received without
any relief from valuation or appraise
ment laws with 8 per cent interest
from date until paid and Attorneys
fees Signed by Daniel Husselman

Dec 11 Issue the Summons Delivered to Sol Link
special Constable returnable the 5 day
of January 1886 Given under my hand this 31 day
of December 1885 Served this writ by leaving
a copy at the defendants residence
25 mileage 85 return to Sol Link special
Constable

look on page 296

and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appears that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of 28 24/100 dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 28 24/100 dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit for which recover without any relief from execution or appraisement laws

Eli Walker J. P.

Recorded on the law judgment in full January 16 1884

Eli Walker J. P.

Recorded

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker Justice
DeKalb County } Fine in Smithfield
Township

George Madson }
vs
Willard Fee } December 30 1885 the
plaintiff filed the following note
Copy of note
Waterloo September the 4 1885 one day after
date I promised to pay to the order
Isaac Wilson eighteen and 75/100 Dollars
at my own residence without any relief
whatever valuations or appraisement laws
with ten per cent interest from date until
paid and Attorney fees
Signed by Willard Fee

Justice fees $1.75

Constables fees $1.05

January 1 the 6 1886 Received my fees in full Salemon Sink Constable

Issued the Summons and delivered to Sol
Sink a Special Constable returnable the
7 day of January 1886 at 7 o'clock in the
forenoon and I hereby the 31 day of
December 1885 served this writ by leaving
a copy at the defendant Residence
Copy fee 15 Return fee 40 Sol Sink
A Special Constable

Judgment
and now to Wit on the Day and at the
hour set for the trial of this cause as
above Made comes the plaintiff but
the defendant thought Meet time
Called on and but makes default
and it appearing that he has been
duly Served With process more than
three Days Before the time of the
trial hereof it is therefore considered
that the plaintiff aught to recover
against him his demand aforesaid
and the court after hering the Evidence
assesses said demand at the sum
of $33 9/100 Dollars it is therefore considered
that the plaintiff recover of the
defendant the sum of $33 9/100 Dollars
so assessed as aforesaid together
With the costs of this suit
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana } Before E. C. Miller Justice
DeKalb County } of the Peace for Smithfield twp

Israel Kenny

vs

Edgar Fuller

Justice fee $5

Israel Kenny complains of Edgar Fuller and says that defendent is indebted to him in the sum of ninety seven Dollars for board and lodging furnished to defendent and one horse & buggy at the special request of said defendent by plaintiff, a bill of particulars of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof which sum of ninety seven Dollars is now due and wholly unpaid. Wherefore plaintiff demands Judgment for one hundred dollars and all other proper relief

John, L. Jones
Atty for plff

bill of particulars
Edgar Fuller
To Israel Kenny
To 28 weeks board from June 5 to December 16 1885 $1.12,00 To 14 weeks board from June 8 to Sep 14 1885 56 $1.68.00
To cash 81 00
Balance due $89,00

State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } ss
Israel Kenny }
vs }
Edgar Fuller }

The plaintiff Israel Kenny being duly sworn upon oath says that the claim in this action against the defendant is for money due on an account for board and lodging furnished defendant a bill of particulars of which is filed with this complaint that the claim is just and that he believes he ought to recover eighty seven $89 Dollars that the defendant is a non resident of this State and that defendant is secretly leaving the state

Cont on page 299

with intent to cheat one defraud his creditors and that said defendant is removing and attempting to remove his property subject to execution material part thereof out of this State not leaving enough there in to satisfy the plaintiff claim as plaintiff is informed and believes

Isreal Kenny

Subscribed and sworen to before me this twenty ninth day of December 1885

John M. Summers
Notary Publ

State of Indiana
DeKalb County } ss
Isreal Kenny
vs
Edgar Fuller

We undertake that the plaintiff Isreal Kenny will duly prosecute his proceedings in all in this action and will pay to the defendants Edgar Fuller all claims & costs which may be sustained be him if the proceedings of the plaintiff shall be wrongful and oppressive

Dec 29th 1885

Isreal Kenny
Johnson Somers
J. H. Clifford

Issued the Summons the 31 day of December 1885 and delivered to G. G. Fisk deputy constable of union township returnable the 11 day of January 1886 have served this writ by reading to and within the hearing of Edgar Fuller served 25
Milage 80 return 10 R. J. Fisk by
G. G. Fisk deputy Constable

Issued a writ of attachment the 31 day of December 1885 and delivered to G. G. Fisk. Have searched for property and there is none to be found. Milage 80 Return 10
G. G. Fisk Deputy Constable

Judgment

And now, to wit, on the day and at the time set for the trial of this cause, as above stated, comes the plaintiff; but the defendant, though three times called, comes not, but makes default, and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof, it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of seventy ~~one~~ 71 Dollars. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of seventy 71 ~~one~~ $ 71 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit.

Transcript.

State of Georgia
DeKalb County

Before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in and for Smithfie

A. J. Sorrington
vs
William Breand

January 4 1886 the plaintiff filed the following

William Breand to
A. J. Sorrington

July 8th 1876	to per-scription mes	75
Novembe 7th	used perscription	2 00
" "	" " "	2 00
Decem 12 "	" " "	2 00
January 4th 1878	to perscription mes	75
febuary 22 1882	to call and perscription	1 00
24 "	" " " "	1 00
march 4 "	" " " "	1 00
" 8	to consultation	5 00
		$15 50

Constable fee 1.35
Received my fee in full on the above judgment Solomon Smith

issued a Summons the 14 Day of January 1886 and delivered Solomon Smith Special Constable redeemable the 9 Day of January 1886 at nine oclock in the forenoon to serve the 5 Day January 1886 Served this Writ by reading to the defendant herein Served 25 Mileby 1 00 return 10 Solomon Smith Special Constable

Received my fee in full on this 5 day of January Solomon Smith C. C. Walker J. P.

Judgment

And now to wit on the day at the hour set for the Trial of this cause as we stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default

Entered 3 Day of May 1886

J. J. Walker J. P.

Defendants.

EXECUTION

Given this the 5th day of January 1886

R. J. Stark

Judgment

And now, to wit, on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause, as above stated, comes the plaintiff; but the defendant, though three times called, comes not, but makes default, and it [illegible] that he is [illegible] duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial herein, it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of seventy ~~one~~ 71 dollars. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of seventy 71 ~~one~~ 71 dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit.

R. J. Sisk

Received 3 day of May 1886.
Satisfied in Full
R J Sisk
Constable

REMARKS.

Cost 24

Justice of the Peace 24

State of Georgia
DeKalb County

before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in and for said county

A. J. Ferrington
vs
William Brand

January 4 1886 the plaintiff filed the following account

William Brand to A. J. Ferrington

July 8th 1876	to persecution mrs	75
November 9th	used persecution	2 00
" "	" "	2 00
Decem 12 "	" " "	2 00
January 4th 1878	to persecution mrs	75
February 22 1882	to call and persecution	1 00
24 "	" " " "	1 00
March 4 "	" " " "	1 00
" 8	to consultation	5 00
		$15 50

Constables fee 1 35
Received my fees in full on the above judgment
Solomon Fink

issued a Summons the 4 Day of January 1886 and delivered Solomon Fink Special Constable redeemable the 9 Day of January 1886 at nine o'clock in the forenoon came to hand the 4 Day January 1886 Served this Writ by reading to the defendant herein Served 25 Mileage 100 return 10 Solomon Fink Special Constable

Received my fees in full on the above judgment
Solomon Fink

Judgment

Returned 3 day of May 1886 satisfy in full
Solomon Fink Constable

[illegible] day at the hour set for the trial of this cause as we stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appears that he has been duely served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence issues said demand at the sum of $15 50 Dollars it is therefore that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum $15.50 Dollars so assessed as foresaid together with cost of this suit — Eli Walker J. J.

State of Indiana DeKalb County Ind.

[illegible]

makes sworn and answer generally [illegible]

[illegible] Jacob Isaacs

[illegible]

[illegible] Kettelier

[illegible]			[illegible]
[illegible]	"	Red Gloves	135
[illegible]		1 Hat	75
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]		1 Pair Shoes	[illegible]
[illegible]	"	Cap & Hood	162
[illegible]	"	Rubber [illegible]	15
Jan 21	166	1 Pair Shoes	250
Feb 16	"	Calico, 40 Bright and 168 Shirting 80	288
March 1	"	1 Pair Shoes	225
[illegible]	"	[illegible]	[illegible]
June 4	"	4 Pair Shoes	55
" 21	"	Muslin 117 Pants cloth 56	173
July 5	"	2 Pair [illegible] 171 [illegible]	69
" 19		[illegible]	73
[illegible]		1 Coat	3
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]		[illegible] 97 [illegible] 90 [illegible] 84	[illegible]
[illegible]		Rubber Boots	21
Oct 3	1[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
Sept 26	"	2 Pair Shoes	400
			[illegible]

Amount Brot up $6690

Sept
Dec 5th 1885 Check $272
Jan 12 1886 Oats of Buckle 658 930
$5960

Feb 1st 1886 Issued summons in this to appear before
Justice Smith on Feb 5th at 9 o'clock. Returned same day endorsed
as follows came to hand [illegible]

Feb 5th 1886 Now at the time set for trial Plaintiff
[illegible]

Costs for
Fees 25
Mileage 100
Return 10
Justice fees
Summons 25
Judgment 25
docketed 50
trial 100
transcript 100
oath 5
285
28
$1.60

[illegible]

Received a transcript February 11th 1886
E. L. Walker J. P.

State of Indiana } Before E. H. Walker a Justice of
Dekalb County ss } the Peace in and for Smith township

Constables fees 1.35
Received my fees in full
Solomon Link

Elias Zimmerman
Frank F Zimmerman
vs
William S Brand
George W Brand } Complaint

Filed plaintiff Elias Zimmerman and Frank F Zimmerman complains of William S Brand and George W Brand and says that the defendant on the 26 day of July 1884 a copy of which is filed hereinwith promised to pay to the plaintiff who are in the firm name of Zimmerman Co) in their firm name thirty two and 32/100 Dollars at Farmers Bank Auburn Indiana with eight per cent interest from date until paid and attorneys fees Plaintiff further says that said note is wholly due and wholly unpaid and that the attorney fee for collecting the same is Worth seven Dollars Wherefore plaintiff demands Judgment for Forty Five Dollars and other proper relief

John W Boyle Plaintiff Atty

Copy of Note

$32.32 July 26th 1884 days after date we promised to pay to the order of Zimmerman Co Auburn Indiana Thirty two and thirty six cents Dollars Negotiable and payable at Farmers Bank Auburn Indiana for value received Without any relief from valuation or Appraisement Laws with 8 per cent interest from date until paid and attorney fees.

William S Brand
George W Brand

Issued this Writ the 8 Day of February 1886 and delivered to Solomon Link Constable Returnable the 12 Day of February 1886

Served this Writ by reading to and within defendant having this 8 Day of February 1886 Served 25 Mileage 120 return 10 Solomon Link

Special Constable

JUSTICES EXECUTION—INDIANA. Sold by WILLIS & CO., Stationers, Waterloo.

STATE OF INDIANA, } ss.
DeKalb COUNTY.

To any Constable of said County:

Whereas, on the 12 day of February A. D. 1886 Elias Smeerman and Frank Smeerman obtained Judgment against William A Brand and George W Brand before me, Eli Walker a Justice of the Peace of Smith Township, as appears on record on a docket now in my possession, for Fourty Three 843 Dollars and Thirty five 35 Cents, with Interest from the 12 day of February 1886 together with Three 3 Dollars and 30 Cents, for Costs.

You are Therefore Commanded to collect the amount of said Judgment, with Costs, increase Costs and Interest, out of the personal property of the said without relief to said Defendant from Valuation and Appraisement Laws, and make legal service and due return of this Execution, and a Certificate thereon, showing the manner in which you have executed the same, within six Months from date.

Given under my Hand this 23 day of February A. D. 1886

Eli Walker
Justice of the Peace. cost 2

J. P. EXECUTION. WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana DeKalb County, SS.

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, Elias Smeerman Frank Smeerman obtained judgment against William A Brand and George W Brand, as appears on my docket, for fifty three dollars and thirty five cents, with interest from the 12 day of February 1886 together with costs, taxed at 6 30, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. And whereas, on the 27 day of April 1886 Eli Stafer became replevin bail for the stay of execution on said judgment, for 1,50 days from the rendition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said William A Brand and George W Brand if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, then, without delay, levy this Execution upon the goods of said Eli Stafer, replevin bail, and sell the same as you are directed to sell the goods of the original judgment defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 12 day of August 1886

Eli Walker J. P. (L.S.)

304

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker
Dekalb County } "

Constable
fees
Reginald
pers in
Solomon

Justice of the Peace.

REMARKS.

Constable.

Defendants

vs.

Plaintiffs.

Execution.

Plaintiff Atty.

Returned day
and return Equity
Dekalb Co. Ind.
Sept 8th 1884
D. Stirk
By Stirk

By Stirk
Received this 8th day of
and examined the Papers
the Defendants
But found no
on file
Witness my hand
Dekalb Co. Ind.
D. Stirk

and now to wit on the ~~[illegible]~~ day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as aforesaid stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial herein it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $43,34 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $43,34 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

Eli Walker J J

issued execution this 23 Day of February 1886 and delivered to R J Fike Constable

I Eli Alper hereby [illegible] my [illegible] Mellman Bank for the sum of [illegible] on this date July 1886 for One Hundred fifty Dollars from the [illegible] thereof

Eli Alper

Witness my hand this 27 Day of July 1886

Eli Walker J J

July 3 1886
Received of William J Brand Seven Dollars in the case Judgment

Eli Walker J J

July 27 1886
Received ~~on the case~~ of William J Brand twenty five Dollars

Eli Walker J J

Received the case Judgment in full of William Brand

Eli Walker J J

By Fike

Constable fees $1.35

Waterloo Ind Feby 5 1886

Joshua Lew Co Bought of Lazarus Stein & Rothschild
Received of Hiram Brand Dellrs in
my fees in general merchandise and country produce
full of all 1882 $4 25

Eli Walker " 27	35 3/4 yards Carpet	16 07
J S May 13	Calico muslin & lace	2 24
	Boots	3 50
	Hats	50
July 1	Hat 2.50 Hat 2.5 Roching .25 prints .75 calico .20	3 95
11	Dry goods	87
"	Cash	5 00
Dec 9	Rubber Boots 2.50 Shoes 2.00 Rubbers .40 pr others .400	8 90
July 6 1883	Owls Coats	12 00
" "	Rubber	45
April 9	28 y Shirting .84 8 y pants cloth .200	5 84
" "	8 " pants cloth .200 22 Shirting .200	5 00
" "	6 " Shirting .60 16 y Dress Band .20	3 40
" "	52 " muslin	3 12
" "	" Shoes	50
October 18	2 pr Boots	8 00
Sept 21	Dress	7 75
Dec 22	Suit Caps	7 75
" "	2 p Owls Shoes	2 50
March 13 1884	Bags	75
April 14	1 p Boots	8 25
24	Oil Cloth	1 60
" 29	6 yards Flannel	3 30
Dec 20	Coat's Cap	8 10
May 22	Balance on Bags Suit	11.40
June 21	Cash	10 00
May 1 1885	Cash	10 00
June 22	"	5 13
Dec 15		50
" 20	Cash	5 00
June 15 1885	" By Rothschild	50 00
" 18	Wood	14 40
October 10	Wheat	37 76

Received in full on the Bane Case
Lazarus & Rothschild

Received my fees in full on the Bane Case
Solomon Link A Special Constable

State of indiana
Dekalb County ss

Before Eli Walker Justice of the Peace in and for Smith township Dekalb County State of indiana

philey Albright
vs
Henry Heal
Harum Brand

Constables fees 185
Received my fees in full
Solomon Lint
Special Constable

on the 8 Day of febrary 1884 the plaintiff filed the fallow Note

Copy of Note

Feb 21 1880

fial month After date We promised to pay to the Order of philey Albright Fourt 4 00/100 Dallars payable at valo Received without ability from set off or appraisement Laws With interest payable annually from

Justice fees

no Interest if not paide When due it not a fees clerk

Received on the within ten dollars
March 13 87
R L Little Const

Recd on the within two dollars & 55/100 ($2.55)
Mch 29/86

EXECUTION.

This Writ came to hand Feb 23rd 1884
March 1st 1884
Received the Defendants & delivered Property to sal 1886
Copy the Within Writ and do take the money on
Cost
Miles 10
Levies 20

Constable

Defendants.

al Stated come the plantiff but defandant though thrill times called nels not but makes default and it eiing that he has been duly sivilled h praals mor the thrill days sual time of the trialheiaf it is therlfore sider that the plantiff Ought to recover st him his demand aforsaid and cost after having the lidenel assessed demand at the sum of 7 38/100 Dallars is therlfore Considered that the plaintiff recovered of the defandend the sum 7 38/100 Dallars so assessed as aforsaid wgether With the costs of this suit

Eli Walker J P

Received of Eli Walker J P Seven 4 38/100 on Judgment
Collection
Henry Heal
Defendant

Constable fees $4.45

Waterloo Ind Feby 5 1886

Justice fees 6.

Received of Hiram Brand Dollars in my fees in full

Bought of Lauenstein & Rothschild in general merchandise and country produce

	April 1/82		$4 20
Eli Walker	" 27	35 3/4 yards Carpet	16 07
J J	May 12	Calico muslin & lace	2 24
		Boots	3 50
		Hats	50
	July 1	Hat 250 Hat 25 Rocking 25 prints 75 calico 20	3 95
	11	Dry goods	87
	"	Cash	5 00
	Dec 9	Rubber Boots 250 Shals 200 Rubbers 40 2 pr others 400	8 90
	July 6 '83	Oils Coats	12 00
	" "	Rubber	45
	April 9	23 y Shirting 384 8 y pants cloth 200	5 84
	" "	8 " pants Cloth 300 22 Shirting 200	5 00
	"	6 " Shirting 60 36 y Dress [illegible] 100	
	" "	32 " [illegible]	
	" "	"	
	October 15	2 p	
	Sept 21	4	
	Dec 22	—	
	" "	2 p	
	March 13/84		
	April 14	1 p	
	24	6	
	" 29	6 ya	
	Dec 20	bo	
	May 22	Bale	11.40
	June 21	Cash	10 00
	May 1/82	Cash	10 00
	June 22	"	5 13
	Dec 15		50
	" 20	Cash	5 00
	June 15/85	" By Beechbild	50 00
	" 18	Wood	14 40
	October 10	Wheat	39 76

Received in full on the Bear - Cos
Lauenstine & Rothschild

Received my fees in full on the bear Coil
Solomon Link A Special Constable

REMARKS

State of indiana } Be fore Eli Walker Justice
Dekalb County } of the Peace in and for smith
Township Dekalb County Ind

philip Albright of indiana
vs
Henry Heal
Hiram Brand

Constables fees 1.85
Received my fees in full
Solomon Link
Special Constable

on the 8 Day of february 1886
the plaintiff filed the follow Note

Copy of Note
Feb 21 1880
five month After date We promised to pay to the
Order of philip Albright Four 95/100 Dollars for
value Received without off from date on as Appraisement
Saws With interest payable annually from
Justice fees no Interest if not paid When due if not paid interest
from date
Henry Heal
Hiram Brand

Issued a Sommon the 8 day of February 1886
and delivered to Solomon Link Special Constable
Came to hand the 8 day of february 1886
Served this Writ by leaving a copy at the
defant Henry Heal and leaving to the defendent
Hiram Brand this 8 day of february 1886
Serval 25 Copy 25 Mileage 62½ Return 10
Solomon Link a Special Constable

[illegible] not to wait on the day and at the
[illegible] for the trial of this cause as
[illegible] Stated com[illegible] the plantiff but
defandant though three times called
[illegible] not but makes default and it
[illegible] that he has been duly served
[illegible] more the three days before
[illegible] time of the trial herein it is therefore
[illegible] that the plantiff Ought to recover
[illegible] him his demand aforesaid and
[illegible] after hearing the evidence assessed
[illegible] demand at the sum of 7 38/100 Dollars
[illegible] therefore [illegible] that the plaintiff
recovered of the defandent the sum
7 38/100 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid
[illegible] With the costs of this suit
Eli Walker J P

Received of Eli Walker J. P. (3)
Seven & 38/100 Dollars on Judgment
Collection against the within
Henry Heal
Hiram Brand
Commission & Postage

Constable fees $4.25

Wallsburg Utah Feby 5 1886

Justice fees 6 Bought of Sachenstein & Rothschild
Received on Steam Brand Dellas in
my fees in general merchandise and country produce
full Oct. 1 1882 $4 25
G. Miller " 27 3 3/4 yards Carpet 16 07
J J May 12 Calico muslin & lace 2 24
Boots 3 50
Hats 50
July 1 Hat 250 Hat 25 Rocking 25 prints 75 calico 20 3 95
11 Dry goods 87
" " Cash 5 00
Dec 9 Rubber Boots 250 Shoes 200 Rubbers 40 2 pr others 400 8 90
July 6 '82 Oils Coats 12 00
" " Rubber 45
April 9 22 y Shirting 384 8 y pants cloth 200 5 84
" " 8 " pants cloth 300 22 Shirting 200 5 00
" " 6 " Shirting 6 56 y [illegible] 1 20
" " 52 " must
" " "
October 18 2 p
Sept 21
Dec 22
" " 2 p.
March 13/84
April 14 1 p
24
" 29 6 yr
Dec 20 Co
May 22 Rule
June 21 Cor 10 00

May 1/82 Cash 10 00
June 22 " 5 13
Dec 15 50
" 20 Cash 5 00
June 15/85 " By Rothschild 50 00
" 18 Wood 14 40
October 10 Wheat 37 76

Received in full on the Bear Cor
Sachenstein & Rothschild
Received my fees in full on the Bear Cor
Solomon Link A Special Constable

State of indiana } ss. Before Eli Walker Justice
Dekalb County } of the Peace in and for Smith
Township Dekalb County State
of indiana

philip Albright
vs
Henry Heal
Hiram Brand

Constables fee 1.85
Received my fees in full
Solomon Link
Special Constable

On this 8 Day of February 1886
the plaintiff filed the follow Note

Copy of Note
Feb 21 1886
fial month After date We promised to pay to the
Order of philip Albright Four 9 80/100 Dollars payable at
value Received without relief from valuation or Appraisement
Laws With interest payable Annually from
no Interest if not paid When due if not so paid then
from date
Henry Heal
Hiram Brand

Justice fees

Issued a Sommon the 8 day of February 1886
and delivered to Solman Link Special Constable
Came to hand the 8 day of February 1886
Served this Writ by leaving a copy at the
defant Henry Heal and leaving to the defendent
Hiram Brand this 8 day of February 1886
Serval 25 Copy 25 Milage 6 25 Return 10
Solman Link a Special Constable

And now to wit on the day and at the
hour set for the trial of this cause as
above stated come the plantiff but
the defendant though thrill times called
Came not but makes default and it
appearing that he has been duly served
with process more the three days before
the time of the trial herein of it is therefore
considered that the plantiff Caught to recover
against him his demand aforesaid and
the cort after hearing the evidence assessed
said demand at the sum of 7 38/100 Dollars
it is therefore considered that the plaintiff
recovered of the defendent the sum
of $7 38/100 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid
togther With the costs of this Suit
Eli Walker J P

Received my fee in full
Eli Walker
J P

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker
DeKalb County } a Justice of the peace
in and for Smithfie
township

Loenenstein and
Rothschild
vs
Peter Abe Entesh } this plaintiff files
the following account

Waterloo Ind February 18 1886
Abe Peter Abe Entesh to in account
with Loenenstein and Rothschild
general Dealers in Dry goods clath
this account must be settle in
ten Day

Dec 5 1882	1 pr	Gloves	1.75
Jan 5 1883	1 "	" "	1.50
" 31 "		Corsets	100
March 29 1		Boots	4 60
June 13		Pants an Hat	7 25

issued this Writ 23 Day of febu
and Delivered to Salomon Link
special Constables returnable th
Day of February 1886
Summons returned Served this
Writ by leaving Copy at the
defendant resident resident
the 23 Day of February 1886 Copy 2
Mileage 85 Fees 10
Salomon Link a Special Constab
~~February~~ 27 Day of February 1886
this miss the Case at the
Constable Cost

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a justice of the
Dekalb County } Peace in and for Smithfield township

$11.77

W. Connick Harvesting Machine Company

vs

Constable fees 1.60 — William J. Brand
George W. Brand

Received — The plaintiff complains of the defendant and says that on 18th day of July [illegible] this note a copy of which [illegible] plaintiff seventy- [illegible] first from [illegible] per cent per [illegible] and with temp[illegible] days that [illegible] wholly unpaid. [illegible] of One [illegible]

[illegible] after Plaintiff Atty.

Waterloo, Ind., February 18 1886

STATEMENT.

W. & R. McCulloch & Co.

In Account with LOEWENSTEIN & ROTHSCHILD.

GENERAL DEALERS IN DRY GOODS, CLOTHING, ETC.

THIS ACCOUNT MUST BE SETTLED IN TEN DAYS.

Nov 27	1 ½ Gloves	1.75
Jany 23	1 " "	1.50
" 31	1 " Gerallo	1.00
March 27	1 " Boots	4.50
June 13	Paid Notes	7.25
		$16.00

1.25
1.50
5

J. P. SUMMONS.

Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

STATE OF INDIANA Dekalb COUNTY, SS:

Solomon Imk of Special

~~Town~~ CONSTABLE OF Smithfield TOWNSHIP:

You are commanded to summon Peter ellc Endash

to appear before me at my office, on the 27 day of February 1886

at 9 o'clock, in the forenoon, to answer Loewenstein

and Rothschild in a complaint wherein he claims the sum

of twenty dollars and — cents

and return then and there this writ.

Witness my hand and seal, this 23 day of February 1886

Eli Walker J. P. L.S.

[illegible] not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the [illegible]

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker
Dekalb County } a Justice of the peace
in and for Smithfield
Township

Wogenstein and
Rothschild
vs
Peter McEntarth } the plaintiff filed
the following account

Pet McEntarth

filed in my office
the 23 day of January 1886
Eli Walker J P

Docket Page

vs.

Demand	$16 00
Justice's Costs	.75
Came to hand the 23	
day of January 1886	
Service	$
Copy	.25
Mileage	
Return	.10
	$

Constable.

By Deputy.

Issued the 23 day of January 1886 [illegible]

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a Justice of the
Dekalb County } Peace in and for Smithfield township

$11.77

W Connick Harvesting Machine Company

vs

Constables fees 1.60 | William D Brand
George W Brand

Received my fees in full Solomon Link

The plaintiff complains of the defendant and says that on 17th day of July 1883 the defendant by this note a copy of which is filed herewith promised to pay the plaintiff seventy-five Dollars with interest at six per cent from October 17th 1883 until due and eight per cent per annum after maturity until paid and with ten per cent attorney fees. Plaintiff further says that said note is wholly due and remains wholly unpaid. Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment of one hundred Dollars

John W Boyer Plaintiff Atty.

Copy of note.

$75.00/100 Auburn Ind July 17 1883

On or before the first day of October 1883 for value received I promise to pay W Connick Harvesting machine Company or order at Farmers Bank of Auburn seventy-five Dollars with interest at six per cent from Oct 17th 1883 until due and interest at eight per cent per annum after maturity until paid without relief from valuation or appraisement laws and with ten per cent attorney fee

William D Brand
George W Brand

Issued this Writ the 24 Day of March 1886 and returnable the 3rd Day of April 1886 and delivered to Solomon Link Special Constable Samuel Returned Served this Writ by reading to the defendant herein

And now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the

Fees for judgment 2.10

trial here it is therefore considered that the plantiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid And the Court after hearing the evidence assessed said demand at the sum of 96.25 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 96.25 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit from malection or approvement here

— Eli Walters J.P.

Turn to page 314 for the new Judgment

Constables fees $1.35
April 3rd 1886
Served the within bill on Simon Tint

State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } Before Eli Walters J.P.
I the minerd } a Justice of the peace
vs. } in and for Smithfield
George W. Breund } the plantiff filed the following Note

Justice fees

Cost of Note
$4.28/100 Waterloo April 14 1879
One Day after i promise to pay to the order of N. Minerd
Four 28/100 Dollars payable at for value
Received Without any set Whatever from malection
or approvement here With ten per cent interest from
until date and Attorney fees
George W Breund

issued this Writ the 27 Day of March 1886 returnable the 3 Day of April 1886 Served this Writ by reading to the defendant herein
— Solomon Link Special Constable

Summons to Wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as about stated came the plantiff but the defendant thrice called came not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial herein it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the Court after hearing the evidence assess said demand at the sum of 70.5 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plantiff recover of the defendant the sum of 70.5 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

Eli Walters J.P.

State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } ss

Royal J Fisk }
vs }
Phinis Hull }
W R Harlst }

The plantiff Royal J Fisk Complains of Phinis Hull and W R Harlst defendants and says that on the 1st day of November 1884 this executed there promisory Note to one George W Reed for thirteen and 50/100 Dollars which Note was assigned to Royal J Fisk said Note to draw 6 per cent interest from date until paid and Attorneys fees which is well worth Seven Dollars a Copy of which is filed herewith and made a part hereof Wherefore the plantiff demands judgment for Twenty Seven Dollars and all other proper relief

Constable fees $1.65

Received my fees in full on the above case
Solomon Fink

Copy of Note

$13.50/100 Winchester 1st 1884

One year after date We promised to pay to the order of George W Reed 13.50/100 thirteen and fifty hundredths Dollars at Citizens Bank Waterloo Ind Value received Without any relief from Valuation or appraisements laws. With six per cent interest from date until paid and Attorney fee

No 1
Due Nov 1 1885

Phinis Hull
W R Harlert

Royal J Fisk plaintiff
vs
Phinis Hull & W R Harlert defendants

Received this Writ the 30 Day of March 1886 and delivered to Solomon Fink Special Constable, Served this Writ by reading to and in the defendants Solomon Fink Constable

April 7th 1886

Received of William R Forest Eighty Nine Dollars and ninety cents in full on the Case

Eli Wells J. P.

Royal J Fisk

Received my fees as Witness in the Judgment

State of Indiana DeKalb County SS

Julius L. Jeffords vs Solomon Brandabery } In justice court before Eli Walker a justice of the peace in and for Smithfield

DeKalb County State of Indiana

Eli Walker J.P. Julius L. Jeffords Complains of Solomon Brandabery

Fee & Summons	25	and says that the defendant is indebted to him
Swearing Milly	15	in the sum of Fifty two Dollars for work and labor
3 Subpoenas	75	done and performed for the defendant at his request
Continuance	20	as by a bill of particulars filed herewith and made
Trial	1 00	part hereof. Which sum is now due and unpaid
Venire	25	Wherefore the plaintiff demands judgment for
Swearing Jury	10	fifty two Dollars and all other proper relief
Making Docket	100	plaintiff

C M Filipps attorney for

Bill for particulars

July 25 1886

Received my fees as Jury in full

Salman Brandabery to Julius L. Jeffords

April 14 the 1886 for Labor to wit

at one Dollars per week $52 00

C M Filipps

John T. Fisk and William Fisk

[illegible] in [illegible] and demand to be [illegible] the 20 day [illegible] 1886 continue this [illegible] by agreement of the atty [illegible] April 1886

Received my fees in full

Mr. Kennedy

Solomon Link Constable [illegible] Sacabenia at the plaintiff's [illegible] 1 20 [illegible] mary of Fink Daniel

Fees 3 00 [illegible] Daniel Royer John Royer

Received my fees in full and Witness

[illegible] Royer Cornell Daniel [illegible] Witness

April 22 1886 I issued subpoena at the request of the defendant for the following witnesses

Solomon Link

Fees 1 3 1886

Received my fees as Witness in full

William Boyer Jonathan Butler Cyrus Boyer Frederick Hollopeter Daniel Books delivered the cause to Solomon Link Constable which writ was returned by him indorsed as follows to wit

Mary A Fink

Fees 91 80

Served this writ by reading to and within hearing of named witnesses April 22 1886 service 1,00 Milage 1,80 Return 10 Service 25 Milage 20 Return 10 = 94 05

Received my fees as Witness in full

Solomon Link Constable April 19 1886 served this by reading to and within hearing of Witnesses service 25 Milage 60 Return 10 Solomon Link Constable

Witness Fees

Nora Rogers and Daniel Royer

April 17 1886 served this writ by reading to and within hearing of the witnesses service 1,25 milage 2,60 return 10 = 3,95 Solomon Link Constable

April 20 1886 At the request of defendant I issued a Venire and delivered the same to the Constable Solomon Link

Continued on Page 316

Aurora Fiske which was returned endorsed as follows: served by
James H Laubead reading to the ~~following~~ named persons John Jenkins
1,20 James H Laubead William E Fiske William Kennedy John
Fiske John Rohm George Walker John Clark John Leas
William E Fiske+ John Kiplinger D. Kelley Cyrus Bowman Service
1,10 Milage Solomon Link Costs.
Now on the day and at the hour set on continuance
William Kennedy for the trial of this cause came the parties in
1,10 person and plaintiff by C M Phillips his Attorney and
defendant by John M Somers his Attorney
John Fiske+ The Jury was impanneled and John Jenkins
1,10 being objected by agreement the parties pro-
ceeded to trial to a panel of eleven men
John Rohm The following witnesses were sworn for plaintiff
0,55 who each testified in the cause Mary Link Solomon
Link D. Jeffords Daniel Rogers John Rogers Nora
George Walker Rogers Mattie Rogers " The following witnesses
1,10 were sworn for the defendant, who each testified
in the cause William Jones Jonathan Chutter
John Clark+ Cyrus Boyer Frederick Schlosser Daniel Rooks
1,10 during the trial at the calling the panel of the Jury
after recess for dinner John Rohm being absent by
John Leas 1,10 agreement the parties proceeded to the trial without
D Kelly 1,10+ said John Rohm At the conclusion of introduction
of testimony the Atty. for defendant submitted to
John Kiplinger the Jury the following Interrogatories to wit:
1,15 State of Indiana DeKalb County
Julia L Jeffords
Cyrus Bowman vs.
1,10 Solomon Brandeberry
Said defendant asks the Court
Milisch Fiske to require the Jury to answer the following interrog-
Mary Link 1,20+ atories in the event they find a general verdict;
J Link 1,10+ John M Somers Attorney for defendant;
Samuel Kenedy 1st Was the plaintiff proven the kind of contract
1,30 alleged in her complaint Answer yes
Mary Morgan 2nd Was not plaintiff proven an express contract
1,30 Answer yes.
Daniel Rodgers 3rd Does not the complaint allege an implied contract
1,30+ Answer No.
Jno. Rodgers 4th Did not plaintiff and defendant settle on the
1,00 14th day of June 1886 Answer No.
Nora Rodgers 5th Did not defendant then tell her that she need not
1,20 stay any longer Answer No.
Lum L. Jay 6th Did not defendant tell her at that time that he would
3,16 not pay her any more Ans No John Leas Bowman
carried to Page 316

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss
John Meeks } in Justice Court before
vs } Eli Walker a Justice of the
Daniel Hartleman } the peace in and for Smith
Fredal Township State of

Constables fees $1.70

John Meeks complains of the defendant and says that he is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of ten Dollars for money loaned and received plaintiff for goods sold and delivered to the defendant at his request shown by bill of particulars filed herewith and made a part hereof which sum is now due and unpaid Wherefore he demands judgment for ten Dollars and all proper Relief C N Phelps Atty for

Received in full on the within Judgment Solomon Fink Constable

Justice fee trial 10c
Summons 25
Subpoena 25
Swearing witness 25
Jacket 25
Judgment 25
$2.25

Bill of particular Daniel Hartleman to John Meeks 1884 1 Sort Barlos $3.00
1885 2 Cash .60
$3.60

C N Phelps Atty for Plaintiff

Received this Writ the 15 Day of April 1886 and delivered to Solomon Fink Constable returnable the 21 Day of April 1886 Summons returned Done to stand the 22 Day of April 1886 Served this Writ by reading to and in the defendant hereinafter Served 25 miles by Returns Solomon Fink Constable

Witness fees William Meeks $1.40
Grant Hartleman $1.40
Levi Hartleman $1.40

Continued this cause until the 29 Day of April 1886 at 4 o'clock in the after noon

Subpoena at plaintiff's request fourth Writ and delivered to Solomon Fink Constable who returned served this Writ by reading to and in the defendant Wherein Witness serving served 25 miles NO Return to Solomon Fink Constable

Bill 9.55

Served my fees in full
Fees in full
$1.00

~~On the Day and at~~
On the Day and at and the near parties appeared for trial Whereupon after hearing their proof and allegations it is adjudged that the Judgment rendered in favor of plaintiff

turned to page 315

is and ought to be entered satisfied and that said David Hostetler recover of John Meeks the costs of this suit
With accruing costs
Eli Walker J P

Judgment & general William Brand
on page 309 & 310

I Eli Japer hereby acknowledge myself replevin Bail for the stay of execution on the four judgments One hundred and Eighty Days from the rendition thereof
Eli Japer
Witness my hand this 26 Day of April 1886

September 24 1886
Received on the over Judgment Ninty Eight Dollars
Eli Walker J P

issue an execution the 8 Day of November 1886 and delivered to S. Link

Received on the over judgment in full
John Baxter atty for plaintiff

Lutz Fudge — Julia L Jeffords — 1886
1.70 — vs
William Jones — Solomon Brandabery
1.60

We The Jury find for the plaintiff and assess her damages at Thirty-eight dollars
John Leach Foreman

James Wade
1.20

After the Jury had returned the verdict and answered the interrogatories submitted as indicated they were discharged all the parties being present.

Sydney Bowen
1.60

William Jones
1.60

~~The Attorney for defendant then offered as his Motion for a new trial the following reasons the court having overruled the motion the case was set for Hearing at nine o'clock A.M.~~

fred. Lewis
1.00

James Fox
1.25

Jan 25

Jan Jeffords
1.20

Therefore considered ~~the Matter of~~ and adjudged by the court that in accordance with the verdict of said jury the plaintiff recover of and from the defendant the sum Thirty-eight dollars & gather with her costs and accruing costs. The defendant moved the court for a new trial which motion is overruled

Eli Walker J.P.

Received my fees as Witness on the above Jadgment in full
John T. Rogers and his Wife
Stata Rogers

Daniel H. Hamman hereby acknowledges myself replevien Bale for the Stay of execution on the above Jadgment for One Hundred and twenty Days from the rendition thereof

Witness my hand ~~and~~ this 1 Day of May 1886

Daniel H. Hamman

Eli Walker J.P.

Received my fees as Witness on the above Jadgment
Lucy Walker

Jany 24 1886
Received of Daniell Hamman One Hundred and five Dollars and fifteen cents in full of the above Jadgment

Eli Walker J.P.

Received my fees in full as Witness.
Priscilla Rylotte

August 9 1886 Received on the above Jadgment in full

Jelicia X Jeffords
mark

Received my fees in full as Witness on the above Jadgment
Anthony X Boy
mark

September 4 1886 Received my fees in full as Witness in the above case

John Rohne

Received my fees in full
Jones Brothers

April 26th 1886

Be it remembered that ~~the~~ on the 26th day of April 1886 the following transcript was filed in my office by Oliver P. Smith a justice of the peace in and for Union Township, DeKalb county, and State of Indiana: State of Indiana)
DeKalb county) S.S.

Be it remembered that on the 17th day of April 1886 the following complaint was filed in my office to wit:

The State of Indiana)
vs) Before Oliver P. Smith a
Frank Darby) justice of the peace in and
Jerd Mozy) for Union township, DeKalb county
) Charles Barlett William
H. le Frances W. F. Shaver Alexander Zimmerman
W. B. Fleming William T. Patterson Daniel Overholtzer Simon P. Stroh G. D. Dennison being
duly sworn on oath say: that as they are informed and verily believe Frank Darby and Jerd Mozy, being male persons did on the 17th day of April 1886 at the county of DeKalb and State of Indiana at the house of one Nancy Hite situated on Washington street of Waterloo said county and at divers other times and places frequent houses of ill fame and did then and there associate with females known and reputed as prostitutes and did with them stay, room and feed, which facts are informed and believe and contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Indiana.
Signed Charles Barlett W. F. le Frances Alexander Zimmerman Wm B. Fleming Wm T. Patterson Simon P. Stroh Daniel Overholtzer G. D. Dennison
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17th day of April 1886 Oliver P. Smith J. P.

April 17th 1886 Thereupon I issued a warrant for the arrest of the above named defendants and delivered the same to John Moors constable which was returned executed as follows April 24th 1886. Arrested Frank Darby and then escaped by running away Fees in all 25 cents mileage 5 cents return 10 cents = 85 cents Signed John Moors constable April 26 The defendant Frank Darby with his counsel appeared in court and the deputy prosecuting attorney having been notified appeared for the State

and the defendant Frank Darby filed an affidavit for a change of venue from the township which was granted and the cause as to him was sent to Eli Walker a justice of the peace of Smithfield township in said county and the trial thereof was set for Monday the 26th day of April 1886 at six o'clock P.M. and the defendant was recognized to appear at said time

Oliver P Smith J P

J. Shiefes	~~25~~ 50
said int	25
Warrant	25
judgment	25
Appearance bond	25
Docket fee	50
Transcript	50
Certificate	25
Transmitting	25
	250

Constable fees
In warrant 50
Total amount of costs $3.35

I Oliver P Smith a justice of the peace in Lawrence township Pulaski county Indiana certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had before me in the above entitled cause as the same appears of record on my docket Witness my hand and seal this 26th day of April 1886 Signed Oliver P Smith J P [seal]

April 26th 1886 Did now come the defendant Frank Darby with John M Summers and Le M Phillips as his counsel and Don Garwood appearing in behalf of the State and it not appearing from the evidence on the part of the State that the defendant was guilty as charged in the affidavit and the court finding no cause to detain him to appear in the Pulaski circuit court the defendant was therefore discharged without day by the court

Eli Walker J P [seal]

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a
DeKalb County } Justice of the peace
} in and for Smithfield
William Fitler } township
vs
Alice Brand }
William Brand }

On the 26 day of April 1886
the plaintiff filed in affidavit in
Clerks office

$ 35 Interest on January 1st 1888
six months after date Wife promised
to pay to the order of William Fitler
thirty five 35 00 Dollars at six per
cent interest from date date waived
receipt Without any relief from
valuation or appraisement laws
To Wit Alice Brand
William Brand

January the 8 1887
Received my fees in full
Solomon Link
Constable

issue a summons this 26 day of
April 1886 and delivered to Solomon
Link Constable returnable the 1
day of May 1886 - Came this Writ
by Solomon Link and within fourteen
days - Solomon Link Summon

this suit this case by agreement
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana DeKalb county } ss
Freeman Kelley } in justice court
vs } before Eli Walker a
George W. Duncan } justice of the peace in
and for Smithfield township

April 7th 1846 Plaintiff filed in my office the following
Freeman Kelley complains of the defendant
and says that on the fifth day of February 1846
the defendant by his promissory note
which is filed herewith and made a part
hereof promised to pay the plaintiff Fifty
nine and 50/100 Dollars twelve month from
said date with and use in paid when due if not
so paid then and and to draw interest at the
ten per cent from date until paid and plaintiff
avers that said note is now due and unpaid
Wherefore he demands judgment for one
hundred and twenty five Dollars and all proper
relief

G W Phillips atty for plf

Plaintiff filed with his complaint the following note
viz $59.50 Smithfield Feb 5th 1846
twelve months after date we promise to
pay to the order of Freeman Kelley Fifty nine
dollars and fifty cents value received without
any relief from valuation or appraisement
laws with interest at ten per cent per annum
signed George W. Duncan and Jesse W. Duncan

Thereupon I issued a summons for the defendant
returnable May 9th 1846 at 7 o'clock P. M. and
delivered the same to a constable of my township
May 21st 1846 Now comes the parties by G. W.
Phillips atty for plaintiff and John M Simmons
for the defendant and the defendant filed his
written demurrer to the complaint for for
defect of parties defendant Demurrer sustained
and leave granted the plaintiff to amend his
complaint Whereupon the plaintiff filed the
following amended complaint

State of Indiana DeKalb county SS
Freeman Kelley } in justice court
vs } before Eli Walker
George W. Duncan } a justice of the peace
in and for Smithfield
township

$ $5.95

Justice fees
Summons 25
Trial 100
Fee Bill 25
Warrant & return 25
Constable fees 125
Witness fees
Miss Kenn 125
Abundanch 125
H. Ryan 125

Freeman S. Ely complains of the defendant and says that on the fifth day of February the defendant by his promissory note which is filed herewith and made part hereof promised to pay the plaintiff [illegible] dollars [illegible] months after date with interest if not paid when due and interest at ten per cent from date until paid. And plaintiff says that said note is now due and unpaid and he claims judgment for one hundred and twenty [illegible] and all proper relief.

C. M. Phillips atty for plaint

Received my fees in full
Frederick Kenn
Salma Kenn
Martha Kenn

And the defendant filed the following answer to the complaint

Freeman Kelley vs George H. Kenn

Before Eli Hallock Justice of the peace of Saint [illegible] township

Received my fees in full
Solomon Sinkey

Now said defendant for answer to plaintiff's complaint says that he admits the execution of the note but says that before the commencement of this action said note was paid and satisfied by one Daniel Kenn who is the principle in said note wherefore defendant demands judgment for his costs

2 Pleas

Said defendant for a second and further answer to plaintiffs complaint says that he admits the execution of the note sued on but says that the note was executed by this defendant as surety for Daniel Kenn who was principle in said note that prior to the commencement of this action the said Daniel Kenn principle as aforesaid fully paid and satisfied said note to the plaintiff wherefore defendant demands judgment for his costs

John H. Summers atty for the defendant

Defendant waived the [illegible] decision for five days by statute and it appearing by the following return on the summons this served this writ on the 17th day of

[illegible] and this the hearing of the defendant of Finch considable that the defendant had been served more than three days and the issue being joined [illegible] witnesses were sworn upon the part of the defendant and Daniel Hearn Morgan, Duncan Holoma Finch and the plaintiff and A Kerr testified upon the part of the plaintiff and after hearing all the evidence adduced upon the part of the defendant and also upon the part of the plaintiffs It is the judgment of the court that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendant the sum of Eighty six dollars and thirty five cent with use according execution and appraisement laws to satisfy entire costs and accruing costs plaintiff Witness $10 transcript $1.25

Eli Walker J P

State of indiana DeKalb county ss

Constables augest c trentman } Before E. Wolfe
135 fees } Justice of the peace
Received my H B R vs Jensen } in and for Smithfield
fees in full } the 2 Day of July 1886
S Link } the plaintiff filed the follow account
Constables } Statement
Justice } Fort Wayne Indiana June 24 1886
$125 fees } H B R Jensen Summit indiana to
augest c trentman Wholesale
ST terms cash 1 56 858 calhoun
1885 DS

Aug	6	to Mdse	266	08	Aug 5	By Cash	230	—
	31	"	24	94	Sept 19	"	24	94
Sept	7	"	17	90	Oct 3	"	17	90
	16	"	79	81	24	"	81	90
	22	"	4	85	Nov 13	"	85	—
	"	"	30	37	18	"	36	34
	28	"	19	63	Dec 5	"	30	—
Oct	2	"	42	91	24	"	60	—
	7	"	11	42	Jan 19/86		2	50
	13	"	4	58	Feb 6	Cash	50	—
	14	"	4	90	April 23	"	45	—
	17	"	32	30	May 21	"	50	—
	21	"	40	93	June 24	"	15	—
Dec	3	"	52	28	" "	Balance	197	91
	"	"	12	88	" "			
	5	"	~~12~~	94				
	27	"	49	48				
	28	"	4	80				
Feb	21/86	"	44	77				
March	11	"	58	88				
April	22	"	31	78				
May	13	"	32	28				
~~June~~	14	"	10	62				
June	3	"	43	16				
			926	49	—		926	49
June	24	" Balance	97	99				

John M Jones atty for plaintiff

issue a summons the 2 Day of July 1886 and delivered S Link Constable returnable the 5 Day of July summons returned served this Writ by leaving

Home page 323

a Copy at the defendand residend
July 2 1886 - Copy 25 mileage 2.55 Summons
10 J. Fink - Constable

Judgment

and now to wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as been studied comes the plaintiff but the defendant thought three times callsed comes not but makes defaulds and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three Days befor the time of the trial herein as it is therefore considered that the plaintiff aught to recover against him his demand aforesaid that and the cort after hearing the evidence arrears and demand at the sum of 1.97.91 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defandant the sum of 1.97.91 Dollars so arrearsd as aforesaid together with the corts of this suit

Eli Walker J P

Ishued an execution on Nov 20th 1888
Delivered to John Coon Constable

Eli Walker J. P.

Execution returned the 17 Day of December 1888 satisfied in full John Coons Constable
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana,
DeKalb County ss.

In Justice Court before Eli Walker
Justice of the Peace in and for
Smithfield Township July 20 1886

Henry Deubener
vs.
Daniel Heusselman
David Heusselman

Plaintiff complaining of the defendants and says that they did on the 11th day of June 1885 by their joint note promise to pay plaintiff one year after date Two hundred $200 dollars with seven per cent interest thereon from date in case of default which note is filed herewith and made a part hereof That said note provides for attorneys fees which plaintiff says are worth Twenty $20 dollars That said note is due and unpaid

Wherefore plaintiff prays a judgment for Two hundred thirty five and 35/100 dollars

Yours &c

copy of note $200 Sedan June 11th 1885 one year after date we promise to pay to the order of Henry Deubener the sum of Two hundred dollars payable at , value received without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws with interest at seven per cent to be paid annually from date until paid and 10 per cent attorneys fees

Daniel Heusselman
David Heusselman

Thereupon the following affidavit was filed in my office

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss

Henry Deubener
vs.
Daniel Heusselman
David Heusselman

Judgment to be confessed before Eli Walker a Justice of the Peace within and Township in said county for Two hundred thirty five dollars and thirty five cents and the said Daniel Heusselman & David Heusselman severally state that he owes the above mentioned debt of Two hundred thirty five dollars and thirty five cents and that the same is not a usurious judgment therefor he defrauds his creditors

Daniel Heusselman
David Heusselman

Sworn and subscribed before me the undersigned a Notary Public of said County the 15th day of July 1886

William Wakeman
Notary Public
State Indiana

Notary Public

Henry Kenhauer
vs
Daniel Kenselman
David Kenselman

Carried from page 325

It is therefore considered and by the adjudged that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the sum of his demands as set forth in the complaint and as appears in the affidavit of service of summons and time of hearing of this cause to wit Two hundred thirty five dollars and thirty five cents together with all costs and accruing costs and that plaintiff have execution therefore waiving relief from valuation or appraisement laws. Judgment is therefore hereby rendered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants Daniel Kenselman and David Kenselman for the sum of two hundred thirty five dollars and thirty five cents without relief together with all costs and accruing costs

Witness my hand and official seal this 15th day of July A.D. 1886

Eli Walker J. P. (Seal)

August 16 1886
Received on the bond Judgment
One Hundred and twenty five Dollars
Eli Walker J P

September 3. 1886
Received in full on the
bond Judgment
Eli Walker J P

State of indiana
DeKalb County } Before Eli Wolf a Justice of the peace in Smithfield Township
Chadwick and Snider
vs
Commodore Oberlin
Gore & Corbin

Constables fees 1.00

August 11 1886 the plaintiff filed the following Note

Received my fees in full Solomon Sink

Copy of Note
$16.00 Pleasant Lake Ind July 2th 1886
Sixty Days after Date We promise to pay to Chadwick & Company or order Sixteen Dollars value Received without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws of indiana and attorney fees With interest at the rate of Eight per cent per annum from Date to be paid annually
Commodore Oberlin
Gore & Corbin

issue this Writ the 11 Day of August 1886 returnable the 18 Day of August 1886 Summons returned
Served this Writ by reading to and leaving a Copy at the defendant residend residend August the 12 1886
Solomon Sink Constable

Mileage fees 1.00

State of Indiana
DeKalb County } Before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace of Smithfield

Constable $1.10 fees

Bassett and others
vs
Samuel Forney } August 11 the 1876
the plantiff filed the following Note

Copy of Note

$6.65/100 Waterloo August 12 1876
Ninety Days after Date I promise to pay to the order of Bassett and others Six and Sixty five Dollars aforesaid Without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws of the State of Indiana With at ten per cent per anum from Date until paid if this Note be collected by Suit the Judgment shall include the reasonable fee for the plantiff attorney
Samuel Forney

Justice fees 125

January the 6 1887 Received in full Solomon Link

issue a Summons the 11 Day August 1876 returnable the 18 Day of August 1876
Summons returned served this Writ by leaving a Copy at the defendants resident August 12 1876

and now to Wit on the Day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as aforesaid comes neither the plaintiff nor defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that it has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial thereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assess said damages at the sum of 11 76/100 dollars 479 cents it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 11 76/100 dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit
Eli Walker, J. P.

Constable fees 135

State of Indiana
Pekalb County
Chadwick and Linder
vs
George W Breund

Before Eli Walker a Justice of the peace in and for Smith Twp Allen County

August 16 1886 the plaintiff filed follow account

Fleasendlake Ind Ag 9 1886
Mr George W Breund Bought of Chadwick & Co Dry goods Clothing and Shoes groceries grain and Seed and all Counting Bedesi

January the 6 1887 received my fees in full

Dat June 18 1886

March	4	6	yds	Sheeting	75
" "	"	6	"	Dams 15 10	90
" "	"	13			130
" "	"	1	Boys	Sed Clothing	300
" "	"	1	coat		50
"	"	"	"		75
"	"	1	do	Roads	300
"	"	2		Sabers	200
	56 1/2			meslin	283
"	50			kinnet Barley plaid	225
"	1 Pr			Carpetes	24
April	3	4	60	Shoes	375
	10			yd Jeans 70 5	120
	8	3/4		cinny	218
	2	absent 10	3	coat 30	40
	1				60
	2			caffe	30
	11			Sugar	50
	67	June 18 to August the 10			2881

I served Summons the 16 Day of August 1886 and delivered to Salomon Fink Constable returnable the 21 Day of August 1886 Writ returned
Served this Writ by reading to and the defendant herein
Salomon Fink Constable

and now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of 2881 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 2881 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

J. William J. Brand here by acknowledge myself responsible for the stay of execution on the above Judgment for Sixty Days from the rendition thereof

William J. Brand

Witness my hand this 14 Day of September 1886

El. Walker J P

Stay of execution on the above Judgment till 28 Day of December 1886

Satisfied in full

El. Walker J P

State of Indiana Dekalb County ss
John W Barkley } Before Eli Walker
vs } a Justice of the
George W Brand } Peace of Smithfield
William S Brand } Township

on the 14 day September 1886
the plaintiff filed the following Note
Copy of Note
$73.15 Albion 21 1886
on or before August the 1 1886
I promise to pay to the order of
John M Barkley Seventy three and
fifteen cents for value received
With interest at 8 percent interest
from date

George W Brand
William S Brand

Confess Judgment
State of Indiana Dekalb County ss
John M Barkley
vs
George W Brand
William S Brand
Confess Judgment Before Eli Walker
Justice of the Peace of Smithfield
Township Dekalb County for
Seventy four Dollars Eighty nine
cents and the said George W Brand
William S Brand Sworn that are
the above mentioned debt
of Seventy four Dollars and 89
cents and that We do not confess
Judgment therefore to defraud the
creditors

George W Brand
William S Brand

Sworn and Subscribed before me
the undersigned a Justice of the
Peace of said township and county
the fourth Day of September 1886
Eli Walker

it is therefore adjudgment that
the plaintiff Recover of the

defendand the sum of Seventy four Dollars and Eighty Nine 7/89 Cents to geter West and everany east
Eli Walker J. P.

[illegible] on execution on the [illegible] Judgment the 15 Day of September 1[illegible]
Eli Walker J. P.

January the 5 1886
Received on the over Judgment twenty four Dollars
Eli Walker J P

January 29 1887
Received of Eli Walker J P twenty four Dollars to [illegible] on the over Judgment
John W [illegible]

State of Indiana DeKalb County
John Smith
vs
John Pfeffercorn } Before Eli Wilks J.P. of Smithfield township

Constables fees 1.60

September 16 1886 the plaintiff filed the following Bill

Received my fees in full
Solomon Fink

Summit issued September 16 1886
John Pfeffercorn in account with
John Smith

March	23	1 ax	a handle	25
April	15	1 ax	one handle	25
May	18	"	lath	50
July	8	to 7 a 58 R		
			Wheed cording	368
				$4.68

is he Summons the 16 Day of September 1886 and delivered to Solomon Fink Constable Returnable 25 Day of September 1886 at Eight O'clock

Received on the bove Cost five Dollars
Eli Walker J P

Received on the bayes in full
Eli Walker J P

September the 25 1886
Received on the bove Cost in full
John Smith

State of indiana DeKalb County S.S

Saphira Briggs } Before Eli Wolfe
vs } Justice of the peace in
William S Brand } and for Smithfield
George W Brand } township DeKalb County
September the 20 1886
the plaintiff sues for the following Note
Copy of Note

Constabel fees 1.00

$ 8.70 Waterloo indiana feb the 9 1882
Eight Month after date I We
promise to pay to the order of Saphira
Briggs the Sum of Eight and 70/100
Dollars without intrest if paid When
due if Not so paid 8 Percent from Date
due Received Without any relief from
valuation or appresement laws With percent
interest from until paid and costs fees
William S Brand
G W his mark Brand

is here this Writ the 20 Day of September
1886 and demand to Salaman Link
Constables returnable the 25 Day of
September 1886
Summons returned came to hand the
20 Day of September 1886 Served this
Writ by reading to and in the defendants
hearing the 20 Day of September 1886
Served 50 cents mileledge $1.00 returned
10 cents total One Dollars and Sixty cents
Salaman Link Constable

Judgment

and now to wit on the Day and at the
hour set for the trial of this cause
as a bove Stated comes the plaintiff
but the ~~plaintiff~~ defendant though
three times calls comes not but makes
default and it appearing that hees
duly served With process more than
three Day before the time of the
trial hereof it is therefore
Considered that plaintiff do recover

turn to page 336

to recover against him his demand
aforesaid and the Guard after hearing
the Evidence assesses said demand at
the sum of twelve 12.90/100 Dollars
it is therefore considered that
the plaintiff recover of the
defendant the of 12.90/100 Dollars
so assessed as above said together
With the cost of this suit
Novemb 17 1886 Eli Walker J P
Received of William S Brand
Six Dollars

Eli Walker J P

Briggs vs William S Brand and others } Before Eli Walker J P Smithfield

Received Novemb 20 1886
of Justice Walker five hundred Dollars
on this case Eli Walker J P

November the 24 1886 J B Howes atty for plaintiff
Received of Wm S Brand five Dollars
on the Judgement
Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana Dekalb County ss
in Justis court before Eli Walker

John Myers } a Justice of the peace
vs } in and for
George Parnell } Smithfield
Township Dekalb } County State of Indiana

Constable $120

John Myers Complaint of the defendants
George Parnell and John Campbell and says
that the defendants by this promissory
note which is filed herewith and made a part
hereof promised to pay the plantiff one
hundred and fifty dollars in six months from
the 26th day of June 1885 with interest at
six per cent from date paid on the 14th day of
May 1886 defentants paid the plantiff on said
note the sum of one hundred and ten dollars
leaving a ballance now due and unpaid on said
note of forty eight and 1500 dollars for which
sum the plaintiff demands judgment

Received my fees in full
Solomon Link

C N Phillips attorney for
plantiff

issued a summons 27 day of ~~August~~ September 1886
delivered to Dallorus Link Constables returnable
this 2 Day of October 1886

October the 1 1884
Received of John Cambell
in full on the Case
Eli Walker

3229
522

State of Indiana
DeKalb County } ss

On the Justice of Peace Court before Eli Walker Jr P in and for Smithfield Township DeKalb County Indiana

William M Sias
vs.
George W Brand
William S Brand

Constable fees 1.50

William M Sias plaintiff complains of George W Brand and William S Brand and says that ~~that~~ the defendants on the 19th day of October AD 1885 by this joint note promised to pay to the order of Eli Walker Forty five dollars on or before the 10th day of May AD 1886 that the said note provides for Attorneys fee and that a reasonable fee is five dollars that said Eli Walker indorsed said note to the plaintiff and a copy of the note and indorsements are filed herewith and made a part of this complaint that said note is due and unpaid Wherefore plaintiff prays a judgment for Sixty dollars and for other relief

Justice fees 2.75

January the 6 1887 Received my fees in full

William M Sias plf

Copy of note

$45 Waterloo October 17 1886

On or before the tenth Day of May 1886 after date we promise to pay to the order of Eli Walker forty five Dollars at value Received without any relief from valuation or Appraisement Laws with 8 per cent interest from Date until paid and attorneys fee

George Brand
William S Brand

August 14 1886 paid on the within the sum of ten dollars August 18 1886 paid on the within note Twenty ($20) dollars

Issued a summons 25 day of October 1886 returnable 29 day of October 1886 at 8 o'clock in the forenoon served this writ by reading to and in the defendant hearing Salome Link ~~October the 29 1886 came to hand this 25 day of October 1886~~ service 50 Mileage 10 return 10 total 1.80

22,24

And now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though thrice called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the cost after hearing the counsel assessed said demand at the sum of 24.23 dollars it is therefore considered that that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 24.23 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of the suit

Eli Walker J P

issue on Execution the 8 Day of November 1886 (Sec)
and delivered to Sheriff

Received of George W Brand five Dollars on the base Judgment
Eli Walker J P

November the 24 1886
George W Brand three Dollars on the base Judgment
Eli Walker J P

January 6 1886
Received on the base Judgment five Dollars
Eli Walker J P

Satisfied in full

Auburn, Ind Nov 2 1887

FROM Eli Walker J. P.

Seven 90/100 Dollars

in full of judgt in case of Sarah Myers vs Geo. W. Brand et al

F. N. Blake
Atty for Pltff.

State of Indiana
DeKalb County } ss

On the Justice of Peace Court before Eli Walker Jr P in and for Smithfield Township DeKalb County Indiana

William M Lear
vs.
George W Brand
William S Brand

Constable fees 1.50

William M Lear plaintiff complains of George W Brand and William S Brand and says that ~~that~~ the defendants on the 19th day of October AD 1885 by their joint note promised to pay to the order of Eli Walker Forty five dollars on or before the 10th day of May AD 1886 that the said note provides for Attorneys fee and that a reasonable fee is five dollars that said Eli Walker indorsed said note to the plaintiff and a copy of the note and indorsements are filed herewith and made a part of this Complaint that said note is due and unpaid Wherefore plaintiff prays a Judgment for Sixty dollars and for other relief

Justice fees 2.75

January the 6 1887 Received my fees in full

William M Lear pla

Copy of Note

$45 Waterloo October 17 1885

On or before the tenth Day of May 1886 after date we promise to pay to the order of Eli Walker forty five Dollars at value Received without any relief from valuation or Appraisement Laws with 8 per cent interest from Date until paid and Attorneys fee

George W Brand
William S Brand

August 14 1886 paid on the Within the sum of ten dollars August 18 1886 paid on the Within note Twenty ($20) dollars

issued a summons 25 day of October 1886 returned 29 day of October 1886 at 8 clock in the forenoon served this Writ by reading to and in the defendant hearing Salom Link ~~October the 29 1886 came to hand this 25 day of October 1886~~ verne E. Milligl for return 10 fees 1.80

And now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though thrice called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served with process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the cost after hearing the counsel assesses said demand at the sum of 24.23 dollars it is therefore considered that that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 24.23 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

Eli Walker J P

issue on Execution the 8 Day of November 1886 and delivered to Sheriff

Received of George W Brand five Dollars on the true Judgment

Eli Walker J P

November the 24 1886
George W Brand three Dollars on the true Judgment

Eli Walker J P

January 6 1886
Received on the true Judgment five Dollars

Eli Walker J P

Satisfied in full

RECEIVED FROM

Auburn, Ind Nov 2 1887

Eli Walker J. P.

Seven ——— 90/100 Dollars

in full of judgt in case of Sarah Myers vs Geo. W. Brand et al

7.90

F. N. Blake
Atty for Pltff.

State of Indiana } Before Eli
DeKalb County } Walker a Justice
of the Peace in and
for Smithfield

Beaum and
Calberson
vs
William S Brand

Constables fees 135

November 9 1886
filed the follow
the plaintiff
account

auburn Ind Oct 22 1886
W S Brand With Beaum and
Calberson agricultural implements

June 28	platform		$4.50
" "	"	elator	4 00
" "	"	J	4 00
" "	"	Section	3 60
		guard	40
Joly 10 to 74		one 8 34 only	1 40
		tunne c 15	11 25
		the bats	30
Joly the 26 874			1 15
			30 65
June 28	by cash		$5.00
26			5 00
			$10.00
			20 65

Justice fee $2 00

Same dec

Beaum and Calberson
idhave this Writ the 9 Day of Novemb
1886 returnable the 13 Day of
Novembes 1886
Summons returned Served this
Writ by ~~leaving~~ reding to and
in the defending herring

judgment

And now to wit on the day and at the
hour set for the trial of this cause
as above stated comes the plaintiff;
but the defendant though three times called
comes not but makes default. And it
appearing that he has been duly served
with process more than three days before
the time of the trial hereof it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff ought to
recover against him his demand aforesaid
and the court after hearing the evidence
assesses said demand at the sum of 20.65

Dollars. it is therfore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $20.65 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid togather with the cost of this suit.

Eli Walker J P

issue an execution on the judgment the 29 day of December 1886

March the 11 1887
Recieved on the above Jadgment five Dollars

Eli Walker J

Jaly 18 1887
Recieved of Williams Brand fifteen Dollars on the boar Jadgment

Eli Walker J P

It is remembered that on the ~~day of~~ 27th day of September 1886 the following transcript of bill was filed in my office

State of Indiana DeKalb County ss. Before William Kneck a Justice of the Peace for Franklin Township

George McSubsyke vs Cyrus C Boyer

Said plaintiff George McSubsyke Complaints of defendant Cyrus C Boyer and avers that plaintiff is the owner and entitled to the possession of one Chestnut Sorrel mare weighing about Eleven hundred and fifty pounds about ten years of age of the value of one hundred dollars that on the 7th day of November 1886 the defendant wrongfully took the same from the plaintiff and in his possession thereof without right and unlawfully detains the same from the plaintiff and that the same has not been taken by virtue of any execution or other writ against him; wherefore he demands judgment for the recovering of said property and fifty dollars damages for the detention thereof and other proper relief;

2d Cpt: and for further and second cause of complaint said plaintiff complains of defendant and says he is the owner of and entitled to the possession of one Sorrel mare weighing about eleven hundred and fifty pounds about ten years of age and of the value of one hundred dollars of which the defendant has the possession without right and which is unlawfully detained from him by defendant; and that the same has not been taken by virtue of any execution or other writ against the plaintiff wherefore he plaintiff demands judgment for the recovering of said property and fifty dollars damage for the detention thereof and other proper relief;

Freeman K Blake
Atty for plaintiff

Jerome fees
Chas A. Hafer
fees 2.40
Benjn Kissinger
fees 2.35
George Shamer
fees 2.60
Cyrus Bowman
fees 2.35
John Clark
fees 2.20
Geo. Walters
fees 2.25
John Rahm
fees 2.25
William Tisch
fees 2.25
Daniel Shamer
fee 2.30
Wm H. Wrangberg
2.30
Witness fees
Samuel Grout
fees 2.40
Jeremiah Waterman
fees 2.30
Edward Hardy
(Paid in full)
J J (Paid in full)
fees 1.05
Amsy Myers
fees 2.30
Miss Bogers
fees 1.00
Willard fee
fees 1.05
Gilbert McCloush
fees 2.30
William Kaufer
fees 2.30
Smith Crane
fees 2.30
E A Crane
fees 2.00
Sam Mulhamon
fees 1.05
William Bigler
fees 1.05

State of Indiana
Dekalb County } ss

The said George McIntosh
Swears that the foregoing complaint is true
in substance and in part, Geo McIntosh

Subscribed and sworen to before me
this 9th day of November A.D. 1886.
William Kench

Replevin Bond

We George McIntosh and James Blake
are bound to Cyrus C Boyer in the penal
sum of two hundred dollars under the
Condition following:
Whereas the said George McIntosh has
this day filed with William Kench a justice
of the Peace of Franklin Township Dekalb
County a Complaint against Cyrus C
Boyer for the recovery of one chestnut
sorrel gaw about ten years of age,
and is about to take said property
replevy the same how if said George
McIntosh shall prosecute his said
complaint to effect and return said
property to said Cyrus C Boyer if
Judgment of return be awarded
him in said cause then this bond
shall be void Witness our hands and
seals this 9th day of November 1886.

George McIntosh
James Blake

Approved by me the undersigned this
9th day of November A.D. 1886.
William Kench J.P.

Change of venue

State of Indiana
Dekalb County } ss
In Justice Court before
William Kench
Justice of the peace in and for Franklin
Township 1886 Cyrus C Boyer upon oath
says that he is the defendant in the
above entitled cause and that he is

Turn to page 344

9 A gogan
fee 10¢

Informed and believes that he can not have a fair and impartial trial of said cause before said Justice by reason on account of bias prejudice existing against the defendant by said justice of the peace

Cyrus C Boyer

Subscribed and sworen to before me this 12 day of November A D 1886.

Wm Kench, J P (Seal)

Writ of Replevin

State of Indiana }
DeKalb County } ss

The State of Indiana to Constable of said County

You are commanded to take the following goods and chattels to wit: one chestnut sorrel mare about ten years of age of the weight of about eleven hundred & fifty pounds, alleged to be in possession of Cyrus C Boyer and detain the same together with George McIntosh and further that you summon the said Cyrus C Boyer to appear before me at my office on the 19th day of November A D 1886 at two (2) o'clock in the afternoon to answer the complaint of said George McIntosh wherein he claims said property and fifty dollars damages for the detention of the same.

William Kench (Seal)

Filed November 9th 1886

Issued a Subpoena to any constable of DeKalb County to summon William Kingsley Edward Cooper, Lewis Longberry, William Fee, Albert Kelly & W Yaggy

Filed Cross-Complaint before me at my office this 19th Day of November A D 1886 at Five o'clock P M, detaining the rest of the plaintiff in replevin

George McIntosh vs Cyrous C Boyer

and the within and the writ dated the 12th day of November A D 1886

William Kench. Justice of the peace seal

Justice fees for 70¢

I served this writ by reading to and within the hearing of Dwight Kegler, Daniel Tockhimyer, A. Alexander, J. J. Yager, and William H. H. Smith Cant by copy. Served 50 mileage $1.00 Return 10 total $1.20 C. A. Cain Deputy Constables

Constables fees $1.55

I William Kesh a Justice of the Peace of Franklin Township Tipton Co. Indiana do certify that these papers are a true copy of my docket and the proceedings filed in my office on the 9 day of October 1886 Witness my hand William Kesh J. P.

Justice Kesh Cert $3.00

Two O'clock P.M.

Judgment Cont and parties appeared and Defendant filed his affidavit for a change of venue which was granted

William Kesh J. P.

and the case was and all papers to

Constables fees transferring to Tipton Co. Court $1.20

C. A. Cain a Peace of the Peace in and for said Township and County Indiana

Witness ordered for trial

William Kegler
Gilbert McIntosh
Daniel Tockamilt
Smith Cant

and Demanded their Fees

and by agreement of the parties their trial was set for Friday the 31 day of December 1886 at 9 o'clock P.M. And now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause the parties appeared the plaintiff represented by his attorney J. N. Blake and the defendant by his attorney J. M. Summers Thereupon the ~~plaintiff~~ defendant demanded a venire to issue for a jury of twelve men. I served a venire and delivered the same to Solomon Finll constable of Smith Township ~~venire~~ Also at the request of the plaintiff issued a subpoena for the following witnesses viz John H. Summers Joseph Brown Millard Fee Wm Kegler Gilbert McIntosh Daniel Tockhimyer Wm Fee Albert Kelley Smith Mont and J. T. Yager Also at the request of the defendant issued a subpoena for the following witnesses J. T. Yager Samuel Mc Binger Wm Butler Angie Myers Edward Hinds and J. Draper The venire returned indorsed as follows I served this writ by reading to and within

The hearing of the following named jurors viz Oliver Shepper Benjamin Ripley George Shoner Cyprus Bowman Douglas Kelley Cyprus Brandaberry John Clark George Wicker John Hohn Wm Fish Wm Warner Daniel Sherman The names of Douglas Kelley and Wm Nereda having been rejected it was agreed to by the parties herein that said cause should be tried by the ten remaining jurors whereupon the said jury was sworn to try the issues in said cause and a true verdict rendered according to the law and the evidence and all the issues being joined the plaintiff produced the following named witnesses to testify in his behalf viz Millard Hee Joseph Brown Wm Ringler Gilbert McDonald Daniel Lockinmyer Wm Fee Smith Deane and J T Yaggy And the evidence being closed upon the part of the plaintiff the defendant in support of his defence to said cause called the following named witnesses to testify in his behalf viz Wm Bigler J T Yaggy Samuel Hilimon Edward Hardy Angi Myers J Frazer And all the evidence having been adduced before the jury and counsel on both sides having made their pleas to the jury the jury retired in charge of the constable Solomon Link as their bailiff and after due deliberation returned the following verdict into court State of Indiana DeKalb county SS

George McDonald vs Cyprus Boyer.

We the jury find that the plaintiff is the owner of the mare in controversy and that defendant is entitled to the possession of said mare till his defendants charge in the sum of thirty cents is paid Signed Cyprus Bowman foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the defendant have the return of the mare described in plaintiffs complaint and that he have and recover of and from the plaintiff his costs herein taxed at Eighty ~~fourth~~ 39/100 dollars

Dated this 3d day of January 1887

E. C. Walker, Jpt Said

Ishue an execution the 2 Day of February 1887 and delivered to Solomon Link Constable.

Received of Eli Walker thirty cents the mark fee his office George McDonald in the case of McDonald vs Boyer

E. C. Boyer,

Dec 4th 1886 on motion of M this cause is now adjourned by agreement of the parties until Jan 17th 1887 at 8 o'clock A.M. Jan 17th 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment parties being present cause was resumed

State of Indiana } In Justice - board
De Kalb County } be before J. I. Walter
Justice of the in and
Waterloo Township

Daniel Herzelman } vs } William Elias

Plaintiff complains of the defendant Daniel Herzelman and says that the defendant by his promissory note on the 5th day of March 1886 promised to pay to the order of L. P. Blair six months after date eighty dollars and attorney fees which plaintiff avers are worth ten dollars that said note was made payable at DeKalb Bank Waterloo Indi and that before the maturity of the same for a valuable consideration the said L. P. Blair indorsed the same to the plaintiff. That a copy of said note and indorsement is filed herewith and a part hereof. That said note is due and unpaid in the sum of One hundred dollars. Therefore plaintiff prays a judgment for One hundred dollars and other proper relief

Wm C. Leas
Plaintiffs Attorney

Copy of note this Waterloo March 5 1886 Six months after date I promise to pay to the order of L. P. Blair Eighty dollars at DeKalb Bank Waterloo Indiana Value received without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws with interest if paid when due if not paid when due 6 per cent interest from date and attorney's fees

Daniel Herzelman
per Leas (Past L. P. Blair)

Issued summons on the above complaint December 1886 and set the cause for trial on January 4th 1887 at 9 o'clock A.M.

January 4 1887 summons returned indorsed as follows served the within by reading to and within named defendant Daniel Herzelman December 1886.

January 4th 1887 9 o'clock A. M.

The day and the hour set for trial having arrived the plaintiff appeared by Attorney The defendant appeared not but made default It is therefore considered and by me adjudged that upon the evidence before me the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of Fifty nine and 48/100 dollars and that be have execution therefor without relief together with all costs and accruing costs.

Eli Walker J P (seal)

Constable fees .120
Received my fees in full
E Smith

I Mary A Tinkhere by acknowledge my self replevin Bale fore the Stay of execution on the back Judgment fo One hundred and fifty days from the rendition there of

Mary A Tinkhere

January 6.

Widness my hand this 6 Day of January 1887

Eli Walker J P

State of Indiana. Dekalb County

Sarah Myers Admx of the Estate of Abraham Myers vs George W. Brand, Phebea Dayhoff & William S. Brand.

Befor Eli Wolf J.P. for Smittfield Township.

Said plaintiff complains of said defaindants and avers that on the 17th day of October 1885 they executed and delivered to Said Abraham Myers their promissory note a copy of Which is filed herewith and made a part of this Complaint Wherby they promised one year after the date thereof to pay to the order of Said Abraham Miers One hundred dollars With interest therton of eight per cent per annum and attys fees that afterwards to wit on about the 12th day of November 1885 Said Abraham died Said Dekalb County and theafter, to wit, on the day of 1886 Said Sarah Miers Was duly appointed and qualified as Administratrix of his estate. that said defandants have paid on Said Note the Sum of fifty dollars ($50) on the 17th day of October 1885 and on the 10th day of January 1887 they paid the Sum of ten ($10) dollars That there is now due and Wholy unpaid on Said Note the Sum of forty-five Dollars ($45) and that a reasonable attorneys fees for plaintiff attorney in this cause is ten Dollars ($10) Wherefor plaintiff demands Judgment for Sixty dollars ($60) and other relief.

F. R. Blake,
Attorney for plaintiff.

Constable fees $1.85

November 9 1887 Received my fees in full Robinson Kink C. Stable

Justice fees $2.25

Copy of Note

$100.00 Waterloo October 17 1885

One year afte date we promise to pay to the order of Abraham Myers One hundred dollars value received Without any relief from valuation or appraisement laws With 8 per cent interest from date until paid and attorneys fees

George W Brand Phebe Dayhoff William S Brand

where this Writ the 26th Day of January 1887 and delivered to Solomon Link Constable returnable the 29 Day of January 1887 Summons returned January the 26 1887 Served this Writ by reading to and Within the the defendand Served 40 Copy 25 Mileage 1.00 Return 10 Solomon Link Const.

Judgment

And now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that the he has been duly served With process more than three days before the time of the trial hereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assessed said demand at the sum of $42 12/100 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $42 12/100 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together With the costs of this suit for value received With any relief from valuation or appraisement laws

Eli Walker J P

March the 11 1887
Received on the bare Judgment forty Dollars

Eli Walker J P

April the 2 1887 Received on the bare Judgment ~~fifteen~~ Dollars

Eli Walker J P

Dollars October 28

135
150

State of indiana } Before Eli Walker a
DeKalb County } Justice of the peace
in and for Smithfie

Chadwick
vs
William S Brand } January the 24 1887
the plaintiff filed
the follow Bill Statement Pleasant
Lake Ind Jany 7 1887 Mr William
S Brand Bought of Chadwick & Co
Dry Goods Clothing Boots and Shoes
Groceries Grain and seeds in all
Country produce

Constables fee $1.34

April	8	1	Suit Cloths		13.00
"	"	1	—	—	5.00
"	"	1	Pr	Shoes	1.00
"	"	1	—	—	1.25
"	"	2	Pr	hose	35
"	"	1½	Croft	80	1.20
"	"	10	yds. Denim	18	1.80
"	"	2	Sp Cotton	5	10
"	"		Lemmons		2.57 23.95
"	8	10	yd Calico		70
"	8	1			63 1.30
April	14	1	pr Boots		3.50
"	"	1	— Shoes		2.00
"	"	1	— Socks		15 5.65
May	1	1	pr Shoes		2.25
"	1	1	Hat		2.00 4.25
67	Set to January 7 1887				145
					36 60

Justice fee $1.50

issue this Writ this 26 Day of January 1887
returnable 29 Day of January 1887 January 26 1887
Served this Writ by reading to and in the
defendant hearing served 25 Mileledge 100
return 10 Salomon Sink Constable

of Judgment

And now to wit on this day and at the hour
set for the trial of this court as above stated
comes the plaintiff but the defendant though
three times called comes not but makes default and
it appearing that he has been duly served
with process more than three days before
the time of the trial hereof it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff ought to
turn to page 352

recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the evidence assesses said demand at the sum of $36,60 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of 36,60 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

Eli Walker J P

Justice fees $1.50
Received my fees in full
Solomon Sink

State of Indiana } Before Eli Walker a Justice
DeKalb County } of peace in and for Smith
John Fisher } Freed Township
vs } January 27, 1886
Daniel Heusselman } the plaintiff filed
the following Bill

Daniel Heusselman Dr
John Fisher 1883 Jan 3 To pair over coat $16.00
" " " to one pair pants 3.50
19.50

Constables fees $1.10

" " By cash $10.00
1884. " Cash 5.00
" " By one load wood 16,00
3.50
interest 48
to 50 at from Jan $3.98
50
$4.48

J B Howe atty

issued this Writ the 27 Day of January 1886 returnable January 31 1886 January the 27 1886 served this Writ by reading to and in the defendant hearing

Solomon Sink Constable

Court now now to wit on this cause the ~~state~~ set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant thereof

turned to page 354

three times called comes not but makes default
and it appears that he has been duly served with
process more than three Days before the time
of the trial thereof it is therefore considered
that the plaintiff ought to recover against him
his demand aforesaid and the court after
hearing the Evidence assesses said demand
at the sum of four Dollars it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff recovers of the
defendant
a foresaid

Justice fees
$1.50



State of Indiana } ss
Dekalb County }

Lancaster Co
vs
Daniel Haseman

Before Eli Wolke a Justice
of the Peace in and for
Smithfield township
Dekalb County Indiana
January 27 1887 the
plaintiff filed the follow
Bill Statement Wattetor and January
22 1887 Dan Haseman Co
in acont With Sodwenstein
General Dealers in Dry goods
Clothing, Etc.
1886 Sep 15 1 pr Felt Boots $ 2,75
ishee a Summons this 27 Day of January 1887
returnable the 31 Day of Jancery 1887
Served this Writ by reding to and
Within defendand spering January 27 1887
Solomon Link Constable

~~Solomon~~
Constables
fees $1.10
Received my
fees in
full
27th Solomon
Link

~~Judgment~~
Judgmend And now to Wit on the day and at the
hour Set for the trial of this cause as above Stated comes
the plaintiff but the defendant thought three times called
comes not but makes default and it appearing that he
has been duly served With process more than three days before
the time of the trial thereof it is therefor considered that the
plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand
aforesaid and the cort after hearing the evidence assesses
said demand at the sum of 2,75 Dollars it is therefor
considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum
of 2,75 dolars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit

State of Indiana } ss
Dekalb County }

in Justice court before Eli Justice of the peace in and Smithfield Township 1887

Jacob H Aldrich }
vs
Williard Lee }

the plaintiff complains of the Defendand and says that the defendand by his note on the fifth day of June 1885 promised to pay to A W Wilcox or borow one day after date forty Dollars and Attornleys fees Which fees plaintiff avers are Worth fifteen dollars That said A W Willcox for a valable consideration on stable endarsed said note to the plaintiff a copy of which note is filed herewith and made a part hereof that said note is due and unpaid Wherefore plaintiff demands a ~~pro~~ Judgment for One hundered dolars and for other proper

William H Dear
Atty for Plaintiff

Received my fees in full
Solomon Sinkerlitz

$40 Milford June 5th 1885

One day after date I promise to pay to the order of A W Wilcox or borow the sum of forty dollars at value recevd without any relief from valuation and appraisement law with interst annually at 8 per cent until paid and all cost and attorny fees for collection if said note is not paid at maturity

Willard Lee

issue this Writ the 7 Day of febeary 1887 returnable the 10 Day of febeary 1887 come to hand this 7 Day of febeary 1887 ~~served~~ this febeary the 7 1887 served this Writ by reading to and Within the defendand herein Salomon Sink cost febeary the 10 1887

Continue this case by agreement until the 19 Day of febeary 1887

Eli Walker J P

Dismiss this case by agreement at the defendand cost

Received my fees in full

Eli Walker J P

three times called comes not but makes default
and it appears that he has been duly served With
process more than three Days before the time
of the trial thereof it is therefore considered
that the plaintiff ought to recover against him
his demand aforesaid and the court after
hearing the Evidence assesses said demand
at the sum of four $4.48 Dollars it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff recovers of the
defendant the sum of $4.48 so assessed
aforesaid together with the costs of this
Eli Walker J P

Justice fees
$1.50

State of Indiana } ss Before Eli Wolker a Justice
DeKalb County } of the Peace in and for
Smithfield township
Lamenstine Co } DeKalb County Indiana
vs } January 27 1887 the
Daniel Hasleman } plaintiff filed the follow
Bill Statement Watter for and January
22 1887 Dan Hasleman Co
in acont With Solomenstein
General Dealers in Dry goods
Clothing, Etc.
1886 Sep 15 1 pr Felt Boots $ 2,75
ishue a Summons this 27 Day of January 1887
returnable the 31 Day of Jancery 1887
Served this Writ by reading to and
Within defendand hering January 27 1887
Solomon Link Constable

~~Solomon~~
Constables
fees $1.10
Received my
fees in
full
27th Solomon
Link

~~Judgment~~
Judgment And now to Wit on the day and at the
hour Det. for the trial of this cause as above stated comes
the plointiff but the defendant thought three times called
comes not but makes default and it appearing that he
has been duly served With process more than three days before
the time of the trial thereof it is therefor considered that the
plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand
aforesaid and the cort after hearing the evidence assesses
said demand at the sum of 2,75 Dollars it is therefore
considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum
of 2,75 dolars so assessed as aforesaid together with the cost of this suit

State of Indiana } ss
Dekalb County }

in Justice court before Eli Justice of the peace in and Smithfield Township 1887

Jacob H Aldrich }
vs
Willard Lee }

the plaintiff complains of the Defendant and says that the defendant by his Note on the fifth day of June 1875 promised to pay to A W Wilcox or borow one day after date forty
thereby fees which fees plaintiff
th fifteen dollars that said
for a valable consideration
note to the plaintiff a copy of
; filed herewith and made a
at said note is due and unpaid
ntiff demands a ~~fro~~ Judgment
ten dolars and for other proper

William H Dear
Atty for Plaintiff

$40 Milford June 5th 1885

One day after date I promise to pay to the order of A W Weley or borow the sum of forty dollars at value recive without any relief from valuation and appraisement law with interst annually at 8 per cent until paid and all cost and attorny fees for collection if said note is not paid at maturity

Willard Lee

issue this Writ the 7 Day of febeary 1887 returnable the 10 Day of febeary 1887 come to hand this 7 Day of febeary 1887 ~~served~~ this febeary the 7 1887 served this Writ by reading to and Within the defendant hearing Solomon Link Cost febeary the 10 1887

Continue this case by a greement until the 19 Day of febeary 1887

Eli Walker J P

Dismiss this case by a greement at the defendant cost

A Reason my fees in full

Eli Walker J P

Constables
fee
75
March the 1 1887
Received my
fees in full
Solomon Fink

State of Indiana } Justice court before
DeKalb County } Eli Walker, a Justice
of the Peace

the Plano manufacturing Co }
a Corporation
vs
Willard for }
Plaintiff a corporation
complains of the defendant and says that
defendant by his note on the first day of
October 1885 promised to pay plaintiff at
Justice fee DeKalb Bank One October 1st 1886 Sixty
$1.50 and 7/100 Dollars and Attorneys fees which
plaintiff avers are Worth ten Dollars that said
note is due and unpaid and a copy of the
same is filed herewith and made part hereof
Wherefore plaintiff demands a Judgment for
One hundred dollars and for ~~costs~~ other relief
William H. Geo
Atty for Plaintiff
issue this Writ the 7 Day of february 1887
returnable the 10 Day of February 1887
Served this Writ by delivering to and
Within the defendant herein
Solomon Fink Constable

$78.30

State of indiana } Before Eli Walker
Dekalb County } a Justice of the peace

Henry Lee } in and for Smithfie
vs } township
Daniel Hosselman

March 11 1887 the plaintiff filed the follow Vats

$75.00/100 Angest 27th 1886 Six month of this date I Promised to pay to the order of Henry Lee Seventy-Five Dollars, at Waterloo Ind value Received Without and relief from valuation or Appraisement Sold 8 per cent interest from until paid and Attorney fee

Daniel Hoselman

Constable fee received my fee in full Solomon Link

ishue Summons the 11 Day of March 1887 returnable the 17 Day of March 1887 came to hand the 11 Day of March 1887 Served this Writ by reading to the and Within the defendand hering

Solomon Link Const

And now to wit on the day and at the hour set for the trial of this cause as above stated comes the plaintiff but the defendant though three times called comes not but makes default and it appearing that he has been duly served With process more than three days before the time of the trial thereof it is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover against him his demand aforesaid and the court after hearing the Evidence assessed said demand at the sum of $78.30/100 Dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $78.30/100 Dollars so assessed as aforesaid together with the costs of this suit

Eli Walker J P

ishue an execution the 28 March 1887 delivered to Solomon Link Constable

I Mary A Link hereby acknalledge my self replevin bail ~~at the~~ for the stay of execution on the Judgment for One Hundard and Eighty Days from the rendition thereof

Mary A Link

Witness my hand this 30 Day of March 1887

Eli Walker J P

turn to page 358

issued on Execution 18 Day of August
1897 and delivered to Salemon Link
Con Stubler Eli Walker J P

March 13 ~~Day~~ 1898
Received ~~on~~ the Judgment in full
Eli Walker J P (Seal)

March 17 1898
Received the above Judgment in
full
Henry Lan

Edwards Wagner

is hereon on Execution 18 Day of Novem
1897 and delivered to Saloman Link
Con Stubler Eli Walker J P

March 13 ~~Day~~ 1898
Received ~~on~~ the Jodgment in full
Eli Walker J P (Seal)

March 17 1898
Received the above Jodgmet in
full
Henry Lan

Charles Wagner
Fairbury
Jefferson Co
Nebraska

Saint Jos

Chicago Burlington and Quincy
Road

Chicago Rock Island and Pacific
to Kansas City from there to Fairbury

Edwards Wagner



+	1	26
+	1	
	1	30
+	1	
+	1	90
+		
+	1	70
	1	6
	1	6
	1	5
+	1	50
+	1	

125
95
25
135
360

360
235
595

595
125
125
960

960
395
595

Waterloo Ind
May 27 1887

Brother & Sister
Please come
to church next Sabbath
a week, Preaching at
11 o'clock A.M.
your in the
+
J. C. Beadle

111115
~~111111~~
~~8084~~
80819
1400
14
89219
4
376
4261

540
44
594
40
7150
6 41 50
4800
328
6926

6926
48
974
6
9482
3600
3832
50
6926

[illegible]

[illegible]
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

[illegible] XXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX

[illegible] XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXX

John [illegible] XXX
25
100
75
25
138
[illegible]

[illegible] Waterloo Ind

William McLeas
vs.
George W Brand
William S Brand

In Justice Court
Before Eli Walker
Justice of the Peace
in Smithfield Township

Received of Eli Walker the sum of
dollars in full
of Judgment except costs
January 3rd 1887 [illegible]

J. P. EXECUTION. WILLIS & Co., Stationers & Booksellers, Waterloo, Ind

STATE OF INDIANA, } SS:
DeKalb County.

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

Whereas, August C. Freeman obtained judgment against H. B. R. Sanson as appears on my docket, for one hundred ninety seven 197 dollars and Ninty one cents, with interest from the 5 day of July 1886 together with costs taxed at $9.04.85 on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said H. B. R. Sanson if any may be found in your county subject to execution: and make due return thereof within six months from date.

Given under my hand and seal this 20 day of Nov 1888

Eli Walker J. P. L.S.

William B. Duncan
Brady Hind et al

Waterloo, Ind. June 13th 1885

Received of Hiram Brand

Thirteen & 25/100 Dollars in full payment of Judgment before Eli Walker in favor entitled cause

McCollum & Lamond
Attys for Plaintiff

Established 1864

Received from

January 5 1887

Eli Walker & Son

Nine & 37/100

Dollars

being in full for judgment

in favor of Charles Ho

Waterloo Ind Sept 1st 1883

Received from Eli Walker & Co

Sixteen and 50/100 Dollars

to be paid to Chas. Carter

$ 16 50/100

Came to hand this
22nd Day of November
1888 at 7 O clock
P.M

EXECUTION.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Defendants

Issued _____ day of _____ 187

Returned this 17 day of Dec 1888
Satisfied in full
John Worns
Constable

REMARKS.

Justice of the Peace. 25

J. P. EXECUTION. WILLIS & Co., Stationers & Booksellers, Waterloo, Ind

STATE OF INDIANA, } SS:
DeKalb County, }

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

Whereas, Chestnuck obtained judgment against William Brand as appears on my docket, for Thirty Six 36 dollars and Sixty 60 cents, with interest from the 29 day of January 1886 together with costs taxed at $ 3.25 on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said William Brand if any may be found in your county subject to execution; and make due return thereof within six months from date.

Given under my hand and seal this 9 day of February 1887

Eli Walker J. P. L.S.

J. P. EXECUTION. WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana DeKalb County, SS.

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, Scott S Shoemakes obtained judgment against William S Brand William Brand James W Clark, as appears on my docket, for One hundred and eighty dollars and Seventy five 75 cents, with interest from the 24 day of October 1885 together with costs, taxed at 6.60, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. And whereas, on the 3 day of November 1885 Eli ~~W~~ Stofer became replevin bail for the stay of execution on said judgment, for 180 days from the rendition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said William S Brand William Brand James W Clark, if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, then, without delay, levy this Execution upon the goods of said Eli Stofer, replevin bail, and sell the same as you are directed to sell the goods of the ortginal judgment defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 8 day of Novemb 1886

Eli Walker J. P. L.S.

J. P. EXECUTION.

WILLIS & Co., Stationers & Booksellers, Waterloo, Ind

STATE OF INDIANA, } SS:
Dekalb County,

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

Whereas, Sarah Myers a part of the estate of Abram Myers obtained judgment against George W Brand Phebe Daybrook William S Brand as appears on my docket, for fifty three 53 dollars and twelve 12 cents, with interest from the 29 day of January 1887 together with costs taxed at $ 3 75 on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said George W Brand Phebe Daybrook William S Brand if any may be found in your county subject to execution and make due return thereof within six months from date.

Given under my hand and seal this 9 day of February 1887

Eli Walker J. P. L.S.

J. P. EXECUTION.

WILLIS & Co., Stationers & Booksellers, Waterloo, Ind

STATE OF INDIANA, } SS:
Dekalb County,

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

Whereas, ~~Albert L Worcester machine company~~ Brown and Calderon obtained judgment against William S Brand ~~George W Brand~~ as appears on my docket, for ~~Seven~~ 11 20 dollars and ~~Seventy seven~~ 67 cents, with interest from the 13 day of ~~April~~ November 1886 together with costs taxed at $ 3 75 on said day, without any ~~relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws.~~

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said William S Brand if any may be found in your county subject to execution and make due return thereof within six months from date.

Given under my hand and seal this 24 day of December 1886

E. L. Walker J. P. L.S.

EXECUTION.

Plaintiff,

vs.

Defendants

Issued [illegible] day of [illegible], 187

Returned [illegible] day of [illegible] 187

Constable 2 5

REMARKS.

Justice of the Peace.

Execution.

Plaintiffs.

vs.

Defendants

Issued ..... *day of* 188

Returned *day of* 188

Constable.

REMARKS.

Justice of the Peace.

2 5

February 1[illegible] 1[illegible]

EXECUTION.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Defendant

Issued the 1[illegible] day of [illegible] 1[illegible]

Returned day of 18[illegible]

Constable

REMARKS.

Justice of the Peace. 2 [illegible]

EXECUTION.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Defendants

Issued 2 1[illegible] day of 2 1836

Returned day of 18[illegible]

Constable

REMARKS.

Justice of the Peace. costs 2 [illegible]

J. P. EXECUTION. WILLIS & CO., Stationers & Booksellers, Waterloo, Ind

STATE OF INDIANA, } SS:
DeKalb County, }

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

Whereas, Henry Lee obtained judgment against Jerial Hasleman as appears on my docket, for Seventy-Eight 78 dollars and 30 cents, with interest from the 17 day of March 1887 together with costs taxed at $2.40 on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. and Whereas on the

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said if any may be found in your county subject to execution and make due return thereof within six months from date.

Given under my hand and seal this day of 187

J. P. L.S.

Waterloo Ind Aug 20 1891

Received of A. P. Benjamin Fees in full In case wherein H C Thompson vs A. P. Benjamin Before Esq Walker of Smithfield Township DeKalb Co Ind

$ R Duncan

J. P. EXECUTION.

WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana County, SS.

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, John N Barkley obtained judgment against George W Brand & William S Brand as appears on my docket, for Seventy four dollars and 98 cents, with interest from the 4 day of September 1886 together with costs, taxed at 3.50, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. And whereas, on the ~~day of~~ 188 ~~became replevin bail for the stay of execution on~~ said judgment, for days from the rendition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said George W Brand William S Brand if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, then, without delay, levy this Execution upon the goods of said ~~replerin bail, and sell the same as you are directed to sell the goods of the ortginal judgment~~ defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 15 day of September 1886

Eli [illegible] J. P. L.S.

J. P. EXECUTION.

WILLIS & CO., Booksellers and Stationers, Waterloo, Ind.

State of Indiana County, SS.

TO ANY CONSTABLE OF SAID COUNTY:

WHEREAS, Sophia Briggs obtained judgment against Wm S Brand and Geo W Brand as appears on my docket, for twelve 12 dollars and 90 cents, with interest from the 25 day of September 1887 together with costs, taxed at 4 60, on said day, without any relief whatever from valuation or appraisement laws. And ~~whereas, on the~~ ~~day of~~ 188 became ~~replevin bail for the stay of execution on~~ said judgment, for days from the rendition thereof.

You are therefore commanded to make the same by levy and sale of the goods of said William S Brand George W Brand if any may be found in your County subject to execution; if not, then, without delay, levy this Execution upon the goods of said ~~replerin bail, and sell the same as~~ you are directed to sell the goods of the ortginal judgment defendant, and make return thereof within six months from date. Given under my hand and seal this 18 day of July 188

Eli Walker J. P. L.S.

EXECUTION.

Plaintiff.

vs.

Defendants.

Issued [illegible] day of [illegible] 187

Returned [illegible] day of [illegible] 187

Constable.

REMARKS.

Justice of the Peace.

December the 24. 1886
Served this
Execution
Ashledge $1.00

Execution.

Plaintiffs.

vs.

Defendants

Issued 24 *day of* December 188

Returned 24 *day of* December 188

Constable.

REMARKS.

Justice of the Peace. 24

November 28 1886

Execution.

Recovered on the
Judgment five Dollars

Plaintiffs.

vs.

Defendants

Issued ..... *day of* 188

Returned ..... *day of* 188

Constable.

REMARKS.

Justice of the Peace. 24

N 3